

Hugh de Fowlshurst

The accounts of William de Melton, chamberlain of Chester, for 30 September 1303 to 29 September 1304 (SC6 771/3) include the receipt of £88 from Hugh de Fowlshurst for the farm of the earl's avowries in Cheshire.

The accounts of Hugh de Leominster, chamberlain of Chester, for 29 September 1305 to 29 September 1305 (SC6 771/4) include the receipt of £48 from Hugh de Fowlshurst for the farm of the earl's avowries in Cheshire.

In 1309 the Cheshire 'Recognizance Roll' of 2 Edward II (m. 2d (4): DKR xxxvi 187) includes a recognizance from Hugh de Fowlshurst to sir Robert de Holland (the justice) of £40 due to the king for the avowries.

There is a gap in the county pleas between September 1302 and April 1305. A case on 1 October 1303 (see below) had been instituted by Hugh de Fowlshurst and William de Spurstow (q.v.) as keepers of the avowries, suggesting that it had been instituted by William, and taken over by Hugh at Michaelmas 1303. Hugh de Fowlshurst certainly won a case in the court in November 1303 (see below); his activities in court come into full view from June 1305 onwards:

William de Oughtrington claimed that a cow of his had been taken as a heriot by the servants of Hugh de Fowlshurst keeper of the earl's avowries on the pretext that William had entered religion or a lazar, and was therefore 'dead to the world'. William denied that he had entered any house of religion; the court ruled that Hugh should satisfy William for the value of the beast, otherwise the price would be raised from Hugh's lands and chattels.

16:121 [1 June 1305]

Prec' est Hugoni de Fouleshurst q'd quia s'uentes eiusdem Hug' cepunt no'ie herietti quamdam vaccam Will'i de Vghrynton' imponendo eidem Will'mo q'd ing'ssus fuit religione' seu domu' lepsor. Ita q'd penes seculum p mortuo reputabat' & p cons' Com' adiudicat' q'd p'd'cus Will'ms no' excludit' ab acc'one petendi vaccam p'd'cam, maxime cum idem Will'us no' sit ing'ssus aliq'm domu' religionis put eidem p p'd'cm Hug' custodem aduocar' d'ni Com' est inpositu' cit^a px'm Com' satisfac' p'd'co Will'o de vacca p'd'ca v'l de p'cio eiusdem vacce. Et nisi fec'it; vic' Cestr' fieri fac' p'ciu' p'd'ce vacce de terr' & catall' suis & p'ciu' illud eidem Will'mo h're faciat

Hugh de Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries, attached Robert son of Tibbot de Chorley, John son of William del Hill of Hankelow, Agnes del Dounes and Philip de Farndon to answer the earl why they had withdrawn from his avowries.

Hugh said that Robert son of Tibbot, father of Robert the defendant, and William del Hill father of John, and Richard le Clapper father of the said Philip

and Agnes, had died in avowry, and that the defendants, being next-of-kin, should bear the avowry charges.

Agnes pointed out that as the charge itself showed that she had a living brother, Philip, she could not be charged with avowry.

Philip said that one Richard Whitbigg was his father, and had never been called Richard le Clapper, and Richard Whitbigg had never been in avowry.

Robert and the others said that neither they nor their ancestors had ever been in avowry.

The matter was put to a jury, who confirmed the defendants' claims, and they were acquitted.

16:214 [3 August 1305]

Rob'us filius Tibbote de Cherl' Joh's fil' Will'i del Hul de Hunkelowe Agnes del Dounes Ph'us de Farndon' atach' fuerunt ad respond' d'no Comite Cestr' quare sub^axer' se de aduocar' ip'ius Com'. Et vnde Hugo de Fouleshurest custos eiusdem aduocar' qui sequit' p Com' dicit q'd quid' Rob'us fil' Tibbote pat' ip'ius Rob'ti & quidam Will' del Hul pat' ip'ius Joh'is & quid' [Ric]'us le Clappere pat' p'd'cor Ph'i & Agn' fuerunt in aduocar' p'd'ca & in eadem obierunt ppt' quod p'd'ci Rob'us & om's alii vt ppinquoires de sanguine onus aduocar' p'd'ce sustinere tenent'. Et p'd'ca Agn' petit iud'm exquo p'd'cus Hugo cogn' ip'am h're fr'em supstitem si de aduocar' qua' Ric'us p'r ip'or Ph'i & Agnet^s reddere consuevit viuent ip'o Ph'o on'ari debeat. Et p'd'cus Ph'us dicit q'd quid' Ric'us Whitebigge erat p'r suus & nu'q'm vocabat' Ric'us le Clapper qui quid' Ric'us Whytebygge nu'q'm in p'd'ca aduocat' extitit. Et p'd'ci Rob'us & om's alii dicunt q'd ip'i n^c antec' sui in p'd'ca aduocar' extiterunt n^c in eadem de iur' esse debent & hoc tam p'd'cus Ph'us q'm om's al[ii] petunt q'd inquir' & p'd'cus Hugo simil'r. Jur' vid' Will' de Cotegreue, Hugo le Brid, Dau[id] fr' ei^s, Math'us de Alph^am, Th' de Brox', Rob'us de Manlegh' Rog'us de Moldeworth', Will' de Ho'..... Adam Malbon Ric'us de Wrennebur' Ric'us de Wistanston' & Will' fil' Ham' de Byrchouer qui di[c'] su]p sacr'm suu' q'd pat' p'd'cor Ph'i & Agn' vocat' Ric'us Whitebigge & no' Ric'us le Clapper, qui qu[id'm] Ric'us nu'q'm +.....+ in aduocar' p'd'ca extitit & q'd p'd'co Rob'us & Joh's n^c eor antec' nu'q'm in aduocaria p'd'ca extiterunt n^c de iur' esse debent I'o cons' est q'd eant inde quieti.

Hugh de Fowlshurst attached William son of Stephen Grus, Richard de Chedle and Agnes wife of William Bate of Tarporley to answer why they had withdrawn from the earl's avowry, and refused to pay what was due to the avowry. He claimed that Stephen, William's father, and a certain Leuca, mother of Agnes, who were next of kin, to support the charge of the same avowry, had died, and that Agnes in the court of 12 November 1303 [the records of which are now lost] had sued Hugh for having distrained her for having withdrawn herself from the avowry; the court had then found that Leuca had died in avowry, and that Agnes was her next-of-kin, and therefore Agnes should remain in avowry unless and until she found some closer next-of-kin to support the avowry charges.

Agnes now said that the 1303 decision was incorrect, because Leuca had at one time been of free condition and not in avowry, and that Agnes was born twenty or more years before Leuca had, of her own free will, entered the

avowry. Agnes therefore questioned whether she could be bound to inherit such a burden because of an act done by her mother more than twenty years after her birth.

William son of Stephen Grus said that neither he nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry, nor should he be.

Richard de Chedle said that Hugh had unjustly extorted three shillings from him for avowry, where neither he nor his ancestors had ever paid more than twopence.

The jury said that Leuca had entered avowry of her own free will more than twenty years after Agnes was born; neither Agnes nor any of her ancestors had been in avowry. Neither William son of Stephen Grus nor any of his ancestors had ever been in avowry. Richard de Chedle never paid more than twopence to the avowry.

17:129 [7 December 1305]

Will'us fil' Steph'i Grus, Ric'us de Chedle & Agnes vx' Will' Bate de Torpel' atach' fueru't p Hug' de Foul' Custodem aduocar' d'ni Com' ad respondend' quar' subt^axerunt se de aduocar' d'ni Com' & min^s iuste cont^adicunt solu'e ea que spectant aduocar' p'd'co, & vnde idem Hugo dicit, q'd quidam Steph'us pat' p'd'ci Will' & quedam Leuca mat' p'd'ce Agnet^s quib^s ip'i ppinquiores sunt de sanguine ad sustinend' onus eiusdem aduocar'; obieru't in eadem aduocar', & dicit & q'd p'd'ca Agn' ad Com' die M^{rt}s prox^a post f'm s'ci Martini anno r'.r'.E.xxxj.³⁸ questa fuit q'd p'd'cus Hugo ip'am distrixit pro subt^act'oe eiusdem aduocar', ad quem diem comptum fuit p inquis', q'd queda' Leuca mat' ip'ius Agn' obiit in aduocar' d'ni Com', & q'd ip'a Agnes fuit ppinquior de sanguine ad supportand' on^s aduocar' p'd'ce ita q'd tunc cons' fuit q'd p'd'ca Agnes distringeret' ad satisfac' &c' de arrerag' eiusdem aduocar', & q'd ip'a rem' in eade' aduocar' quousq' doc'e posset ip'am inde iniuste on'ari v'l aliquem ppinquiorem de sanguine ad supportand' onus p'd'cm &c' Et p'd'ca Agnes dicit q'd licet alias comptu' fuit p inquis' q'd p'd'ca Leuca obiit in p'd'ca aduocar' & q'd eadem Agnes ppinquior fuit de sanguine, hoc ei obesse no' debet, qa dicit q'd p'd'ca Leuca aliquo tempe lib'e condic'ois extit'at & no' on'ata de p'd'ca aduocar' & quo tempe eadem Agnes nata fu'at & s' p desc'pc'om tempor'. viginti annor' & ampli^s p'd'ca Leuca se g^atis posuit in eade' aduocar', vnde petit iud^m ex quo post'ius f'cm p'd'ce Leuce exitum antenatum in s'uiante' redig'e no' potest; si ip'a p viginti annos & amplius nata p'us q^am p'd'ca Leuca se g^atis on'auit de on'e p'd'co +ligari debeat+ maxime cu' p'mu' iud'm inde sub co'dic'oe fu'at redditum q'd illa fuisset exon'ata; q'ncumq' ip'a doc'e posset ip'am inde iniuste on'ari; & q'd p'd'ca responsio sua v'a est; petit q'd inquir'. Et p'd'cus Will' fil' Steph' dicit q'd ip'e n^c antecessor' sui nu'q^am extiter't in p'd'ca aduocar' n^c de iur' esse debent, & hoc petit q'd inquir'. Et p'd'cus Ric'us de Chedle dicit q'd p'd'cus Hugo ip'm inde iniuste exigit tres den' solu' pro aduocar' &c'. cu' ip'e n^c antecessor' sui nu'q^am soluer' nisi duos den' t'm & hoc petit q'd inquir' & p'd'cus Hugo simil'r. Jur' vid', Hugo de Caluyl', Joh' de Coten' Rog'us de Sp'stowe, Will' de Halghton', Rog'us de Clotton', Dauy de Barton' Patricius de Crwe Will' de eadem, Henr' de Torpel', Adam de Bostok, & Rog'us de Moldeworth' dicu't sup sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'ca Leuca p viginti annos & amplius posq^am p'd'ca Agn' natebat' se g^at^s posuit in eadem aduocar' & q'd null' antecessor' p'd'ce Leuce in p'd'ca aduocat' extitit. Et q'd p'd'cus Will' fil' Steph' n^c aliq'is an'cessor' suor' nu'q^am fuerunt

³⁸ Tuesday 12 November 1303

in eadem aduocar'. Et q'd Ric'us de Chedle nu'q'm soluit pro aduocar' p'd'ca nisi duos den' t'm. Io cons' est q'd p'd'ci Will' Agn' & Ric'us adp'sens de p'd'cis calu'pn' eant inde quieti

7 December 1305 Peter de Poynton was attached by Hugh de Fowlshurst, as keeper of the avowries, to explain why Peter had withdrawn from avowry. Hugh said that one Ralph le Carpenter, Peter's father, died in avowry; and that Peter was his next-of-kin. Peter said that he had previously, on 1 October 1303, been claimed at the suit of the said Hugh and of William de Spurstow then keepers of the avowries; the court had found that neither Peter nor any of his ancestors had ever been in avowry. Peter now questioned whether he ought to face the same demand again. Hugh, when asked whether he could give any new reason to convict Peter, said not; and Peter was discharged.

17:136 [7 December 1305]

Pet'us de Pouynton' atach' fuit p Hug' de Foul' custodem aduocar' d'ni Com' ad .r'. quare sub'xit se de aduocar' p'd'ca & vnde idem Hugo dicit q'd quidam Rad'us le Carpent' pat' ip'ius Pet' qui ip'e p'pinq'ior est de sanguine obiit in eade' aduocar'. Et p'd'cus Pet' venit & dicit q'd ip'e alias calu'p' fuit ad sectam p'd'ci Hug' & Will' de Sp'stowe t'nc custodu' aduocar' p'd'ce cora' Will' Trussel Justic' Cestr' ad Com' Cestr' die M'rt' p'x^a post f'm s'ci Mich' anno. r'.r'. E. xxxj.³⁹ de hoc q'd sub'xit se de aduocar' p'd'ca eo q'd p'd'cus Rad'us obiit in aduocar' p'd'ca &c'. Ad quem diem idem Pet'us venit & dixit q'd ip'e n^c antec' sui nu'q'm in p'd'ca aduocar'eru't n^c de iur' esse debent, & de hoc posuit se sup' p'riam & p'd'ci Will' & Hugo simil'r Ita q'd tu'c tempe fuit p' q'd ide' Pet'us n^c aliquis an'cessor suor' in eadem aduocar' extiteru't n^c de iur' esse debueru't ppt' quod cons' fuit q'd p'd'cus reced'et quiet', vnde petit iud'm exquo alias p' cons' Cur' recessit inde quiet' si it'ato resp' debeat p'd'cus Hugo dic'e pot'it p' d'no Com' q'd idem Petrus alia r'one de p'd'ca aduocar' debet on'ari Et p'd'cs Hugo p'sens in Cur' ques' si quid aliud h'eat v'l p' Com' dic'e sciat q'm prius dixit ad on'and' p'd'cm Pet'm de aduocar' p'd'ca dicit q'd no' Et qa suffic' constat Cur' p' inspecc'om rotulor' q'd p'd'cus Pet'us alias recessit quiet' Et p'd'cus Hugo n^c aliquis alius p' iur' Com' aliquid ostendit q'm p'ius p' quod idem Pet'us ad sup'..... p'd'cm debeat Cons' est q'd ... p'd'cus Petrus quiet' sicut p'us. saluo alias iur' Com' &c'.

William de Baguley was attached by Hugh Fowlshurst, as keeper of the earl's avowries, to explain why William had withdrawn from avowry. Hugh claimed that it had been found by several inquisitions before him that all William's ancestors had been in avowry, paying sixpence [a year], and that a William lord of Baguley is listed in the avowry rolls; despite which William (the defendant) had never paid the charge. Hugh claimed 100 shillings damages. William said that neither he nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry, nor paid such a charge. And as for the entry of 'William lord of Baguley' on the roll, the names on the roll are entered in series, each having the sum of their payment; but that this entry had been inserted fraudulently, without specifying a sum, in a different hand.

³⁹ Tuesday 1 October 1303

The court called for the roll to be produced, and it was examined both by the justice and by the suitors and doomsmen of the court, who said that the entry had clearly been forged in order to defraud the defendant and deceive the court. A jury found that none of the defendant's ancestors had been in avowry, nor should he be. The record does not indicate any action taken against Fowlshurst for this blatant attempt at fraud.

17:392 [31 May 1306]

Recordu'.

Will'us de Baggel' atach' fuit p Hug' de Fouleshurst Custodem aduocar'. d'ni Com' Cestr' ad resp' d'no Com' quare sub^axit se de aduocar' d'ci Com' toto tempe eiusde'. Will'i. Et vnde idem Custos dicit q'd cu' coram eo com^ptu' sit p diu'sas inquisicioes q'd om's antecessores eiusdem Will'i in eade' aduocaria extiterunt & sex denar' eidem aduocacio annuatim soluerunt pre^eea quidam Will'us d'ns de Baggel' inserit' in rotul' aduocari^or int' cet'os de eadem aduocaria on'atos, p'd'cus Will'us toto tempe suo ret^axit se de eadem aduocaria retinendo p'd'cos sex denar' annuati' soluendos ad exheredac'om d'ci Com' & dampnu' p'd'ci Custod'. C sol'. & hoc petit q'd inquirat' p d'co Com'. Et p'd'cus Will' venit & dicit q'd nullus antecessor su^or in eade' aduocar' extitit seu p'd'cm annuu' redditu' r'one aduocar' p'd'ce p'soluit n^c ip'e in eadem de iure esse debet & hoc petit q'd inquirat'. Et quo ad nome' p'd'ci Will'i d'ni de Baggel' in rotul' aduocar' contentu', hi^s irrotulamentu' ei obesse no' debet, quia dicit q'd om'ia no'ia in hi^s rotul' contenta seriatim inserunt', post quor' no'ia inmediate continet' quantitas reddit^us solue'd' p eade' aduocaria, ac p'd'cm nome' Will'i d'ni de Baggel' in loco suspecto int'linealit' diu'sa manu In rotul' p'd'cis continet' no' faciendo menc'om de aliquo redditu annuo put p inspecc'om rotulor' eiusd' aduocar' liquet eident', & qd hi^s irrotulamentu' in fraudem ip'ius Will'i & in decepc'om Curie confectu' fuit petit q'd inquirat' tam p inspecc'om rotulor' q^am alio quocu'q' modo Curia considerau'it. Et p'd'cis rotul' aduocariar' inspectis ta' p Justic' q^am p sectatores & iudicatores eiusd' Com'; videt' eis q'd p'd'cm irrotulamentu' est suspectu' & malic'oe confectu' & q'd v'itas sup p'missis inquirenda est p p'riam. Jur' vid' Will' de Spurstowe Hugo de Caluyl', Will' de Caluyl', Will' de p'ers Ric'us de Caurthin' Rog'us de Aldredel' tempib^s ret^oactis custodes aduocariar' p'd'car'. Ey^op'us de Mulynton' Joh' de M'bur' Ran's de M'bur' Rob'us de Tatton' de Kenwortheye. Rob'us de Wyninton'. Rog'us de Spurstowe & Will' de Wylberh^am dicunt sup sac'r'm suu' q'd nullus antecessor p'd'ci Will'i in p'd'ca aduocaria extitit n^c p'd'cm redditu' r'one aduocarie p'd'ce p'soluit +neq' ide' Will' in eade' aduocaria de iure esse debet+ & q'd p'd'cm irrotulamentu' de no'ie Will'i d'ni de Baggel' int'linealit' loco suspecto mulrite est confectu'. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'cus Will' de Baggel' de p'd'ca calu'pnia adpresens eat quiet^s saluo alias iure d'ni Com' &c'. cu' ei meli^s constare possit de ampliore iure suo.

31 May 1306 Hugh Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries, attached John le Mercer of Northwich, Robert le Ditcher, Bate del Moor and William Colyhog, to answer why they had withdrawn from avowry. Fowlshurst said that one Roysa, mother of the said John, and one Richard Kemp, uncle of Bate, and one Ranulph Cod, uncle of William, had all been in the avowry, and died in the same. As for Robert le Ditcher, he was a stranger who had committed various trespasses far away and had put himself into the Cheshire avowry for self-protection.

The defendants all said that neither they nor any of their ancestors had ever been in avowry; the jury agreed.

17:393 [31 May 1306]

*Joh'es le M'cer de Norwych Rob'us le Dicher Bate del Moor & Will'us Colyhog
atach' fuer' p Hug' de Fouleshurst Custode' aduocar' d'ni Com' Cestr' ad respondendu'
d'no Comiti quare subtr'xeru't se de aduocar' d'ci Com' &c'. Et vnde idem Custos dicit q'd
quedam Roysa mat' p'd'ci Joh' & quedam Ric'us Kempe auu'culus p'd'ci Bate et quida'
Ran's Cod auu'culus p'd'ci Will'i fuer' in aduocaria p'd'ca & in eadem obieru't & p'd'cus
Rob'us le Dicher fuit aduentic' p diu'sis t'nsgressio'ib' in longinquis ptib' f'cs & in aduocar'
p'd'ca se posuit ppt' quod p'd'ci Joh'es & om's alii vt ppinquoies de sanguine onus
aduocarie p'd'ce supportare tenent'. Et p'd'ci Joh's & om's alii veniu't & dicunt q'd ip'i n'
antecessores sui nu'q'm in aduocaria p'd'ca extiteru't n' de iureesse debent & hoc petu't q'd
inquirat' & p'd'cus Hugo qui sequit' p Com' simil'r. Jur' p'd'ci scil't Will' de Spurstowe &
om's alii dic't sup sacr'm suu' q'd nullus antecessor p'd'cor Joh' & alior nu'q'm in
aduocaria p'd'ca extiteru't nec ip'i de iure esse debent. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'ci Joh's & alii
eant inde quieti.*

Richard del Deane sued Hugh de Fowlshurst, Robert de Cresswellshaw and Geoffrey de Smallwood, claiming that on 26 May 1306 they had taken two cows and two mares of Richard's at a place called the Deane in Warburton, and driven them to the house of Henry le Priestson, to the damage of 100 shillings.

Hugh, Robert and Geoffrey appeared, and said that Hugh was the keeper of the earl's avowries (see above, under ADLINGTON), and that Richard should have paid the keeper of the avowries 12d a year, but had paid only 8d for the last 20 years or more.

Richard said that one Simon le Bond his grandfather had three sons, Ranulph, Adam and William; on Simon's death his estate was divided equally between the three sons on the understanding that they each bore a fair portion of the charge for the avowry. He had always paid his portion, and was not in arrears, and William and Adam had paid their parts, so was it fair for him to be charged twice?

Hugh said that Ranulph, Adam and William had freely put themselves into the avowry, and had each paid sixpence a year. The judge summoned the visne of the township of Warburton; the jury say that Simon le Bond and Agnes his wife had paid twelve pence a year for the avowry. When their estate was divided, it was upon condition that the eldest son pay eight pence a year, the other two four pence between them. Hugh was wrong in asserting that the three sons had entered into the avowry voluntarily while Simon was alive, and his distraint of Richard's beasts had been unjust. Richard was to have the animals back, plus two shillings damages, and Hugh, Robert and Geoffrey were amerced.

17:398 [31 May 1306]

p'r.

Da'pna .ij.s'.

Hugo de Foul Rob'us de Cressewelleschawe & Galfridus de Smalwode sum' fueru't ad respond' Ric'o del Dene de pl'ito capt' au'ior & vnde idem Ric'us quer' q'd p'd'ci Hugo & alii die Jouis px^a an' f'm Pentecostes anno .r'.r'. E. xxxiiij.⁴⁰ in quodam loco vocato le Dene apud Werberton' cepunt duas vaccas & duo lumenta ip'ius Ric'i & illa fugauit vsq' ad domu' Henr' le Prestesone & illa ibide' cont^a vad' & pleg' hucusq' detinet, vnde dicit q'd deter' est & dampna h'et ad val' .C. sol' & inde pducit secta'. E p'd'cus Hugo & om's alii ven' & def' vim & iniur' q'n &c' & dicit q'd ip'e idem Hugo est custos aduocariar' d'ni Com' & q'd idem Ric'us del Dene solu'e debuit Custodi eiusd' aduocar' duodeci' denar' p annu', de quo quidem redditu d'cus Ric'us soluit Custod' d'ce aduocar' octo denar' p annu' & q^atuor denar' residuos p viginti annos & amplius retinuit & sic p arerag' d'cor quatuor denar' cepit ip'e dist'co'es pred'cas sicut ei b'n licuit. Et p'd'cus Ric'us dicit q'd quida' Simo' le Bonde auus ip'ius Ric'i h'uit tres filios scil't Ran' Adam & Will' post cui^s decessu', bona sua partita fuerunt int' p'd'cos Ran' & fr'es suos tali condic'oe q'd quil' eor' rata porc'oe sua suportaret onus aduocarie p'd'ce & dicit q'd de porc'oe sua ip'm contingente nich' a ref^o est Et q'd p'd'ci Adam & Will'us soluerunt eidem Custodi pporc'om suam vnde pepit⁴¹ iud'm si on'a & easde' aduocaria bis debeat psolu'e. Et p'd'cus Hugo dicit q'd quil' p'd'cor Ran' Ade & Will'i p'decessor p'd'ci Simon' g^atis se posuit in eade' aduocaria & quilibet eor' sex denar' p eade' aduocaria soluerunt & hoc petit q'd inquirat'. & p'd'cus Ric'^s simil'r. I'o p^r. est vic' q'd de visn' de Werberton' ven' fac' &c'. xij. &c' p quos &c'. qui n^c &c'. Postea ad Com' die M^art^s px^a post Tⁿslac'om s'ci Thome martir' px' sequens⁴² ven' ptes p'd'ce & similit' .xij. qui dicunt sup sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cus Simo' le Bonde & Agnes vx^r ei^s qui soluer' duodecim denar' aduocar' p'd'ce, h'uerunt tres filios scil't Ran', Adam, & Will'm int' quos bona & catalla p'd'cor Simon' & Agnet^s p^rita fueru't sub tali condic'oe q'd senior p'd'cor filior solu'et octo denar' eidem aduocar' & q'd alii fr'es soluerent q^atuor den' residuos & q'd p'd'ci Ran's Adam & Will'us no' int'auerunt p'd'cam aduocaria' viuentib^s Simone & Agnete, immo post decessum eordem soluit filius eordem senior octo den' & duo alii filii p'd'cos quatuor den' r'one partic'ois catallor' p'd'cor & no' spontanea voluntate sua put p'd'cus Hugo supius asserit & q'd p'd'ci Hugo & om's alii cepunt distric'om p'd'cam iniuste ad dampnu' ip'ius Ric'i duor' solidor'. I'o. cons' est q'd p'd'cus Ric'us h'eat au'ia sua deliberata & q'd recuper' v'sus p'd'cos Hug' & om's alios dampna sua p'd'ca. & iidem Hugo & om's alii p iniuste capc'oe in m'ia.

Hugh de Fowlshurst attached Margery daughter of Geoffrey de Somerford and Gona her sister and William son of the same Margery, to answer why, in disinheritance and contempt of the lord earl, they had withdrawn themselves from his avowry. Fowlshurst claimed that one Henry son of Geoffrey de Somerford (Margery and Gona's father) died in avowry, and that they should support the avowry.

Margery, Gona and William say that Fowlshurst had unjustly distrained them, because Henry had two sons, Richard Rase and John le Rowe. On Henry's death Richard Rase bore the avowry charge, as his next-of-kin. After Richard's death, John le Rowe (who was still alive, and was present in court)

⁴⁰ Thursday 26 May 1306

⁴¹ sic

⁴² Tuesday 12 July 1306

should bear the charge, as Richard's next-of-kin. It was therefore agreed that John le Rowe should henceforth be liable for the avowry.

17:522 [6 September 1306]

Mar[g]ia fil' Galfr'i de Som[er]ford & Gona soror ei^s & Will'us fil' eiusdem Marg'ie attach' fuer' p Hug' de Foul' Custodem aduoc' d'ni [Co]m' Cestr' ad resp' quare ad exheredac'om & in contemptu' d'ci Com' sub^axer' se de aduocaria sua & in e[a] min^s iuste exist'e cont^adicunt Et vnde idem Hugo dic' q'd quide' Henr' fil' Galfr' de S[o]m'ford pat' [p'd'ca]r Marg'ie & Gona auus p'd'ci Will'i cui^s hered' ip'i sunt obiit in aduoc' p'd'ca quare ip'i de iure [deb]ent^r [su]pportare onus aduocar' p'd'ce vt ppinquior' de sangi'e p'd'co Henr'. Et p'd'ci Marg'ia Gona & Will'us [ve]n' & dicunt q'd p'd'cus Hugo eos occ'one p'd'ca iniuste distrixit qa dicunt q'd p'd'cus Henr' h'uit duos filios v[id't] Ric'm Rase & Joh'em le Ro post cui^s decessum Ric'us Rase vt ppinquior de sanguine p'd'ci Henr' te....a sua soluit aduocar' p'd'cam & in eadem obiit, post cui^s morte' p'd'cus Joh'es le Ro fr' & her' Ric'i R[ase] adhuc supstes est de iure supportare debet onus eiusde' aduocar'. vnde pet' iud'm si cu' Joh'e seu exitu eiusdem Joh'is p eadem aduoc' distringi deberet. Et p'd'cus Joh'es le Ro p'sens [in] Curia cognouit ip'm esse fr'em & heredem p'd'ci Ric'i qui obiit in eade' aduocaria & q'd idem Joh' [in fut]uro sustin'e debet onus eiusde' aduocar'. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'cus Joh'es decet'o rec' in aduoc' [p'd'ca] dstringat' ac illam aduocar' Et p'd'ci Marg'ia Gona & Will' inde s'n die adpresens iure d'ci Com'.

On 3 March 1307 Hugh de Fowlshurst received custody of the earl's avowries in Cheshire from William Trussel, justice of Chester, for the period 13 January 1307 to 13 January 1308, paying 60 marks (£40) a year.

18:158 [28 February 1307]

Dimissio aduocar'

M^d q'd Hugo de Fouleshurst t'cio die M^arcij anno. r'. r'. E. xxxv.⁴³ recepit de Will'o Trussel Justic' Cestr' custodia' aduoc' d'ni Com' Cestr' cu' o'ib^s consuetudinib^s lib'tatib^s & pⁱⁿ ad p'd'cam custodia' quoquom^o sp^ctantib^s a f'o S'ci Hillar' anno sup^ad'co⁴⁴ vsq' id'm f'm p^xm sequ⁴⁵ redd'o inde sexag' marcas p annu' ad t'mi'os quos ip'e & al' hui^smo'i custod' te'pib^s ret^oactis solu'e co'sueu'unt

The abbot of Vale Royal sued Hugh de Fowlshurst and Silcok de Clive for having 23 December 1306 at Hartford, in a place called Hartford Heath, seized a cow belonging to the monastery, had driven it to Hugh's house in Wych Malbanc [Nantwich], and kept it.

Hugh and Silcok came to court and said that Hugh was keeper of the earl's avowries in the county; that a certain John le Bailer, who was in the earl's avowry, had died, and that they had taken the cow as a heriot, i.e. as John's best beast, according to the custom of the avowries.

The abbot retorted that the cow had indeed belonged to John le Bailer, but John was not in avowry, and in fact had bequeathed the cow to the abbey after

⁴³ Friday 3 March 1307

⁴⁴ sic: Friday 13 January 1307

⁴⁵ Saturday 13 January 1308

his death. The abbot asked that the matter be looked into by a jury; but the justice said that, as it touched on the king's seisin, it could not be admitted until it had been shown by a search of the records whether the name of John or of any of his ancestors was inserted in the avowry roll. Judgment on this was deferred until at least November 1307, after which the matter disappears from the county court records.

19:155 [8 August 1307]

.p^r.

Abbas de Valle Regali op se u^rsus Hug' de Fough'lishurst, & Siloc de Clyue de pl'ito q^are cepunt quandam vacca' sua' & iniuste detinueru't &c' Et ip'i non ven' & h'ueru't die' hic ad hunc Com' p^esson' suu' &c' I'o. p^r est vic' q'd distr' eos p^e om's t^rras &c' Et q'd de exit' &c' Et q'd h'eat corpa eor^u hic ad px'm Com' &c'

20:35 [19 September 1307]

Scrutent^r rotuli aduocar'

Hug' de Fougleshurst & Silecok de Cliue attach' fueru't ad respond' Abb'i de Valle Regali de pl'o capc'ois cui^sd' vacce ip'ius Abb'is Et vnde q' q'd die ven'is px' an' f'm Natal' d'ni Anno R' R'. E p'ris d'ni E. Regis nu'c Tricesimo qⁱnto ap'd H'rteford⁴⁶ in quod' loco q' vocat^r Hertefordeheth cepit quamd' vaccam ip'ius Abb'is et eam fugauit vsq' ad domu' sua' ap'd Wycu' Malbanu' & eam detinuit cont^a vad' &c'. q^ousq' &c' vnde dicit q' det'iorat^s est et dampnu' h't ad valenc' &c'. Et inde ducit sectam &c'.

Et p^rd'ci Hug' & Silecok veniu't + & def'+ vim & iniuria' quando &c'. Et p^rd'cs Hug' respond' p se & p p^rd'co Silecok et b'n aduocat d'cam capc'om & iuste. Quia dicit q' ip'e est Custos aduocariar^u d'ni Regis in Com' isto. Et q' quidam Joh' Le Bailer cui^s p^rd'ca vacca fuit nup obiit & fuit in aduocar' p^rd'ca. p q'd ip'e cepit d'cam vaccam tamqua' Melius au'iu' ip'ius Joh'is q'd ptinebat ad d'nm Rege' post Morte' ip'ius Joh'is rac'oe consuetudi's aduocar' p^rd'ce. Et sic aduocat &c' no'ie d'ni Regis &c'.

Et p^rd'cs Abbas dic' q' p hoc p^rd'cam capc'om iustam aduocar' no' potest. Quia dicit q' postq^m p^rd'ca vacca fuit ip'ius Joh'is Id' Joh' legauit eid'm Abb'i p^rd'cam vaccam & +q+ ip'e fuit in possessione eiusd' die capc'ois p^rd'ce. Dicit eciam q'd d'cs Joh' no' fuit in Aduocar' p^rd'ca. Et hoc petit q' inquirat^r p pat'am. Et qa videt^r Cur' q' hui^smodi v'ific' p pat'am sup seysinam Regis no' est admittenda, quousq' constet Cur' vt^m nome' d'ci Joh' u'l alicui^s antecessoris sui cui^s heres &c' sit insertu' int^r alia no'ia in rotulo aduocar' +.... Inqⁱsic'ois+ seu id' Joh' p +aliq^am+ inquisic'om p'ius captam disrac'onat^s sit e'endi in aduocar' p^rd'ca nec ne; I'o datus est dies ptib^s p^rd'cis de audiend' Judiciu' suu' ad px' Com' &c' Et interim scrutent^r rotuli &c' & inquisic'oes &c'

20:178 [7 November 1307]

p^rce p^eciu'

Dies datus est Abb'ti de valle Regali quer'. & Hugon' de Fouleshurst & Silkoc de Clyue de audiend' Judic'o suo de pl'ito capc'ois cuiusdam vacce. vsq' ad px'm Com'. Et int'im scrutentur Rot'li & inqⁱsic'oes de aduocar'.

Alexander son of John Dunfowl sued Hugh de Fowlshurst and John de Cotton for having seized a horse, saddle and reins in the town of Middlewich on 17 October 1307. Hugh de Fowlshurst and John de Coton justified the seizure, saying that they are bailiffs of the king's bailiffs in Cheshire; that William

⁴⁶ 23 December 1306

brother of Hamon de Dunham, grandfather of Alexander, and of whom Alexander is the heir, was in the avowries in the reign of Edward I, paying 4d a year for the avowry; as likewise John Dunfowl, Alexander's father, had paid: but that the payment was 20 years in arrear.

Alexander denied that either William or John had ever been in the avowry. The justice ruled that the avowry records be searched, and it was found that a Hamon de Dunham and his brother were in the avowry; but the records made no mention as to the brother's name; so it was decided to make inquiry whether William was the brother in question, or if Hamon had some other brother. A slip attached to the record indicates that there was some finding in a subsequent court.

20:204 [7 November 1307]

*Hugo de Foughelhurst & Joh'es de Coton' atach' fueru't ad r'nd' Alex'. fil'. Joh'is Donfoul. de pl'ito detenc' cui's d' eq' vni's selle & vni's freni Et vnde q' q'd p'd'ci Hugo & Joh'es die Mart' in c'a sti'o S'ci Mich'is in Monte tu'ba A°. r' r' Edwardi nu'c primo*⁴⁷ *in villa de Mediowico cepu't equ' suu' sella' sua' & frenu' & eos det'. con°. vad' &c' p'o'sq' &c' vn'. dicit q'd def'iorat' est & da'pn' h'et ad val'nc' &c' Et inde pduc' s'ctam*

Et p'd'ci Hugo & Joh'es ven' & def'. vi' &c'. q'ndo &c' Et aduocant p'd'cam capc' esse iustam quia dicu't q'd ip'i s't Balliui aduocariar. d'ni R' in Com' isto Et q'd q'd' Will's frater Hamonis de Doneham au' p'd'ci Alex'i cui's h'es ip'e est fuit in aduocar' d'ni Regis & p' cui's Man' d'ns Edwardus Rex Pater D'ni Edwardi R's nu'c +fuit sei't'+ de q'atuor den'. a'nuati' reddend' p' aduocar' p'd'ca. & simil'r p. man' Joh'is Donfoul p'ris p'd'ci Alex'i cui's fili' & h'es ip'e est Et qa p'd'ci q'atuor. den' p' viginti annos ia' elapsos. a ret'o su't de p'd'co Alex'. aduoc' &c' d'ni Regis &c'

Et p'd'cs Alex' dicit q'd p' hoc d'cam capc' iusta' aduocare. non possu't. Quia dicit q'd p'd'ci Will's & Joh'es pat' suu's non fueru't in aduocar' d'ni Regis n' D'ns E. Rex pater D'ni Regis E. nu'c nu'q'm fuit sei't's de p'd'cis q'atuor den' p' man' ip'or Will'i & Joh'is r'one aduocar' &c'. Et de hoc ponit se sup p'riam

Et qa videt' Cur' q'd h'm^{odi}. v'ificacio sup seis^am d'ni Reg's no' est admittenda q'usq' ostet Cur' vtru' no'ia p'd'cor Will'i & Joh'is sint inserta int' alia no'ia in ro^{lis} aduocariar seu inq's' v'l id'm Alex' seu aliq's an'cessor suor p' aliq'a inq's' p'ius cap essendi in aduocar' p'd'ca n'c ne

I'o dict' est eis dies de aud'o iud'o suo vsq' px' Com'. Et inf'im scrutent' Rot' & inq's'. Postea sc'tatis rot'lis aduocar' comptu' est in eisdem Rot'lis q'd q'dam Hamo de Doneh'm & frat' suus no' facierend' menc'oem de no'ie suo fu'nt in aduocar' d'ni Reg's Et qa ignorabat' an d'cs Will's fuit frat' suus existens in aduocar' p'd'ca, an alius. p'cept' est vic'. q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'. p' quos &c' Et qui nec &c'. ad Inq'rend'. si p'd'cus Will's fuit frat' d'ci Hamon's. existens in aduocar' &c', an h'uit aliu' fr'em ex'ntem in Ad Com' die Martis px^a an' Conu'sione' S'ci Pauli Anno r' r' nuc p'mo.⁴⁸ ven'. tam p'd'ci Alex' & Jur' si'lit'⁴⁹

⁴⁷ Tuesday 17 October 1307

⁴⁸ Tuesday 23 January 1308

⁴⁹ breaks off here: but, added on a separate (damaged) slip: Postea ad Com' Hugo & Joh'nes habuit d..... Will's Hug' & Joh'

Robert de Sherd complained against Roger son of Adam Walran chaplain of Over Alderley, in a claim that on 23 December 1307 Robert had seized a horse of his in his field at Fulshaw, taken it home, and kept it there until 8 January 1308, when he surrendered it upon being served with a writ. Robert reckoned the damage that he had suffered at ½ mark (6s 8d).

Roger appeared and stated that he was a bailiff of Hugh de Fowlshurst and John de Cotton, keepers of the earl's avowries in Cheshire. They say that Robert had negotiated with them on 6 November 1307 to pay them five shillings that he owed them one month later, on 6 December 1307; he having failed to do so, they seized the horse.

Robert denied any such arrangement, nor that he owed them a single penny. The matter being difficult, if not impossible, for an outsider to judge, Robert called for the process called 'waging law', when, backed by good sureties, he made an inviolable oath to the truth of his testimony. Roger was amerced 12d for having taken the horse.

20:290 [23 January 1308]

Rog'us fil' Ad Walran Cap'lli de Oure Aldredlegh' sum' fuit ad respondend' Rob'to de Scherd de pl'ito capc' vnus equi &c'. Et vnde idem Rob'us quer' q'd die sabb'ti p^x^a ante Nat' d'ni. Anno r' R^s nu'c pⁱmo.⁵⁰ in villa de Fulschaghe in quodam clauso ip'ius Rob'i d'cus Rog'us cepit quemdam equu' suu' & illum fugauit vsq' ad domu' ip'ius Rog'i in [blank] & ibi illu' impcauit & impcatum detinuit. a d'co die sabb'ti. vsq' diem Lune p^x^a post E'phiam d'ni.⁵¹ cont^a vad' & pleg'. quousq' deliber' fuit p br'e d'ni R^s vnde dicit q'd det'iorat^s est & dampnu' h'et ad valencia' d'i m^arc'. Et inde pducit sectam. &c'.

Et Rog'us venit & defend' vim & iniur' q'n. &c'. Et dicit q'd ip'e est ball's. Hugon' de Fouleshirst & Joh'is de Cotu' custodu' aduocar' d'ni Com' +in Com' Cestr'+ & p p^rceptu' p^rd'cor custodu' co'gnouit capc'oem p^rd'ci eqⁱ, qui +& quid' custodes+ veneru't. & se Junxeru't eide' Rog'o in respondendo. et aduocant capc'oem bona' & iustam. &c'. Dicu't e'im. q'd idem Rob'us r'ocinatus p eosdem de +die &c'. lune p^x' post fest' om'iu' s'cor pxi'o p^rt'ito+⁵² eo q'd esse +debuit+ in aduocar'' d'ni Com' et de aduocaria illa se s'btraxit firma' sua' p aduocar' sua. no' soluendo. Pro resp^ctu h'endo ad se consulend' & certu' responsum inde faciend'. p^rmisit eisdem custodib^s q'inq' solid'. soluend' ad festu' S'ci Nich'i pxi'o p^rt'ito +sequ' s'+⁵³ Et qa p^rd'cus Rob'us p^rd'cos denarios ad p^rd'cm t'm' no' soluit, s'cdm consuetudine' aduocar' semp acten^s vsitatam aduocant capc'oem p^rd'cam iustam. &c'.

Et p^rd'cus Rob'us dicit. q'd p huiusmod' respectu' h'endo nu'cq^a p^rmisit eis q'inq' solid', nec aliq'm denariu'. Et hoc patus est fac'e p lege' sua' uel sicut Cur' consid'au'it, I'o consid'atu' est q'd vad' eis legem .&c'. ppl' Rog'i de Fulschawe & Will'i de Scherd, +postea+ fecit Lege'. I'o. quiet^s. & p^rd'cs Rog'us in m'ia. vt patet in pl'itis

20:447 [23 April 1308]

m'ia xij.d'

Rogerus fil' Ade Walran Capellani de Ourealdredelegh' p iniusta detenc' cui^sd' equi u^s Rob'tu' Scherd in m'ia p pleg' [blank]

⁵⁰ Saturday 23 December 1307

⁵¹ Monday 8 January 1308

⁵² Monday 6 November 1307

⁵³ Wednesday 6 December 1307

On 23 April 1308 Peter Cash brought a suit against Hugh de Wyco [Wyche], as to why Hugh, together with Hugh son of Robert le Lost and John de Coton had taken his mare. The mare had been taken on Monday 18 March at Adlington, in a place called Longbottom, and they had driven it to Macclesfield, where it had been kept until Cash served a writ for its recovery. In all, Cash's damages amounted, he claimed, to 20 shillings.

At the following county court Hugh, John and Hugh appeared, and John stated that they had had every right to seize the mare. He and Hugh de Fowlshurst were keepers of the avowries of the lord king, as earl of Chester, in Cheshire. Hugh Cash, Peter's father, who died 14 years before, had been in the earl's avowry, paying 2d a year. Peter, as Hugh's son, had inherited the obligation, and had never paid the 2d, so there was now 14 years in arrear.

Peter denied that his father had ever been in the earl's avowry, nor had his father ever paid a penny for the same, and he asked for the matter to be decided by a jury. But the judge said that as this touched on Crown seisin, the avowry rolls and inquisitions should first be searched. The rolls were searched, and it was found that a Robert Cash and all his sons had been in the avowry. The keepers claimed that Peter was one of Robert's issue.

Peter denied that Robert Cash had been his father or his grandfather, and therefore he, Peter, had no duty to support the avowry. The parties agreed that a jury be summoned to inquire into the matter.

The jury found that one Richard Cash, who had been in the earl's avowry, had had three sons, Robert, Ralph and Hugh. Of these, Robert, the first-born, had had a son called Pymme, who had been in the avowry. Pymme had two sons, Henry and Richard; Henry was alive, and supports the avowry. Therefore Peter should have his mare, and the keepers were amerced.

This case makes it clear that the duty to maintain the avowry by paying the yearly twopence descended in the male line, and there is no indication that it was tied to a certain piece of land. The records of the avowry were so poorly kept up to date that the only evidence they could provide related to a dead Robert Cash, grandfather of the living Henry Cash, who was supporting the avowry; and it also seems likely that the keepers were somehow unaware that Henry Cash was paying his yearly twopence.

Hugh would clearly wish to bring some order to his office, and to make sure that all revenue due was collected. Hugh was the target of the minor insurrection of 1308 (see AQUE under WEAVER; and CONSPIRACY). An officer seeking to maximise his revenues but armed with poor records as to what was actually due might well become unpopular.

20:466 [23 April 1308]

p^r e'

Petrus de Cash' op se u^s Hug' de Wyco de pl'ito quare ip'e sⁱ cu' Hug' fil' Rob'ti Le Lost & Joh'ne de Coton' cepu't qddam Jumentu' suu' &c' Et ip'e non ven' Et preceptu' fuit vic' q atach' eu' q esset hic ad hu'c Com' &c' Et vic' modo mand' q'd nⁱ h't in balli'a sua p quod potest atach' I'o prec' est vic' sicut pⁱus q'd atach' eu' &c' Ita q eu' h'eat ad p^xm Com' ad r'nd' p^rd'co Pet^o &c' de pl'ito pred'co &c'

20:534 [28 May 1308]

Hugo fil' Rob'ti le Lost Joh'es de Coten' & Hug' de Wico sum' fu'nt ad respond' Petro Cash' de pl'ito quare cepunt quoddam Jume'tum suu'. & illud iniuste detinueru't &c'. Et vnde querit'. q'd ijdem Hugo Joh'es & Hugo die Lune p^xa an' anu'ciaco'em b'e Marie anno R' nu'c p^mo. ap'd Adlington'. in quodam loco qⁱ vocatur Longebothum cepunt quoddam Jumentu' ip'ius Petⁱ & illud fugau'nt vsq' Macclesfeld. & ibi illud impcau'nt & inpcatu' detinuer'nt quousq' deliber' facta f'ca⁵⁴ p br'e d'ni Regis. vnde dicit q'd def'iorat^s est & dampnu' h'et ad valenc'. viginti solid'. Et inde pducit sectam. &c'.

Et p^rd'ci Hugo Joh'es & Hugo. ven'. & defend' vim & iniuria'. q'n &c'. Et Joh'es p se & alii aduocat +capc'om+ iustam &c'. Et dicit q'd ip'e & quidam Hugo de Fouleshurst sunt custod' aduoc' d'ni R' Com' Cestr' in Com' Cestr'. et q'd p quamdam Inquisic'oem cora' ip'is captam apud Macklesfeud die Lune p^xa post Purif' b'e Marie vlti'o p^rf'ito,⁵⁵ comptu' fuit, q'd quidam Hugo Cash pat^r p^rd'ci Petri fuit in aduocaria d'ni Reg^s Com' Cestr'. redd'o p annu'. duos denarios +qui+ quidem Hugo obiit. quatuor decim annis elaps'. & q'd p^rd'cus Petrus fuit fil' suus & heres qui post morte' p^rd'ci Hug' p'ris sui. de p^rd'cis duob^s denariis annuatim reddend' p aduocar' p^rd'ca. de iure debuit on'ari; & q'd p^rd'cus Petr^s p^rd'cos duos denarios p aduocar' illa p quatuordecim annos no' soluit. immo illos conclauit. vnde p co'celame'to. & arrerag' p^rd'cor duor den'. de aduocar' d'ni Reg^s Com'. &c'. p q^atuordecim annos aretro existenc'. videl' ij.s' & .iiij.d'. aduoc' capc'oem. iusta'. &c'. & no'ie d'ni. R' Com'. &c'.

Et p^rd'cus Petrus dicit q'd p^rd'cus Hugo Cash pat^r suus nu'cq^a fuit in aduocar d'ni Reg^s Com'. &c'. nec aliqu'e denariu' p aduocar' vnq^a in vita sua d'no Reg' Com' Cestr' soluebat & hoc patus est v'ificare p p'riam. &c', Et qa h'is v'ificac'o sup seisina' d'ni Reg^s no' est admittenda q^ousq' sc^utent^r Rot'li. & inqⁱsic'oes de aduocar'. dat^s est dies p^tib^s vsq' ad p^xm Com' Et int'im. Scrutent^r Rot'li & inqⁱsic'oes &c'. — Postea sc^utatis rotul' de aduocar' comptu' fuit p eosdem q'd quidam Rob'us Cashe & om'es pueri sui fu'nt in aduocaria d'ni Reg^s. vnde p^rd'ci Hugo & Joh'es dicu't p d'no R'. q'd p^rd'cus Petrus est. exitus de p^rd'co Rob'to & debet esse in aduocar' d'ni Reg^s &c'. +portando aduocariam+ sicut supⁱs p d'no R' aduocarut. Et p^rd'cus Petrus dicit q'd idem Rob'us no' fuit pat^r suus nec auus suus, ne ip'e est exit^s de p^rd'co Rob'to. nec aliq^am aduocaria' p ip'o portate debet +Et hoc+ petit q'd inqⁱrat^r Et p^rd'ci Hugo & Joh'es p d'no Rege. si'lit'. Ideo p^rceptu' est vic'. q'd venire fac' ad p^xm Com' .xij. &c'. p quos &c'. Et qⁱ nec p^rd'cm Petru'. &c'. Quia tam. &c'.

Postea ad Com' die M^artis p^xa post Octab' s'ci Mich'is pxi'o sequ's⁵⁶ ven'. tam p^rd'cus Petr^s q^am p^rd'ci Custod'. & Jur'. si'lr — Jur' dicu't sup sacr'm suu', q'd qⁱdam Ric'us Cashe +..... aduocaria'+ h'uit tres filios, Rob'm, Ran' & Hug' Et dicu't q'd Rob' fil' eius antenat^s fuit in aduocaria — qⁱ qⁱd' Rob'us h'uit que'd' fil' no'ie Pymme existe'tem in aduocaria Pymme h'uit duos fil'. Henr' & Ric'm, qui quide' Henr' adhuc supstites est in aduocaria & portat onus aduocar' p^rd'ce Et Dicu't q'd p^rd'cus Hugo p^r p^rd'ci Petⁱ nu'cq^a fuit in aduocar' nec idem Petrus est de exitu p^rd'ci Rob'i n^c aliq^a aduocar' p eu' portare debet I'o cons' est q'd p^rd'cus Petrus h'eat Jumentu' suu' deliberatu' & p^rd'cor Custod' in m'ia

⁵⁴ sic

⁵⁵ Monday 18 March 1308

⁵⁶ Tuesday 8 October 1308

20:621 [19 July 1308]

*Jur^a int^r Petru' de Cash' & Hug' fil' Rob'ti Le Lost po' in resp^ctu' vsq' px' Com' p
def'cu iurator. qa nullus &c' Ideo prec' est vic' q'd h'eat corpa. &c' ad px' Com' &c' Et p^rt
alios tot & tales &c'*

21:30 [1 October 1308]

m'ie .iiij.s'.

*Ric'us de Bosdon' +xij.d'+. Will's de Thorneclogh' +xij.d'+. Adam de Fernilegh' +xij.d'+.
Henr' de Chorlegh' +xij.d'+qa no' ven' in inqsic'one int^r Petr' Cashe & Hugo'em de
Fouleshurst in m'ia*

20:657, 659 [9 July 1308] see CONSPIRACY

Roger de Gledhurst was accused by Margery daughter of Robert de Badington of having maliciously striven to have her burdened by avowry for her mother Alice to render the king 4d a year.

Margery said that Alice had been in avowry, and Roger, as lawful son of Alice, born in wedlock, and who as next-of-kin should have inherited the charge from Alice, had striven to burden her, Margery, with the charge.

Roger said that the avowry charge should devolve upon Margery, not upon him. Alice had previously been married to one Robert de Gledhurst, his father, who had begotten him, and that he, Roger, had been born after Robert's death. That after Roger's birth, Alice had placed herself in avowry, of her own accord, and afterwards married Robert de Badington, and that Margery was their daughter. As Alice had adopted the borden of avowry after Roger's birth, he could not inherit it from her.

Margery said that, as lawful son and next-of-kin of Alice, Roger should inherit the avowry. Moreover, she claimed that Robert de Badington had been in avowry, and after Robert's death his goods came, together with Roger, into Alice's custody, and Alice had voluntarily put herself in avowry until Roger came of age, to avoid the goods being eloigned.

Roger denied that Robert de Badington had ever been in avowry; but then Margery conceded that she had claimed unjustly that Roger should bear the charge, and she agreed with Hugh de Fowlshurst, the keeper of the avowries, to henceforth pay the 4d charge.

Nevertheless, a suit was brought by the Crown against Roger claiming that his father Robert had died in avowry, and that Roger should pay the 4d avowry charge. Roger wanted the matter to be put to a jury, but, after several adjournments, the avowry rolls were searched, and Robert de Badington's name was found, paying a charge of 4d, so the court found against Roger.

21:173 [12 November 1308]

*pl'. Will'i de P^aers Rog^ri de Gled'
M'.*

*Rog^rus de Gledhurst attach' fuit ad respondend'. tam d'no Regi. q^am Marg^fie fil'
Rob'i de Batinton'. de eo q'd idem Rog^rus +iniuste &+ maliciose nititur ip'am Marg^fiam.*

uersus d'nm Rege' onerari de aduocaria supportand' p Alicia matre sua reddend' p annu'. eid'm d'no Regi. quatuor denarios p aduocaria p'd'ca. vnde eadem Marg'ia querit', q'd cum p'd'ca Alicia in aduocar' &c' extitisset, & idem Rog'us. sit filius eiusdem Alicie in legi'o Mat'rimonio natus. qui vt filius & dignior sang'ne post morte' p'd'ce Alicie onus p'd'ce aduocarie sustinere debeat; idem Rog'us ~~idem Rog'us~~ p'd'cam Marg'iam de aduocaria p'd'ca. nititur on'ari minus iuste in decepc'oem. & contemptu' d'ni Reg's manifestu' ad dampnu'. ip'ius Marg'ie Centu' solid'. Et inde pducit sectam &c'

Et p'd'cus Rog'us ven'. & defend' vim & iniuria'. q'n. &c'. Et dicit q'd p'd'ca Marg'ia iniuste se quer' &c'. Quia dicit q'd onus aduocarie p'd'ce p p'd'ca Alicia sustinend' sibi incumbere no' debet. Immo eidem Marg'ie, Dicit enim. q'd p'd'ca Alicia Mat' &c' prius nupta fuit cuidam Rob'to de Gledhurst p'ri suo. qui. ip'm de p'd'ca Alicia pcreauit. & p'stea obiit, Et p'd'ca Alicia p'stq^a idem Rog'us. natus fuit in viduitate sua de f'co suo pp'o se onerabat' de aduocaria p'd'ca. Et postea nupsit se cuidam Rob'to de Batinton'. qui de eadem Alicia pcreauit p'd'cam Marg'iam. vnde dicit desicut ip'e fuit seisitus a p'd'co Rob'to. in n'lla existente aduocaria. & ecia' natus. an'q^a. p'd'ca Alicia se de p'dicta aduocaria on'abatur, & p'd'ca Marg'ia p'stq^a inde onerabat'. genita fuit & nata; petit iudiciu' si ip'am Marg'iam natam post on'ac'oem p'd'cam. in pace dimittendo, ip'e on'ari debeat de aduocaria p'd'ca &c'.

Et p'd'ca Marg'ia dicit q'd ex quo idem Rog'us no' dedicit q'n sit filius p'd'cor +Rob'i &+ Alicie in Legi'o M'rionio natus; Et si Hereditas aliqua ex pte p'd'ce Alic' Mat's sue alicui descenderet; eidem Rog'o vt filio & heredi ip'ius Alicie vt digniori sang'ne descendere debuisset; et cuius est co'modum & onus esse debet &c'; Dicit eciam q'd p'd'cus Rob'us de Gledhurst p'r p'd'ci Rog'i fuit in aduocaria d'ni Com'. post cuius morte' bona p'd'ci Rob'i Simul cu' p'd'co Rog'o deuenit in custodia p'd'ce Alicie vx'is p'd'ci Rob'i & Mat's p'd'ci Rog'i, que no'ie p'd'ci Rog'i fil' sui se on'abat' de p'd'ca aduocaria vsq' ad etatem ip'ius Rog'i sustinenda, ne bona p'd'ca a seisina sua elongarent'. vnde desicut ip'a Alicia se no' on'abat' de p'd'ca aduocaria nisi no'ie p'd'ci Rog'i & vsq' ad temp^s &c' petit iudiciu'. si p'd'cus Rog'us de p'd'ca aduocaria on'ari no' debeat in hac pte &c'. — Et p'd'cs Rog'us dicit q'd Rob's pat' suus no' fuit aduocar'. nec. no'ie ip'i's Rog'i fil' ip'i's Rob'i. p'd'ca Alic' se on'abat' de p'd'ca aduocar'. Immo de f'co suo pp'o se ip'am posuit in aduocar' & de hoc ponit se sup p'riam. Et p'd'ca Alic' simil'r. I'o p'ceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac'. ad px'm Com' .xij. &c' p quos. &c'. Postea co'tinuato p'cessu vsq' ad Com' die M'rtis px^a post Natal' D'ni. Anno R' nu'c tercio ⁵⁷ ven' tam p'd'ca ⁵⁸ fil' Rob'i de Batinton'. q^a p'd'cs Rog'us. Et p'd'ca M'rg'ia bene co'gnouit q'd iniuste c'questa fuit de p'd'co Rog'o. eo q'd Marg'ia ⁵⁹ Mat' sua. diu postq^a idem Rog's natus fuit se on'abat de aduocar' illa. de f'co suo pp'o. et q'd ip'a de iure onus illius aduocarie sustinere debeat. et q'd de aduocar' p'd'ca Hug' de Fouleshurst custodi aduocar' in toto satisfac'it. p'd'co Hug' p'sente & hoc co'cedente. I'o consid' est q'd p'd'ca M'rg'ia & hered' sui onerent de aduocaria illa imp'p'm. Et nich'o's p f'lo clam' in m'ia. Et p'd'cs Rog'us inde sine die. &c'.

Datus est eis dies ad px'm Com'. de aud' Judic'o &c'

M'. no'. p'.

Idem Rog'us ad secta' d'ni Reg's calu'pniat'. de eo q'd cum Rob'us de Gledhurst p'r ip'ius Rog'i fuit in aduocaria d'ni Regis. reddendo p annu' eidem d'no Regi quatuor denar' p aduocar' p'd'ca. & idem Rog'us vt fil' p'd'ci Rob'i on's p'd'ce aduocarie sustin'e debeat; se de aduocaria illa ia' s'btraxit. & p'd'cos quatuor denarios eidem d'no Regi debitos post morte' p'd'ci Rob'i huc usq' conclauit in co'temptu' d'ni Reg's. & dampnu' ip'ius d'ni Reg's

⁵⁷ Tuesday 30 December 1309

⁵⁸ omitted: Marg'ia

⁵⁹ sic, for Alicia

q^adrag'. libr' Et p^rd'cus Rob'us venit. Et dicit. q^d p^rd'cus Rob'us pat^r suus nu'c q^a fuit in aduocar' d'ni. nec ip'e aliquod onus aduocar' p^r ip'o de Jure sustin^re debeat. Et de hoc pon' se sup p^riam. &c'. Et qa scrutatis rot'lis aduocar' nome' eiusdem Rob'i de Gledhurst in eisdem inuent^s insertu'. & oneratu' de quatuor denar'. p^r annu' p^r aduocaria p^rd'ca. I'o v^rificac'o p^rd'ca. no' est admittend'. Set p^rceptu' est Custodib^s aduocar'. q^d distringa't p^rd'cm Rog^rm. p^r quatuor denar' annuatim d'no Regi reddend'. p^r aduocar' p^rd'ca. & ecia' p^r arrerag' eiusde a tempe mortis p^rd'ci Rob'i, nisi ostendere pot'it aliu' Rob'm de Gledhurst q^am p^rem suu' in aduocar' existente' Et nich'o^s. idem Rog^rus. p^r s'btract'oe & concelame'to. in m'ia. &c'.

21:341 [4 February 1309]

Dies dat^s est Rog'o de Gledhurst. +&+ Marg'ie fil' Rob'i de Batynton'. tam v^rsus d'nm Rege' q^am u^rsus p^rd'cam Marg'iam de audiendo iudicio suo vsq' ad px'm Com'. eo q^d iudices sectator' no' du' &c'

21:402 [18 March 1309]

Dies datus est Rog'o de Gledhurst; & Marg'ie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' tam u^rs^s d'nm Rege' q^am u^rs^s p^rd'cam Marg'iam de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com'. eo q^d iudices sectator' no' du' &c'.

21:445 [18 March 1309]

Dies datus est Rog'o de Gledhurst & Marg'ie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' tam u^rs^s d'nm Rege' q^am u^rs^s p^rd'cam Marg'iam de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com' eo q^d iudices &c'

21:479 [29 April 1309]

Dies dat^s est Rog'o de Gledhurst & Marg'ie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' ta' u^rs^s d'nm Reg' q^a p^rd'cam Marg' de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com' eo q^d iudices, sectator' no' dum &c' & int'im sc^utent^r rot'li &c'.

21:599 [10 June 1309]

Dies dat^s Rog'o de Gledhurst & Marg'ie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' tam versus d'nm Rege' q^am p^rd'cam Marg'iam. de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px' Com' eo. q^d iudices &c' & int'im sc^utent^r rot'li

21:720 [22 July 1309]

Dies datus est Marg'ie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' quer' & Rog'o de Gledhurst ta' v^rs^s d'nm Rege'. q^am p^rd'cam Marg'ia' de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com' eo q^d iudices no' du' &c' Et int'im sc^utent^r rot'li &c'

Hugh Pocket of Willaston sued Richard son of Richard de Chorlton for having six days earlier seized a horse of his at Willaston and kept it until it was released by William de Massey, the coroner.

Richard appeared and said that he was under-bailiff of Hugh de Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries in Cheshire; that by an inquisition it had been found that Hugh Pocket should be in avowry, because Hugh's father [Hugh] had arrived in the shire, and obtained protection in avowry, but had afterwards withdrawn. Fowlshurst was called to testify, and he confirmed that Richard was his under-bailiff, had acted on his instructions, and for the reasons given.

Pocket wanted the matter to be put to a jury, but as the matter touched on Crown property, the judge decided that the avowry rolls be searched. After

several adjournments it was reported that the rolls had been searched, and Hugh Pocket the father's name had not been found.

21:267 [12 December 1308]

p^r e'

Ric'us filius Ric'i de Chorleton'. attach' fuit ad respondend'. Hugon' Poket de Wylaston'. de pl'ito quare cepit quemdam equu' ip'ius Hugon'. & illum iniuste detinuit. cont^a vad'. &c'. Et vnde idem Hugo querit' q'd. p^rd'cs Ric'us. die S'ci Nich'i. Anno. R'. R'. E. S'cdo. ⁶⁰ apud Wylaston' cepit quemdam equu' ip'ius Hugon' & illu' impcauit & iniuste detinuit. cont^a vad' & pleg'. quousq' deliberac'o f'ca fuit. p. Will'm de Mascy. Coronatore'. &c'. Vnde dicit q'd def'ioratus est & dampnu'. h'et ad valencia'. viginti solid'. Et inde pducit Sectam. &c'.

Et p^rd'cus. Ric'us venit. et defend' vim & iniuria'. q'n. &c'. Et dicit. q'd ip'e est s'balliuus Hugon' de Fouleshurst. custod' aduocar' d'ni Reg' in Com' Cestris', coram quo. p quamdam Inq'sic'iem capta'. apud [blank] comptu'. fuit. q'd. idem Hugo Poket. esse debuisset in aduocar' d'ni. Reg^s. +eo q'd p^r suus fuit adue'tici^s & fuit in aduocar'+ & se de aduocaria illa. s'btraxit. Ita q'd idem Hugo de Fouleshurst. custos &c'. sibi dedit in p^rceptis. q'd. distring'et p^rd'cm Hugon' Poket ad respond' d'no Reg'. de concelamento & s'btracc'oe. aduocar' d'ni Reg^s. et ita p^rceptum p^rd'ci Hugon' de Fouleshurst cui^s subballiuus ip'e est. cogn' capc'oem. p^rd'ci equi. sine quo. &c'. & no'ie d'ni Reg^s. &c'. H'eat eu' ad px'm Com' p auxilium Cur'. &c'. Ad que' Com' p^rd'cus Hugo venit. & iu'xit se p^rd'co. Ric'o. subballi'o suo. & aduoc' capc'oem p^rd'cam. occasione p^rd'ca. & no'ie d'ni Reg^s. &c'. — Et p^rd'cus Hugo. dicit. q'd pat' suus no' fuit. aduenticius. nec in aduocar' d'ni R^s. nec ip'e in aduocar' illa esse debet, et de hac ponit se sup p'riam. &c'. Et qa hi^s v'ificac'o sup seisin'a d'ni R^s no' est admittenda. quousq' sc^utent' Rot'li & inq'sic'oes. vtrum no'ine p^rd'ci. Hugon' seu pat's sui in p^rd'cis Rot'lis sit insertu' nec ne. Id'o dat^s est eis dies vsq' ad px'm Com'. &c'. Et int'im. &c'. Postea scrutatis Rot'lis aduoc'. qa nome' p^rd'ci Hugon'. Poket p'ris &c' in eisdem no' est inue'tum; I'o p^rcept' est vic' q'd venire fac'. ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'

21:446 [18 March 1309]

Dies datus Hug' Poket de Wilaston' quer'; & Ric'o fil' Ric'i de Chorlton' & Hug' de Fouleshurst custod' aduocar' d'ni R^s vsq' ad px'm Com'. eo q'd iudic' sectator' &c'. Et int'im sc^utent' rot'li & inq'sic'oes &c'

21:480 [29 April 1309]

ad px'm

Dies datus est Hug' Poket de Wilaston; quer'; & Ric'o fil' Ric'i de Chorlton' & Hug' de Fouleshurst custodi aduocar' d'ni R^s vsq' ad px'm Com'; eo q'd iudic' sectator' no' du' &c' Et int'im sc^utent' rot'li &c'

21:551 [29 April 1309]

Dies datus Hugoni Poket de Wilaston', quer' & Hugoni de Fouleshurst custodi aduocar' d'ni Reg^s & Ric'o fil' Ric'i de Chorlton' de pl'ito capc' cuiusda' equi de audiendo iudic'o vsque ad px' Com' de audiendo iudic'o⁶¹ eo q'd rotuli no' du' scrutent' & int'im sc^utent' &c'

21:600 [10 June 1309]

Dies datus est Hugoni Poket de Wilaston' quer'; & Ric'o fil' Ric'i de Chorlton' & Hugoni de Fouleshurst custodi aduocar' d'ni R^s. eo q'd iudic' &c' Et int'im sc^utent' rotuli &c'

⁶⁰ Friday 6 December 1308

⁶¹ sic

21:721 [22 July 1309]

Dies datus est Hugoni Poket de Wilaston' quer'; & Ric'o fil' Ric'i de Chorlton' & Hugoni de Fouleshurst custodi aduocar' d'ni. R^s. de audiendo iudic'o suo &c' eo q'd iudic' no' du' &c' Et inf'im sc^utent' rot'li &c'.

22:173 [25 November 1309]

Dies datus est Hugon' de Fouleshurst custodi aduoc' d'ni R^s & Hugoni Poket de Wylaston' +de aud' Judic'o suo+ de pl'ito detenc' cui^sd' Jume'ti. vsq' ad px^m Com'. &c'. eo q'd no' du' scrutent' Rot'li aduocar'. &c' Et inf'im. &c'

22:291 [30 December 1309]

Dies datus est Hug' de Fouleshurst Custodi aduoc' d'ni Reg^s et Hug' Poket de Wylaston' de aud' Judic'o suo de pl'o Capt' & detenc' cui^sdam Jumentu. vsq' ad px^m Com' &c' eo q'd no' Scrutent' Rotuli aduoc' & Et Inf'im &c'.

Hugh de Fowlshurst claimed that, as keeper of the royal avowries, on Sunday 14 September 1308, when he seized a horse belonging to John Picard, tailor, a burgess of Macclesfield, claiming it as a distraint for arrears, 41 Macclesfield men, many of them burgesses, attacked Hugh with swords, beat, wounded and ill-treated him, and retrieved the horse. He claimed to have suffered £40 damage, plus £1000 for contempt of the king.

Hugh summoned all 41 in the county court in Chester, but not one attended. The hundred of Macclesfield, including the borough, was an exempt liberty within the shire; a writ was sent to the bailiff of the hundred to attach the men to appear, but he would not comply. The county sheriff was then ordered by the court to go in person to Macclesfield, to attach them.

At the following court Fowlshurst summoned thirteen of the 41 — John Dapsy, Thomas Coly, William son of Mabilla, John son of Pymme, Hugh son of Hugh de Backford, Thomas Eliot, Henry Gosling, William the chaplain of John Picard, William de Warrington, William Clipping, Adam Tipping and Thomas de Astbury. The sheriff had entered the liberty, but had been unable to find eight of the thirteen. The five that he found (Eliot, Clipping, Gosling, Tipping and Astbury) had been attached, and had found pledges to attend court, but in the event none of them appeared, so all their ten sureties (including John Picard himself) were amerced, in their absence, sixpence each.

Six more of the 41 then appeared in court (William le Mercer, Hugh le Rede, Thomas de Leek, Richard le Swordslipper, John Jordan and Roger son of Thomas de Healey) and completely denied any involvement in the incident, and asked that the matter be put to a jury. The court deferred looking into their case.

The remaining 22 men (including John Picard) then appeared in court, on exactly the same charge, and stated that they were burgesses of the borough of Macclesfield, and as such were exempt by royal charter from appearing at Chester in such a case. They cited a charter received from the king himself, made as earl of Chester before he succeeded to the throne, confirming Macclesfield's status as a free borough; and they referred to a case heard in the

county court in 32 Edward I ⁶², when John de Astbury and Richard le Barker had been indicted for exchanging debased French currency, their immunity as burgesses was accepted, and their case was referred to the justice sitting in eyre at his next visit to Macclesfield. The doomsmen, steward and suitors of the county court now agreed that burgesses of Macclesfield should only be tried in Macclesfield, and Hugh was told that, should he wish to pursue the case, he should put it before the Macclesfield eyre.

At the following county court, Fowlshurst pursued his claim against seven of the thirteen (John Dapsy, Thomas Coly, William son of Mabilla, Hugh son of Hugh de Backford, Thomas Eliot, William Clipping, and Thomas de Astbury). The sheriff had been ordered to distrain Eliot, Clipping and Astbury, and to seize Dapsy, Coly, William son of Mabilla, Backford and Warrington, if they could be found. The sheriff had been unable to find Clipping, but had seized goods worth two shillings; he had not found Astbury, but taken goods worth 14d. Eliot had been found, but had no property by which he could be distrained. Dapsy, Coly, William son of Mabilla, Backford and Warrington had not been found.

Fowlshurst then separately sued William, John Picard's chaplain, for the same incident, claiming £40 plus £1000 damages. The chaplain appeared, and denied having been involved; his case was therefore put to a jury, to be held at the next sitting; but this does not seem to have been pursued.

John son of Pymme, Henry Gosling and Adam Tipping next appeared to answer in the same case. They stated that they, too, were burgesses of Macclesfield, so Hugh was instructed to sue them in Macclesfield, should he wish. Nevertheless, the court amerced them each in a shilling for previous defaults.

At the next county court, 10 June 1309, Fowlshurst brought the case again against Backford, Eliot, Clipping and Astbury. They stated that they, too, were burgesses of Macclesfield, so Hugh was instructed to sue them in Macclesfield, should he wish. Nevertheless, the court amerced them each in a shilling for previous defaults.

At the same county court Fowlshurst sued Dapsy, Coly, William son of Mabilla and Warrington, for the same incident: the sheriff had been ordered to take them, but had done nothing. He was again ordered to take them, and this part of the case was deferred to the next sitting.

That was on 22 July 1309. The sheriff now said that he had been unable to find them; he was ordered to try again. At the next court, 2 September 1309, the process was repeated, and so from court to court until March 1310 when they were outlawed, with a view to seizing all their possessions.

21:323 [4 February 1309]

⁶² 1302-1303, a year for which the county court rolls are now lost

p^r e'

Hugo de Fouleshurst Custos aduocar' d'ni R^s qui sequit' p d'no Rege op. se versus Joh'em Pikart de Macclesfeld Cissore', Rob'm de Brounhull, Simo'em fil' Rad'i, Ric'm Curteys, Will' Le Mercer, Will'm Liltinghorn, Will'm de Shriggelegh', Joh'em Jurdan, Joh'em Slegh', Joh'em de London' cissore'. Rob'm del Lach', Joh'em Dapcy, Thom' Coly, Rog'm Le Walker, Ric'm de Pedelegh', Hug' Le Rede, Thom' de Lake, Herueium del Nol, Will'm fil' Mabill', Ric'm Bost, Hug' fil' Hug' de Barkisford', Thom' Elyot, Henr' Geselyng, Will'm Capell'm Joh'is Pikart, Ric'm fil' Marg^rie, Joh'em fil' Pymme Will'm de Weryngton' Ric'm le Swordesliper, Rog'm fil' Thom' de Helegh', Will'm Le Barker, Henr' Le Mercer, Will'm Clippyng, Jurdan^s fil' Ric'i Le Rede, Ada' Tippyng. Rog'm de Shriggelegh', Rob'm Le Mercer, Will'm fr'em eiusde' Rob'ti, Reginald' Le Barker, Galfrid' Ronge Thom' de Astebur' Et Petru' fr'em eiusd' Thom' de pl'ito q^are cu' idem Hug' q^emdam equ' ip'i^s Joh'is Pikart Cissor' p s'uic'o d'ni Reg^s a retro existente cepisset & ip'm s'cdm Lege' & consued' inpcare voluisset; p^rd'ci Joh'es & alii in ip'm Hug' apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' fec'u't & ip'm verb'aueru't, vuln'aueru't & malet^actau'u't & alia enormia &c' Et equ' p^rd'cm rescusseru't in conte'ptu' d'ni Reg^s manifestu' & dampnu' ip'ius Hug' no' Modicu' & cont^a pace' &c' Et ip'i no' veniu't. Et p^rcept' fuit vic' q'd attacharet eos p corpa. Et q'd h'eret eos hic ad h'nc diem. Et vic' modo mand' q'd p^rceptat ball'o Lib'tat^s de Macclesfeld qui nichil inde fecit Ideo p^rceptu' est vic' q'd no' omittat ppt^r lib'tate' p^rd'cam q'n ea' &c' & attach' eos p corpa. Et q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px'm Com' ad respond' d'no Regi &c'.

21:450 [18 March 1309]

m'ie .iiij.s;

.vj.d'.

p^r e'

Hugo de Fouleshurst^d qui sequit' p d'no Rege optulit se u^rsus Joh'em Dapsi': Thom' Coly⁺, Will'm filium Mabille⁺. Joh'em fil' Pymme^b. Hugo'em fil' Hugo'is de Barkesford⁺. Thom' Eliot⁺. Henr' Geseling^b. Will'm capell'm⁺ Joh' Pikard. Will'm de Werynton⁺, Will'm Clipping⁺, Adam Tipping^b & Thom' de Astebur⁺ de pl'ito quar' cu' idem Hug' quemda' equu' Joh'is Pycard cissor' p s'uicio d'no Regi aretro existent' cepisset & ip'm s'cdm lege' & consuetudine' &c' inpcasse uoluisset; p^rd'ci Joh'es & alii in ip'm Hugo'em ap'd Maclesfeld vi & armis insultu' feceru't & ip'm verb'aueru't & male t^actaueru't & alia enormia &c' Et equu' p^rd'cm ei rescusseru't in co'temptu' &c' Et ip'i no' veniu't — Et p^rcept' fuit vic' q'd no' omitt'et ppt^r lib'tate' de Macclesfeld q'n eam ingred' & ath' p^rd'cos Joh' & alios q'd essent hic ad hunc com' &c' & vic' modo mand' q'd Thom' Eliot ath' est p Joh'em Pikard +vj.d'+ & Rob'm de Brounehull, Et +q'd+ Henry' Geseling' ath' est p Simone' fil' Rad'i +vj.d'+ & Ric'm Curteys +vj.d'+, Et +q'd+ Will's Clipping ath' est p Rog'm le Walker +vj.d'+ & Ric'm de Peddelegh' +vj.d'+, Et +q'd+ Adam Tipping ath' est p Herviu' del nol +vj.d'+. & Ric'm fil' M^rrg^rie +vj.d'+ — Et +q'd+ Thom' de Astebur' ath' est p Henr' le Mercer +vj.d'+ & Will'm le Barker +vj.d'+ Ideo ip'i in m'ia — Et p^rcept' est vic' q'd distr' eos p om's terras & catalla &c' — Et de exit' &c' Et q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px'm com' &c' Et de p^rd'cis Joh'e Dapsi Thom' Coly Will'o fil' Mabille. Joh'e fil' Pymme. Hug' fil' Hug' de Barkesford Will'o capell'o. ++ Will'o de Werynton' mand' idem vic' q'd no' su't i'uent' nec aliq^d h'ent p q'd &c' Ideo p^rceptu' est vic' q'd cap' eos si &c' Et saluo &c' Ita q'd eos h'eat ad px'm com' &c'

21:451 [18 March 1309]

p^r e'

inqⁱsic'p cap' ap'd Macclesfeld p fine'.

Will'us le Mercer' Hugo le Rede' Thom' de Lek. Ric'us le Swordsliper⁺, Joh'es Jordan', & Rog'us fil' Thom' de Helegh' ath' fueru't ad respond' Hugo'i de Fouleshurst qui sequi' p d'no Rege de pl'ito quar' cu' Idem Hugo quemda' equu' cuiusda' Joh'is Pikard cissor' p

s' uic'o d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & ip'm s'cdm legem & consuetudine' &c' inpcasse uoluisset iidem Will'us & alii in ip'm Hugo'em ap'd Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' feceru't & ip'm verb'aueru't wln'aueru't & male t'ctaueru't & alia enormia &c' Et equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't in contemptu' &c' vnde Idem Hug' queri' q'd cu' ip'e die d'nica px' post natiuitate' beate Marie virginis anno Regis nu'c s'cdo ⁶³ apud Macclesfeld' equu' cuiusdam Joh'is Pikard cissor' p s'uicio d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & inpcasse uoluisset iidem Will'us & alii vi & armis videl't gladiis &c' in ip'm Hug' +ap'd Maclesfeld+ insultu' feceru't & ip'm verb'aueru't wln'aueru't & male t'ctaueru't & alia enormia &c' Et equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't in co'temptu' d'ni Regis manifestu' vnde dicit q'd def'ioratus est & dampnu' h'et ad ualenc' q'draginta libr' & in contemptu' d'ni Regis Mille libr' & inde pducit sectam &c'

Et p'd'ci Will'us & alii ven' & defend' vim & i'uriam q'ndo &c' Et dicu't q'd ip'i p'd'cis die & anno nullam t'nsgressio'em eidem Hug' feceru't nec alique' equu' ab eo rescusseru't sicut eis inponit & de hoc ponu't se sup p'riam Et p'd'cus Hug' sil'r. Ideo p'ceptu' est vic' q'd venir' fac' ad px'm com' .xij. &c' quos &c' & qui nec &c' qa tam &c'.

21:452 [18 March 1309]

Macclesf

Joh'es Pikard de Macclesfeld cissor. Rob'tus de Brounehull, Simon fil' Rad'i, Ric'us Curteys, Will's Liltinghorn, Joh'es Slegh', Joh'es de London' cissor. Rob'tus del Lache. Rog's le Walker. Ric'us de Peddelegh'. Herveus del Nol. Ric'us Boost. Ric'us fil' M'rg'ie, Will'us le Barker, Henr' le Mercer, Jordan' fil' Ric'i le Rede⁺ Rog's de Schrygelegh", Rob'tus le Mercer, Will'us frat' eiusde' Rob't, Reginaldus le Barker, Galfridus Ronge & Petrus frat' Thom' de Astebur" ath' fueru't ad respond' Hug' de Fouleshurst qui sequi' p d'no Rege de pl'ito quar' cu' idem Hug' quemdam equu' cuiusdam Joh'is Pikard cissor' p s'uicio d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & ip'm s'cdm legem & consuetudine' &c' inpcasse noluisset iidem Joh'es & alii in ip'm Hug' ap'd Macclesfeld' vi & armis insultu' feceru't & ip'm verb'aueru't wln'aueru't & male t'ctaueru't & alia enormia &c' & equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't in contemptu' &c' vnde idem Hug' queri' q'd cu' ip'e die d'nica px' post natiuitate' beate Marie virginis anno Regis nu'c s'cdo ⁶⁴ apud Macclesfeld equu' d'ci Joh'is Pykard cissor' p s'uicio d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & inpcasse uoluisset iidem Joh'es & alii vi & armis videl't gladiis &c' in ip'm Hug' ap'd Macclesfeld' insultu' feceru't & ip'm verberaueru't wln'aueru't & male t'ctaueru't & alia enormia &c' & equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't in conte'ptu' d'ni Regis manifestu' vnde dicit q'd def'ioratus est & dampnu' h'et ad ualenc' q'draginta libr' & in conte'ptu' d'ni Regis Mille libr' & inde pducit sectam &c'.

Et p'd'ci Joh'es & alii veniu't & defend' vim & i'uriam q'ndo &c' Et dicu't q'd ip'i sunt burgenses ville de Macclesfeld & q'd in com' hic n' alibi in com' Cestris' no' debent inplacitari nec de aliquo pl'ito iudicari ex^a burgu' d'ni Regis de Macclesfeld — Quia dicu't q'd d'ns Edwardus Rex pat' Regis nu'c te'pe quo fuit comes Cestr' co'cessit & carta sua co'firmauit q'd villa de Macclesfeld liber burgus sit Et q'd burgens' eiusdem burgi no' implacitent' nec de aliq^o pl'ito iudicent' ex^a burgu' p'd'cm. Et pfer't carta' p'd'ci Edwardi q' hoc idem testat' — Et dicu't q'd a te'pe co'fec'ois p'd'ce carte semp hucusq' usi su't hi^s lib'tate q'd n'lls burgens' p'd'ci burgi alicubi ex^a burgu' p'd'cm no' sunt inpl'itatus nec de aliq^o pl'ito iudicatus, Et petu't q'd eor' lib'tati no' derogetur in h'c pte — Quesiti ubi q'ndo & cora' quo hi^s lib'tas petita fuit & allocata — Dicu't q'd Anno Reg' E. p'ris Reg' nu'c .xxviiij.⁶⁵ cora' Ric'o de Masey tu'c Justic' Cestr' & Will'o de Brychull' assignat' ad

⁶³ Sunday 15 September 1308

⁶⁴ Sunday 15 September 1308

⁶⁵ 20 November 1299 – 19 November 1300

*inquirend' de escambient' moneta' q' vocat' Cokedan*⁶⁶ *quidam Joh'es de Astebur' & Ric'us le Barker burgens' ville p'd'ce indictati fu'unt de escambio p'd'ce monete & in com' hic cora' eosd' Justic' arenati; Qui ven' in eodem com' & alleg' q'd ip'i fu'unt burgens' p'd'ce ville quibus d'ns Rex p carta' sua' co'cessit q'd de aliq^o pl'ito impl'itar' n^c de aliq^o pl'ito ext^a burgu' p'd'cm iudicari deberent Ita q'd lib'tas p'd'ca tu'c cora' eisdem Justic' p consid' cur' allocata fuit & loquela illa ad lib'tate' p'd'cam retornata ibide' cora' Justic'o in Itin'e t'mi'anda. — Dicu't & q'd +in com' hic+ anno Reg^s E p'ris Reg' nu'c .xxxij.⁶⁷ cora' Will'o Trussel tu'c Justic'o Cestr' quid' Adam Byran, Joh'es de Astebur' & alii impl'itati fu'unt p quemd' Thom' del Dounes de pl'ito debiti ubi iidem Ada' Joh'es & alii ven' & alleg' p'd'cam lib'tate' Ita q'd eadem lib'tas tu'c cora' eodem Justic'o allocato & loquela illa ad p'd'cam lib'tate' r'tornata fuit ibidem cora' Justic'o in Itin'e t'mi'anda, Et inde voc' record' rotulor com' de annis p'd'cis, Et petu't inde iud'm &c'. Et sup hoc d'ns Rex mand' br'e suu' Justic'i hic in hec verba*

Edwardus dei gr'a Rex Angl' D'ns Hib'n & Dux Aquit', dil'co & fideli suo Rob'to de Holand Justic' suo Cestr'; sal'tm. Quia Burgenses Isabelle Regine Angl' consortis n're K'ine de Macclesfeld' p carta' d'ni R p'ris n'ri anteq^a gub'nac'la regni sui recepat clamant h'ere quasdam lib'tates quib^s ip'i. & an'cessores sui Burgenses ville p'd'ce a tempe confecc'oīs carte p'd'ce semp acten^s pacifice vsi sunt & gauisi vt dicu't; vob' mandam^s q'd ip'os Burgenses lib'tatib^s p'd'cis vti & gaudere p'mittatis put eis vti debent & ip'i & an'cessores sui Burgenses ville p'd'ce semp a te'pe p'd'co lib'tatib^s illis hucusq' rac'onabil'r vti & gaudere co'sueu'nt. T' me ip'o &c'.

Et qa testatu' est p Judices +Seneschall'+ & sectator' Com' q'd Burgenses p'd'ci Burgi a tempe co'fecc'oīs p'd'ce carte d'ni Reg^s p'd'ca lib'tate vti & gaudere co'sueu'nt, Et q'd huiusmodi lib'tas coram Justiciariis in Comitatu hic alias petita allocata fuit Ita q'd loquele de quib^s hi^s lib'tas petita fuit retornate fu'nt apud Macclesfeld cora' Justic'o in Itin'e suo ibide' t'minand' Ideo p'd'ci Burgens'. modo h'eant lib'tate' sua'. saluo Iure d'ni R^s +alias+ &c'. Et d'cm est p'd'co Hugon' q'd loquelam sua' p'd'cam coram Justic'o Cestr' in pxi'o Itin'e suo apud Macclesfeld psequat' uersus p'd'cos Burgenses si sibi vid'it expedire

21:542 [29 April 1309]

no'a q'd pl'itand'. in Itin'e

Cat' forisf'ca

ij.s'.

xl.d'

p^r e'

Hugo de Fouleshurst qui sequit' p d'no Reg'. op se u'sus Joh'em Dapsi, Thom' Coly. Will'm fil' Mabill' Hugon' fil' Hugon' +ven'+ de Barkesford, Thom' Eliot' +ven'+ Will'm Clippyng' +ven'+ & Thom' de Astebury' +ven'+ de pl'ito quare cu' idem Hugo. quemdam equu' Joh'is Pikard Cissoris p s'uic'o suo d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & equu' illum s'cdm Legem & consuetudi'em &c' impcasse voluisset; p'd'ci Joh'es & alii +sim'l &c'+ in ip'm Hug' apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' fec'unt & ip'm v'b'aueru't & male tractau'nt, & alia enormia &c'. & equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't in conte'ptu' d'ni Reg^s &c'. Et ip'i no' ven'. Et p'ceptu' fuit vic'. q'd +no' om'tat ppt' lib'tate' de Macclesfeld q'n &c' &+ Distring'et p'd'cos Thom' Eliot' Will'm Clipping et Thom' de Astebury p om'es t'ras & catalla sua. &c'. Et q'd de exit'. &c'. Et q'd h'eret corpa eor ad hunc Com' &c'. Et q'd capet p'd'cos Joh'em Dapsi. Thom' Coly. Will'm fil' Mabill'. Hugon' fil' Hug' de Barkesford. & Will'm de Werington'. si ip'i inuenti &c'. Et saluo &c'. Et q'd h'eret corpa eor hic ad hunc Com' &c'. Et vic' modo mand'. q'd p'd'cus Will's Clipping distr' est p

⁶⁶ debased French coin

⁶⁷ 20 November 1302 – 19 November 1303

catall' ad valenc'. duor solid'. Et no' manucap' qa no' fuit Inuent^s &c'. Et q'd Thom' de Astebury. distr' est p catall' ad valenc' quadraginta denar'. & no' manucap' qa no' fuit inuent^s. &c'. Ideo Catall' Forisfact' &c'. Et nich'o^s p'ceptu' est vic' sicut alias. q'd no' om'tat &c'. q'n+ Distr' p'd'cos Will'm & Thom' p om'es t'ras &c'. Et q'd de exit'. &c'. Et q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px'm Com'. &c'. Et de p'd'co Thom' Eliot mand' idem vic'. q'd no' est inuent^s nec aliquid h'et p quod. &c'. Ideo p'ceptu' est vic' q'd no' omittat &c' q'n cap'. p'd'cm. Thom' Eliot. si &c' & saluo &c'. Et q'd h'eat corp^s eius ad px'm Com'. &c'. Et de p'd'cis Joh'e Dapsi, Thom' Coly. Will'o fil' Mabill'. Hugon' fil' Hugon' & Will'o de Werington'. mand' idem vic' q'd no' sunt inuenti. &c'. I'o sicut alias p'ceptu' est vic'. q'd capiat eos. si &c'. Et Saluo &c'. Et q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px'm Com'. &c'.

21:543 [29 April 1309]

Inq'sic'o cap' ap'd Macclesfeld'. p fine'

Will's Cap'lls Joh'is Pykard. attach' fuit. ad respondend'. Hugon' de Fouleshurst qui seq'. p d'no Rege de pl'ito quare cu' idem Hugo. que'da' equu' cuiusdam Joh'is Pikard cissoris. p s'uic'o d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset, & ip'm s'cdm lege' & co'suetudi'em &c' imp'casce voluisset; idem Will's. simul cu' Joh'e Pikard Rob'to de Brounhull. Simon' fil' Rad'i. & aliis in ip'm Hugon' de Fouleshurst apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' fecit & ip'm v'b'auit vulnerauit & male tractauit & alia enormia &c'. & equu' p'd'cm rescussit in co'temptu' d'ni Reg^s &c'. Et vnde idem Hugo quer'. q'd cu' ip'e die d'nica p^a post Natiuitate' b'e Marie virg'is. anno. Reg^s nu'c s'cdo⁴⁵ apud Macclesfeld equu' cuiusdam Joh'is Pikard cissoris p s'uic'o d'no Regi aretro existente +de aduocar' sua+ cepisset. & imp'casce voluisset, idem Will's. simul cu' p'd'cis Joh'e & aliis vi & armis Scil't gladiis &c'. in ip'm Hugon' apud Macclesfeld' insultu' fecit & ip'm v'b'auit vuln'auit. & male tractauit & alia enormia &c'. et equu' p'd'cm rescussit in co'temptu' d'ni Reg^s manifestu'. vnde dicit q'd def'iorat^s est & dampnu' h'et ad valenc'. q^adraginta libr'. & in co'temptu' d'ni Reg^s Mille libr'. Et hoc offert v'ificare p d'no Rege. Et ecia' inde pducit secta'. &c'.

Et p'd'cs Will's venit & defend' vim & iniur' & co'temptu' +q^ando+ &c'. Et dicit q'd ip'e p'd'cis die & anno n'llam t'nsgressionem eidem Hugon' fecit nec alique' equu'. ab eo rescussit sicut ei imponit. & de hoc ponit se sup p'riam. &c'. Et p'd'cs. Hugo simil'r. Ideo p'ceptu' est vic'. q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com'. .xij. &c'. p quos. &c'. Et qui nec. &c'.

mie iij.s'

apud Macclesf'

Joh'es fil' Pymme. +xij.d'+ Henr' Geseling +xij.d'+ & Ad' Tipping +xij.d'+ in m'ia ppl'ib^s defaltis. &c'.

Idem Joh'es. Henr' & Adam attach' fu'nt. ad respond'. eidem Hugon'. q' seq' p d'no Rege. de pl'ito q're cu' idem Hugo que'da' equu' cuiusda' Joh'is Pikard cissor'. p s'uic'o d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & ip'm imp'casce voluisset. &c'. ijdem Joh'es fil' Pymme. Henr' & Adam. simul cu' p'd'cis Joh'e Pikard & aliis in ip'm Hugon' apud Macclesfeld. vi & armis insultu' fec'unt. & ip'm v'b'au'nt vuln'au'nt & male tractau'nt & alia enormia. &c'. & equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't. in co'te'ptu'. &c'. Et vnde ide' Hugo quer'. q'd cu' ip'e p'd'cis die & anno apud Macclesfeld' p'd'cm equu'. p s'uic'o d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & i'pcasce voluisset. ijdem Joh'es fil' Pymme. Henr' & Ad'. simul cu' aliis. in ip'm Hugon' +vi & armis. scil' gladiis &c'. +insultu' fec'unt & ip'm v'b'au'nt v'b'au'nt vulner' & male tractau'nt & alia enormia. &c'. & equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't. in co'te'ptu' d'ni Reg^s manifestu' vnde dicit q'd def'iorat^s est & dampnu' h'et ad valenc'. quadraginta libr'. & co'te'ptu' d'ni Reg^s Mille libr' Et inde pducit secta'. &c'. & ecia' offert hoc v'ificare p d'no Rege. &c'.

Et p'd'ci Joh'es. Henr' & Ad'. ven'. Et defend' vim & iniur'. q'n &c'. Et dicu't q'd ip'i su't Burgenses ville de Macclesfeld & q'd in Com' hic, nec alibi in Com' Cestris'. ex^a Burgu' d'ni Regis de Macclesfeld impl'itari no' debent, nec de aliquo pl'ito Iudicari. & hoc p

concessione' d'ni Reg^s. E. p'ris Reg^s nu'c. & p carta' sua'. alias in Com' hic allocata'. Et petu't q'd eor lib'tati no' deroget' in hac pte. vt sup^a in Com' +pxi'o+ p'cedenti. Et p'd'cus Hugo no' potest hoc dedic'e. &c'. Ideo p'd'ca Loquela retornet' apud Macclesfeld cora' Justic'o in pxi'o Itin'e suo ibide' t'minand'. Et d'cm est eidem Hugon' q'd tu'c sequat' ibi loquela' sua'. si sibi vid'it expedire.

21:634 [10 June 1309]

M'.iiij.s'

apud Macclesfeld

Hugo fil' Hugon^s de Barkeford +xij.d'+. Thom' Eliot +xij.d'+. Will's Clipping +xij.d'+. & Thom' de Astebur' +xij.d'+. in m'ia ppl'ib^s defaultis
Idem Hugo, Thom'. Will's. & Thom' attach' fu'nt. ad respondend' Hugon' de Fouleshurst qui sequit' p d'no Rege de pl'ito quare cu' idem Hugo de Fouleshurst que'dam equu' cuiusda' Joh'is Pykard cissor^s p denar' d'no Regi aretro existentib^s de aduocaria sua cepisset & impcasse voluisset. &c' ijdem Hugo fil' Hugon'. Thom'. Will's. & Thom'. simul cu' Joh'e Pykard Rob'to de Brounhull. Simon' fil' Rad'i. & aliis. in ip'm Hug' de Fouleshurst apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' fec'unt & ip'm v'b'au'nt vln'au'nt & male tractau'nt & alia enormia &c'. & equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't in co'temptu' &c'. Et vnde idem Hugo +de Foul'+ quer' q'd cu' ip'e die doc^a px^a post Natiuitatem b'e Marie. anno. R^s nu'c s'cdo⁶⁸. apud Macclesfeld, p'd'cm equu' p aduocar' p'd'ca aret^o existente cepisset & impcasse voluisset; p'd'ci Hug' fil' Hug'. Thom'. Will's. & Thom'. sim'l cu' p'd'cis Joh'e & aliis vi & armis. Scil't gladiis. &c'. in ip'm Hugon' de Fouleshurst insultu' fec'unt & ip'm v'b'au'nt & male t'ctau'nt & alia enormia. &c'. & equu' p'd'cm ab eo rescusseru't, in co'temptu' d'ni Reg^s manifestu'. vnde dicit q'd def'iorat^s est & dampnu' h'et ad valenc' quadr' lj'. & +in+ conte'ptu' d'ni R^s Mille libr' Et inde pduc' secta'. &c'. Et ecia' offert hoc v'ificare p d'no Rege &c' Et p'd'ci Hugo fil' Hug' Thom' Will's. & Thom' ven' Et defend' vim & iniur' q'n. &c'. Et dicu't q'd ip'i sunt Burgenses ville de Macclesfeld et q'd in Com' hic nec alibi in Com' Cestr'. ex^a Burgu' d'ni Reg^s de Macclesfeld impl'itari non debent nec de aliquo pl'ito Judicari. Et hoc p concessione' & p carta' d'ni Reg^s. E p'ris R^s nu'c. alias in Com' hic allocatam. Et petu't q'd eor lib'tati no' deroget'. in hac pte. Et p'd'cus Hugo no' potest hoc dedic'e I'o p'd'ca Loquela retornetur apud Macclesfeld cora' Justic'o +Cestr'+ in pxi'o Itin'e suo ibide' t'mi'anda. Et d'cm est eidem Hug' de Fouleshurst q'd tu'c sequat' ibi. si sibi vid'it expedire. &c'.

21:635 [10 June 1309]

p^r.

Hugo de Fouleshurst +q' seq' p d'no Regi+ op. se u'sus Joh'em Dapsi. Thom' Coly. Will'm fil' Mabill'. & Will'm de Werinton' de pl'ito quare eum idem Hugo quemdam equu' Joh'is Pikard cissor^s p denar' de aduocar' d'ni R^s aretro existent'. cepisset. &c' & impcasse voluisset p'd'ci Joh'es. Thom'. Will's. & Will's. simul cu' Joh'e Pykard & aliis in ip'm Hugon' apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' fec'unt. & ip'm v'b'au'nt vln'au'nt & male tractau'nt & alia enormia &c' & equu' p'd'cm ab eo rescusseru't in conte'ptu' d'ni Reg^s &c'. Et ip'i no' ven'. Et p'ceptu' fuit vic'. q'd capet eos. si. &c'. Et saluo &c'. Et q'd h'eret corpa eor hic ad hu'c Com'. &c'. Et vic' nich' inde fecit. set mand'. q'd p'd'ci Joh'es Dapsi & alii no' sunt inue'ti &c' Ideo sicut alias p'ceptu' est vic'. q'd cap' eos. si &c'. Et saluo &c'. Et q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px'm Com'. &c'.

21:735 [22 July 1309]

p^r e'

⁶⁸ Sunday 15 September 1308

Hugo de Fouleshurst qui sequit^r p^r d'no Rege optulit se. v^rs^s Joh'em Dapsy, Thom' Coly, Will'm fil' Mabille & Will'm de Werington' de pl'ito q^are cu' idem Hugo q'mdam equ' Joh'is Pikard Cissor' p^r denar' de aduocar' d'ni Reg^s a retro existent' cepisset &c'. & inpcare voluisset, p^rd'ci Joh'es, Thom', Will's & Will's simul cu' Joh'e Pikard & aliis in ip'm Hugone' apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' feceru't & ip'm verberaueru't vuln'aueru't Malet^actaueru't & alia enormia &c' cont^a pace' &c' & equ' p^rd'cm ab eo rescusseru't in contemptu' d'ni Reg^s &c' Et ip'i no' ven' Et sicut alias p^rceptu' fuit vic' q'd capet si &c' Ita q'd H'eret eos hic ad Hu'c diem &c' Et vic' modo Mandat q'd no' su't inuenti. I'o sicut pluries p^rceptu' est vic' q'd cap' eos si inuenti &c' & saluo &c' Ita q'd H'eat corpa eor^u ad px'm Com' &c'

21:880 [2 September 1309]

Hugo de Fouleshurst qui sequit^r p^r d'no Rege. op. se. u^rs^s Joh'm Dap[sy] & Thom' [Coly Willelmum filium] Mabill' & Will'm de W[ering]ton' de pl'ito t^ans Et ip'i no' ven'. Et sicut plur' p^r[cept' fuit vic'] &c' Ita q'd h'eat corp^s eius hic ad hu'c diem Et vic' modo mand'

22:88 [7 October 1309]

[Hugo de Fouleshurst] qui sequit^r p^r d'no Rege op se vers^s Joh'em Dapsy Thom' Coly Will'm fil' Mabille & Will'm [de Werinton' de pl'ito] Et ip'i exacti ad hu'c Com' pⁱmo no' veniu't: I'o exigant^r s'cdo

22:174 [25 November 1309]

ex'. ij^o.

Hug' de Fouleshurst qui seq^r p^r d'no Reg' op. se. u^rsus Joh'em Dapsi Thom' Coly Will'm fil' Mabill' & Will'm de Werington'. de pl'ito t^ans. Et ip'i. ad hunc Com' exacti s'cdo. no' ven'. I'o exigant^r tercio.

22:276 [30 December 1309]

Ex.ij^o.

Hug' de Fouleshurst qu sq^r p^r d'no Reg' op. se v^s Joh'em Dapsy Th'm Coly Will'm fil' Mabill' et Will'm de Werington' de pl'o t^ans. Et ip'i ad hunc Com' exacti T^rc'o no' ven' I'o exigant^r q^arto

22:356 [17 February 1310]

Ex^r. iiij^{to}

v^{to}

Hug' de Fouleshurst qui seq^r pro d'no Reg'. op. se. u^s. Joh'em Dapsy Th'm Coly. Will'm fil' Mabill' & Will'm de Werington' de pl'ito. t^ans. Et ip'i ad hu'c Com' exacti q^arto no' veniu't. Set Manuc' p^r Rob'tm Fox &c' I'o. &c'

22:525 [31 March 1310]

vtlag^r.

Inquir'

Hug' de Fouleshurst qui seq^r p^r d'no Reg'. op. se. u^s. Joh'em Dapsy Thom' Coly. Will' fil' Mabill' & Will' de Werington' p. t^ans. f'ca cont^a pace' &c'. Et ip'i ad hu'c Com' exact' qⁱnto no' ven', s' Manuc' p^r Rob'm Fox &c'. id'o. &c'. Et inquirend' e' de eor^u cat'.

Hugh Fowlhurst's tenure as keeper of the avowries ended some time before 18 March 1309, when he recognized in court that he owed the king £40 for the bailiwick, to be paid the following Christmas.

21:439 [18 March 1309]

Cogn' p^r Rege

Hugo de Fouleshurst, Ric'us de Fouleshurst, Rob'us de Bulkilegh', Ran's de Sporstowe Joh'es de Wetenhale & Will's de Lasceles cogn' q'd debent d'no Regi p balliua aduocar' d'ni Reg's in Cestres' .xl.lj'. soluend' ad festu' Natal' d'ni. pxi'o futur'. Et nisi fec'int; concedu't q'd vic' fieri fac' &c'.

Apparently he was having difficulty gathering in moneys due to him from the avowries. In March 1310 Fowlshurst brought a case against John de Stanilands, William de Glazebrook and Robert, William's brother, who had been acting as receivers of the avowry revenues for him. Evidently they lived within Macclesfield hundred, for the sheriff had passed on the writ to the bailiff of the hundred, who replied that Stanilands had been attached by chattels worth 2s, but the Glazebrooks had not been found. None attended the hearing in Chester. In May Fowlshurst brought the case again, against Robert Glazebrook alone; Robert did not appear, and the return stated that he had no property to attach.

However, when Hugh Fowlshurst junior, now keeper of the avowries, sued Stanilands in September 1310, Stanilands appeared, and asked for auditors to be appointed to examine his accounts.

22:482 [31 March 1310]

Hug' de Fouleshurst. op. se. u's. Joh' de Stonylondes. Will' de Glasebroc & Rob'tum fr'em eiusd'm Will'i de pl'ito q'd redd' ei computu' suu' de t'pe quo fu'unt receptores den' ip'ius Hug' puenienc' de aduocar' d'ni Reg's t'pe quo id'm Hug' fuit Custos de aduocar' d'ni Reg's, Et ip'i no' ven'. Et p'cept' fuit vic' q'd attach' eos &c'. Et vic' modo mand' q'd br'e istud ret'nat' fuit Ball' lib't de Macclisf' qui sic respond' Joh' de Stonylondes att' e' p cat' ad valenc' .ij.s'. id'o forisf'ca. Et de p'd'cis Will' & Rob'to mand' q'd no' sunt inuento &c' nec aliquid h'ent p quod &c'. I'o p'. e'. vic' q'd distr' p'd'cm Joh' p o'es t'ras &c' & q'd de exitib' &c'. Ita q'd h'eat corpus eius ad px' Com' &c'. Et qa testatu' e' q'd p'd'ci Will' & Rob' satis h'ent ad sufficiencia' p quod &c'. I'o sicut alias. p'. e'. vic' q'd att' eos q'd sint ad px' Com' &c'.

22:614 [12 May 1310]

Hug' de Fouleshurst +nup Custos aduocar'+ op. se. u's. Rob' fr'em Will'i de Glasebroc de pl'o q'd ip'e sim'l cu' Joh' de Stonilondes & Will' de Glasebroc reddat ei r'onabile' computu' suu' de t'pe quo ip'e sim'l cu' p'd'cis Joh' & Will' fuit receptor denarior ip'ius Hug' de p'd'ca aduocar' puenient'. Et ip'e no' ven', Et p'ceptu' fuit vic' q'd attachiaret eu' &c'. q'd e'et ad hunc Com' &c'. Et vic' modo mand' q'd p'd'cus Will'us nichil h'et p q'd potest attach'. Et testatu' e' q'd h'et ad sufficiencia' p q'd &c', Id'o sicut alias p'. e' vic'. q'd attach' eu' q'd sit ad px' Com' &c'.

22:730 [30 June 1310]

Esson' Hug' de Fouleshurst +nup Custod' aduocar' n're Cestris'+ op. se uers' Rob'tm fr'em Will'i de Glasebroc de pl'ito q'd ip'e sim'l cu' Joh'e de Stonilondes & Will'o de Glasbrok redd' ei r'onab'lem Compot' suu' de tempe quo fuit Receptor' den' ip'ius Hug' de p'd'ca aduocar' &c'. Et ip'e no' ve'it Et +sic' alias+ p'cept' fuit vic' q'd [att'] eu' &c' Et vic' modo mand' q'd p'd'cus Rob'ts nich' h't in balli'a sua p quod &c' Et test' est q'd h't ad suffic' &c' I'o sic' pl'ries p'cept' est vic' q'd attach' eu' &c' q'd sit ad px'm Com' [&c']

22:882 [30 June 1310]

Dies dat^s est Hugon' de Fouleshurst quer', & Joh' de Stanylondes de pl'o co'pot' vsq' ad px'm Com' p'ce ptium

22:1035 [15 September 1310]

Joh'es de Stonylondes attach' fuit ad respond' Hug' de Fouleshurst +iunior+ custod' aduocar' d'ni Reg^s in Com' Cestr^s de pl'o q'd reddat ei co'potu' suu' de te'pore quo fuit recept' denar' ip'ius Hug' de aduocar' p'd'ca peue'ieniu',

Et p'd'cus Joh' ven' & b'n cogn' q'd debet ei co'potu' p'd'cm, & petit q'd auditores inde sibi p Curia' assignent', Et dant' ei auditores, videl't Will' de Praers & Patric' de Crue, et Rog' de Fulschaghe & Will' de Castro manuceper' p'd'cm Joh'em ad reddend' co'potu' p'd'cm, et ad h'end' corp^s eius ad px'm Com'

In March 1309 Fowlshurst claimed that on 17 December 1308 at Mobberley, William de Mobberley and Henry de Mobberley, sword in hand, had attacked Adam Peacock and retrieved a horse which Adam, as sub-bailiff to Foulsworth, had seized as heriot after the death of William del Hull. Hugh claimed £100 damages. William and Henry denied any such 'rescue', and this was affirmed by a jury.

21:449 [18 March 1309]

Will's de Modburlegh' — attach' fuit ad respond'. Hugon' de Fouleshurst qui sequit' p d'no Rege quare cu' idem Hugo custos aduocar' d'ni Reg^s in Com' Cestr'. p Ad' Pekoc s'uienie' +suu'+ quemdam equu' p decem solid' de quodam p'ncipali p morte' Will'i del +Hull+ d'no Regi aretro existent' capi fecisset; p'd'cs Will's sim'l cu' +Henr' de Modburlegh'+ die Lune px^a post festu' s'ci Nich'i anno R' nu'c. s'cdo⁶⁹ apud Modburlegh'. vi & armis. scil't gladiis. &c'. in ip'm. Adam & sbball'm ip'ius Hugon' insultu' fec'unt. & ip'm v'f'au'nt. & male tractau'nt. & alia enormia &c'. & equu' p'd'cm ab eo rescusserunt in co'temptu' d'ni Regis manifestu'. ad da'pnu' ip'ius d'ni Reg^s. Centu' libr'. Et hoc v'ifare offert p d'no Rege &c'. Et p'd'ci Will's & Henr' ven' & defend' vim & iniur'. & co'te'ptu'. q'n. &c'. Et dicu't q'd ip'i nullu' rescussu' ei fec'unt de p'd'co eq^o. nec aliqua' t'nsgr'. & de hoc ponu't se sup p'riam. &c'. Et p'd'cs Hugo si'lr. Ideo p'ceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'. Et q' nec. &c'. Postea ad Com' die Martis px^a an' festu' S'ci Barnabe Ap'li.⁷⁰ ven' tam p'd'cs Hugo. q'm p'd'cs Will's. & simil'r .xij. &c' — Jur' dicu't sup sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cus Will's n'llm rescussum nec aliqua' t'nsgressione' fecit p'd'co Ad'. I'o ip'e inde quietus &c'.

21:550 [29 April 1309]

p' e'

Jurata int' Hug' de Fouleshurst qui sequit' p d'no Rege & Will'm de Modburley & Henr' de Modburlegh' de pl'ito t'ns ponit' in resp'cu vsq' ad px'm Com' p def'cu iurator qa null' &c' Id'o p' est vic' q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px' Com' & p't' illos tot & tales &c

⁶⁹ Monday 9 December 1308

⁷⁰ Tuesday 10 June 1309