

Roger de Alderley

Roger de Alderley assumed custody of the royal avowries in Cheshire from 25 December 1299 for a year.

12:65 [15 December 1299]

Rog^rus de Aldrideleg^r suscepit custodiam aduocariar^r d^rni Reg^s tenendam a festo Natal^r d^rni anno .r^r.r^r.E xxvii^o ³⁵ vsq^r idem festu^r in vnu^r annu^r continue sequ^rs³⁶. p^r q^adraginta duab^s libris soluend^r ad festum Natale p^rd^rcm anno completo ppl^rm Joh^r'is de Legh^r Will^r'i de Baggeleg^r Will^r'mi Daniel Rob^r'i de Wynigton^r Rob^r'ti de Ahston^r Gilb^r'ti de Ahston^r Eytropi de Mulington^r Will^r'mi de Wau^r'ton^r Thome de Haywode Ade de Bromleg^r, Rog^r'i de Toft & Joh^r'is de Bexton^r.

12 July 1300, Roger was sued in the shire court by Richard Grim of Withington for the taking of a mare. Roger said that Dawe King, Richard's father, had been in the avowry, and Richard was Dawe's son and heir. Therefore Roger had distrained Richard for having withdrawn from the avowry. Richard said that neither Dawe nor any of his ancestors had been in the avowry. The jury found in favour of Richard.

12:298 [12 July 1300]

Rog^rus de Aldirdeleg^r custos aduocar^r d^rni Regis in Com^r Cestr^r attach^r fuit ad respondend^r Ric^r'o Grim de Wythington^r de pl^r'ito capc^r'onis vni^s Jument^ri, qui venit & aduocat d^rcam capc^r'om, eo q^d quidam Dawe Kyng pat^r p^rd^r'ci Ric^r'i Grim fuit in aduocar^r d^rni. R^s. & ip^e est filius eius & heres, & deberet esse in ead^rm aduocar^r, & quia subt^axit se de aduocar^r p^rd^r'ca, ip^e Rog^rus dist^rinxit ip^rm p^r d^rcm Jumentu^r &c^r, & ita aduocat p^rd^r'cam capc^r'om esse iustam &c^r, — Et p^rd^r'cus Ric^r's Grim dicit q^d p^rd^r'cus Dawe nec aliquis an^r'cessor^r suor^r +n^rq^am+ fuit in aduocar^r p^rd^r'ca +nec ip^e debet+ & hoc petit q^d inquir^r, — Ideo p^rcept^r est vic^r q^d venire fac^r .xij. &c^r, postea quiet^s est de aduocar^r p^rd^r'ca p^rp^rriam.

In August an inquest was summoned between Roger, now called master Roger, as keeper of the royal avowries, and Matilda (Godchep) wife of Walter Cappe.

12:306 [12 July 1300]

Inq^r's int^r Matill^r Godechep +vx^r Walt^r'i Cappe & Mag^r'rm Rog^r de Aldrith^r+ Custod^r aduocar^r d^rni Reg^s ³⁷

12:320 [23 August 1300]

Precept^r e^r vic^r q^d venire faciat Inquis^r ad px^rm Com^r, int^r Rog^r'm de Aldrithel^r Custodem aduocar^r d^rni Regis & Matill^r vx^rem Walt^r'i Cappe

Master Roger at the same court charged Thomas son of Adam de Weston to take his place in the avowry which Roger de Stocks his uncle had filled.

³⁵ Friday 25 December 1299

³⁶ Sunday 25 December 1300

³⁷ breaks off here

Thomas said that Roger had had a daughter Cecilia who supported the avowry; Cecilia had had issue one Robert, now living, who duly supports the avowry. An inquisition found in favour of Thomas in this assertion.

12:326 [23 August 1300]

*Thomas filius Ade de Weston' inculpat^s fuit p^r Mag^rrm Rog^rm de Aldrideleg^r
Custodem aduocar' d'ni Regis in Com' Cestrie q'd debuit [stare] in aduocar' d'ni Regis p^r eo
q'd Rog^rus de Stockes aunc'ls ip'ius Thome stetit in aduocar' d'ni Reg^s, de quo quidem Rog^ro
exiit q^ad[em] Cecilia q' onus illud supportauit tempe suo, ac de ip'a Cecilia exiit quidam
Rob's n'c supstes & qui supportat on^s aduocar' [Et] q'd id'm Thom' nu'q^am on^rat^s fuit de
aduocar' p^rd'cis, nec debet e'e; ponit se sup pat'am. Et f'ca inde diligenti Inquis' p^r que'
comptu' fuit q'd p^rd'cus d'ns Rex seis' est de s^ruic'o illo. p^r man^s p^rd'ci Rob'i n'c supstitis. p^r
quod cons' fuit q'd p^rd'cs Thom' inde remaneat quiet^s impp'm*