

Tenants

Because the evidence from the plea rolls relates to disputes about avowries, and the keepers were remarkably unsuccessful in bringing cases against supposed ‘tenants’, i.e., people living in the king’s Cheshire avowries, most of the names that we have are of those who successfully rejected being charged with avowry.

William de Baguley

William de Baguley was attached by Hugh Fowlshurst, as keeper of the earl's avowries, to explain why William had withdrawn from avowry. Hugh claimed that it had been found by several inquisitions before him that all William's ancestors had been in avowry, paying sixpence [a year], and that a William lord of Baguley is listed in the avowry rolls; despite which William (the defendant) had never paid the charge. Hugh claimed 100 shillings damages. William said that neither he nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry, nor paid such a charge. And as for the entry of 'William lord of Baguley' on the roll, the names on the roll are entered in series, each having the sum of their payment; but that this entry had been inserted fraudulently, without specifying a sum, in a different hand.

The court called for the roll to be produced, and it was examined both by the justice and by the suitors and doomsmen of the court, who said that the entry had clearly been forged in order to defraud the defendant and deceive the court. A jury found that none of the defendant's ancestors had been in avowry, nor should he be. The record does not indicate any action taken against Fowlshurst for this blatant attempt at fraud.

17:392 [31 May 1306]

Recordu'.

Will'us de Baggel' atach' fuit p Hug' de Fouleshurst Custodem aduocar'. d'ni Com' Cestr' ad resp' d'no Com' quare sub^axit se de aduocar' d'ci Com' toto tempe eiusde'. Will'i. Et vnde idem Custos dicit q'd cu' coram eo comptu' sit p diu'sas inquisicio'es q'd om's antecessores eiusdem Will'i in eade' aduocaria extiterunt & sex denar' eidem aduocacio annuatim soluerunt pre^aea quidam Will'us d'ns de Baggel' inserit' in rotul' aduocarior' inf' cet'os de eadem aduocaria on'atos, p'd'cus Will'us toto tempe suo ret^axit se de eadem aduocaria retinendo p'd'cos sex denar' annuati' soluendos ad exheredac'om d'ci Com' & dampnu' p'd'ci Custod'.C sol'. & hoc petit q'd inquirat' p d'co Com'. Et p'd'cus Will' venit & dicit q'd nullus antecessor suor' in eade' aduocar' extitit seu p'd'cm annuu' redditu' r'one aduocar' p'd'ce p'soluit n' ip'e in eadem de iure esse debet & hoc petit q'd inquirat'. Et quo ad nome' p'd'ci Will'i d'ni de Baggel' in rotul' aduocar' contentu', hi^s irrotulamentu' ei obesse no' debet, quia dicit q'd om'ia no'ia in hi^s rotul' contenta seriatim inserunt', post quor' no'ia immediate continet' quantitas reddit' solue'd' p eade' aduocaria, ac p'd'cm nome' Will'i d'ni de Baggel' in loco suspecto inf'linealit' diu'sa manu In rotul' p'd'cis continet' no' faciendo menc'om de aliquo redditu annuo put p inspecc'om rotulor' eiusd' aduocar' liquet eident', & qd hi^s irrotulamentu' in fraudem ip'ius Will'i & in decepc'om Curie confectu' fuit petit q'd inquirat' tam p inspecc'om rotulor' q'm alio quocu'q' modo Curia considerau'it. Et p'd'cis rotul' aduocariar' inspectis ta' p Justic' q'm p sectatores & iudicatores eiusd' Com'; videt' eis q'd p'd'cm irrotulamentu' est suspectu' & malic'oe confectu' & q'd v'itas sup p'missis inquirenda est p p'riam. Jur' vid' Will' de Spurstowe Hugo de Caluyl', Will' de Caluyl', Will' de p'ers Ric'us de Caurthin' Rog'us de Aldredel' tempib^s ret^oactis custodes aduocariar' p'd'car'. Eyt^opus de Mulynton' Joh' de M'bur' Ran's de M'bur' Rob'us de Tatton' de Kenwortheye. Rob'us de Wyninton'. Rog'us de Spurstowe & Will' de Wylberh^am dicunt sup sac'r'm suu' q'd nullus antecessor p'd'ci Will'i in p'd'ca aduocaria extitit n' p'd'cm redditu' r'one aduocarie p'd'ce p'soluit +neq' ide' Will' in eade' aduocaria de iure

esse debet+ & q'd p^rd'cm irrotulamentu' de no'ie Will'i d'ni de Baggel' int^rlinealit^r loco suspecto mulrite est confectu'. I'o cons' est q'd p^rd'cus Will' de Baggel' de p^rd'ca calu'pnia adpresens eat quiet^s saluo alias iure d'ni Com' &c'. cu' ei meli^s constare possit de ampliore iure suo.

John Bailer

The abbot of Vale Royal sued Hugh de Fowlshurst and Silcok de Clive for having 23 December 1306 at Hartford, in a place called Hartford Heath, seized a cow belonging to the monastery, had driven it to Hugh's house in Wych Malbanc [Nantwich], and kept it.

Hugh and Silcok came to court and said that Hugh was keeper of the earl's avowries in the county (see above, sub ADLINGTON); that a certain John le Bailer, who was in the earl's avowry, had died, and that they had taken the cow as a heriot, i.e. as John's best beast, according to the custom of the avowries.

The abbot retorted that the cow had indeed belonged to John le Bailer, but John was not in the avowry, and in fact had bequeathed the cow to the abbey after his death. The abbot asked that the matter be looked into by a jury; but the justice said that, as the matter touched on the king's seisin, it could not be admitted until it had been shown by a search of the records whether the name of John or of any of his ancestors was inserted in the avowry roll. Judgment on this was deferred until at least November 1307, after which the matter disappears from the county court records.

19:155 [8 August 1307]

.p^r.

Abbas de Valle Regali op se u^r sus Hug' de Fough'lishurst, & Siloc de Clyue de pl'ito q^are cepunt quandam vacca' sua' & iniuste detinueru't &c' Et ip'i non ven' & h'ueru't die' hic ad hunc Com' p^resson' suu' &c' I'o. p^r est vic' q'd distr' eos p^r om's t^ras &c' Et q'd de exit' &c' Et q'd h'eat corpa eor^r hic ad p^rx'm Com' &c'

20:35 [19 September 1307]

Scrutent^r rotuli aduocar'

Hug' de Fougleshurst & Silecok de Cliue attach' fueru't ad respond' Abb'i de Valle Regali de pl'o capc'ois cui^sd' vacce ip'ius Abb'is Et vnde q' q'd die ven'is p^rx' an' f'm Natal' d'ni Anno R' R'. E p'ris d'ni E. Regis nu'c Tricesimo qⁱnto ap'd H'rteford⁹³ in quod' loco q' vocat' Hertefordeheth cepit quamd' vaccam ip'ius Abb'is et eam fugauit vsq' ad domu' sua' ap'd Wycu' Malbanu' & eam detinuit cont^a vad' &c'. q^ousq' &c' vnde dicit q' def'iorat^s est et dampnu' h't ad valenc' &c'. Et inde ducit sectam &c'.

Et p^rd'ci Hug' & Silecok veniu't + & def'+ vim & iniuria' quando &c'. Et p^rd'cs Hug' respond' p^r se & p^rd'co Silecok et b'n aduocat d'cam capc'om & iuste. Quia dicit q' ip'e est Custos aduocariar^r d'ni Regis in Com' isto. Et q' quidam Joh' Le Bailer cui^s p^rd'ca vacca fuit nup^r obiit & fuit in aduocar' p^rd'ca. p^r q'd ip'e cepit d'cam vaccam tamqua' Melius au'iu' ip'ius Joh'is q'd p^rtebat ad d'nm Rege' post Morte' ip'ius Joh'is rac'oe consuetudi's aduocar' p^rd'ce. Et sic aduocat &c' no'ie d'ni Regis &c'.

Et p^rd'cs Abbas dic' q' p^r hoc p^rd'cam capc'om iustam aduocar' no' potest. Quia dicit q' postq^m p^rd'ca vacca fuit ip'ius Joh'is Id' Joh' legauit eid'm Abb'i p^rd'cam vaccam & +q+ ip'e fuit in possessione eiusd' die capc'ois p^rd'ce. Dicit eciam q'd d'cs Joh' no' fuit in Aduocar' p^rd'ca. Et hoc petit q' inquirat^r p^r pat'am. Et qa videt^r Cur' q' hui^smodi v'ific' p^r pat'am sup^r seysinam Regis no' est admittenda, quousq' constet Cur' vt^m nome' d'ci Joh' u'l

⁹³ 23 December 1306

*alicui^s antecessoris sui cui^s heres &c' sit insertu' int^r alia no'ia in rotulo aduocar' +....
Inqⁱsic'ois+ seu id' Joh' p^r +aliq^am+ inquisic'om pⁱus captam disrac'onat^s sit e'endi in
aduocar' p^rd'ca nec ne; I'o datus est dies ptib^s p^rd'cis de audiend' Judiciu' suu' ad px' Com'
&c' Et interim scrutent^r rotuli &c' & inquisic'oes &c'*

20:178 [7 November 1307]

p^rce p^rciu'

*Dies datus est Abb'ti de valle Regali quer'. & Hugon' de Fouleshurst & Silkoc de
Clyue de audiend' Judic'o suo de pl'ito capc'ois cuiusdam vacce. vsq' ad px'm Com'. Et
int^rim scrutentur Rot'li & inqⁱsic'oes de aduocar'.*

Margery de Badington

Roger de Gledhurst was accused by Margery daughter of Robert de Badington of having maliciously striven to have her burdened by avowry for her mother Alice to render the king 4d a year.

Margery said that Alice had been in avowry, and Roger, as lawful son of Alice, born in wedlock, and who as next-of-kin should have inherited the charge from Alice, had striven to burden her, Margery, with the charge.

Roger said that the avowry charge should devolve upon Margery, not upon him. Alice had previously been married to one Robert de Gledhurst, his father, who had begotten him, and that he, Roger, had been born after Robert's death. That after Roger's birth, Alice had placed herself in avowry, of her own accord, and afterwards married Robert de Badington, and that Margery was their daughter. As Alice had adopted the borden of avowry after Roger's birth, he could not inherit it from her.

Margery said that, as lawful son and next-of-kin of Alice, Roger should inherit the avowry. Moreover, she claimed that Robert de Badington had been in avowry, and after Robert's death his goods came, together with Roger, into Alice's custody, and Alice had voluntarily put herself in avowry until Roger came of age, to avoid the goods being eloigned.

Roger denied that Robert de Badington had ever been in avowry; but then Margery conceded that she had claimed unjustly that Roger should bear the charge, and she agreed with Hugh de Fowlshurst, the keeper of the avowries, to henceforth pay the 4d charge.

Nevertheless, a suit was brought by the Crown against Roger claiming that his father Robert had died in avowry, and that Roger should pay the 4d avowry charge. Roger wanted the matter to be put to a jury, but, after several adjournments, the avowry rolls were searched, and Robert de Badington's name was found, paying a charge of 4d, so the court found against Roger.

21:173 [12 November 1308]

pl'. Will'i de P^aers Rog'i de Gled'

M'.

Rog'us de Gledhurst attach' fuit ad respondend'. tam d'no Regi. q'm Marg'ie fil' Rob'i de Batinton'. de eo q'd idem Rog'us +iniuste &+ maliciose nititur ip'am Marg'iam. uersus d'nm Rege' onerari de aduocaria supportand' p Alicia matre sua reddend' p annu'. eid'm d'no Regi. quatuor denarios p aduocaria p'd'ca. vnde eadem Marg'ia querit', q'd cum p'd'ca Alicia in aduocar' &c' extitisset, & idem Rog'us. sit filius eiusdem Alicie in legi'o Mat'monio natus. qui vt filius & dignior sang'ne post morte' p'd'ce Alicie onus p'd'ce aduocarie sustinere debeat; idem Rog'us ~~idem Rog'us~~ p'd'cam Marg'iam de aduocaria p'd'ca. nititur on'ari minus iuste in decepc'oem. & contemptu' d'ni Reg's manifestu' ad dampnu'. ip'ius Marg'ie Centu' solid'. Et inde pducit sectam &c'

Et p'd'cus Rog'us ven'. & defend' vim & iniuria'. q'n. &c'. Et dicit q'd p'd'ca Marg'ia iniuste se quer' &c'. Quia dicit q'd onus aduocarie p'd'ce p p'd'ca Alicia sustinend' sibi incumbere no' debet. Immo eidem Marg'ie, Dicit enim. q'd p'd'ca Alicia Mat' &c' prius

nupta fuit cuidam Rob'to de Gledhurst p'ri suo. qui. ip'm de p'd'ca Alicia pcreauit. & p'stea obiit, Et p'd'ca Alicia p'stq^a idem Rog'us. natus fuit in viduitate sua de f'co suo pp'o se onerabat' de aduocaria p'd'ca. Et postea nupsit se cuidam Rob'to de Batinton'. qui de eadem Alicia pcreauit p'd'cam Marg'iam. vnde dicit desicut ip'e fuit seisitus a p'd'co Rob'to. in n'lla existente aduocaria. & ecia' natus. an'q^a. p'd'ca Alicia se de p'dicta aduocaria on'abatur, & p'd'ca Marg'ia p'stq^a inde onerabat'. genita fuit & nata; petit iudiciu' si ip'am Marg'iam natam post on'ac'oem p'd'cam. in pace dimittendo, ip'e on'ari debeat de aduocaria p'd'ca &c'.

Et p'd'ca Marg'ia dicit q'd ex quo idem Rog'us no' dedicit q'n sit filius p'd'cor +Rob'i &+ Alicie in Legi'o M'rionio natus; Et si Hereditas aliqua ex pte p'd'ce Alic' Mat's sue alicui descenderet; eidem Rog'o vt filio & heredi ip'ius Alicie vt digniori sang'ne descendere debuisset; et cuius est co'modum & onus esse debet &c'; Dicit eciam q'd p'd'cus Rob'us de Gledhurst p'r p'd'ci Rog'i fuit in aduocaria d'ni Com'. post cuius morte' bona p'd'ci Rob'i Simul cu' p'd'co Rog'o deuenit in custodia p'd'ce Alicie vx'is p'd'ci Rob'i & Mat's p'd'ci Rog'i, que no'ie p'd'ci Rog'i fil' sui se on'abat' de p'd'ca aduocaria vsq' ad etatem ip'ius Rog'i sustinenda, ne bona p'd'ca a seisina sua elongarent'. vnde desicut ip'a Alicia se no' on'abat' de p'd'ca aduocaria nisi no'ie p'd'ci Rog'i & vsq' ad temp^s &c' petit iudiciu'. si p'd'cus Rog'us de p'd'ca aduocaria on'ari no' debeat in hac pte &c'. — Et p'd'cs Rog'us dicit q'd Rob's pat' suus no' fuit aduocar'. nec. no'ie ip'i's Rog'i fil' ip'i's Rob'i. p'd'ca Alic' se on'abat' de p'd'ca aduocar'. Immo de f'co suo pp'o se ip'am posuit in aduocar' & de hoc ponit se sup p'riam. Et p'd'ca Alic' simil'r. I'o p'ceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac'. ad px'm Com' .xij. &c' p quos. &c'. Postea co'tinuato p'cessu vsq' ad Com' die M'rtis px^a post Natal' D'ni. Anno R' nu'c tercio ⁹⁴ ven' tam p'd'ca ⁹⁵ fil' Rob'i de Batinton'. q^a p'd'cs Rog'us. Et p'd'ca M'rg'ia bene co'gnouit q'd iniuste c'questa fuit de p'd'co Rog'o. eo q'd Marg'ia ⁹⁶ Mat' sua. diu postq^a idem Rog's natus fuit se on'abat de aduocar' illa. de f'co suo pp'o. et q'd ip'a de iure onus illius aduocarie sustinere debeat. et q'd de aduocar' p'd'ca Hug' de Fouleshurst custodi aduocar' in toto satisfac'it. p'd'co Hug' p'sente & hoc co'cedente. I'o consid' est q'd p'd'ca M'rg'ia & hered' sui onerent de aduocaria illa imp'p'm. Et nich'o's p f'lo clam' in m'ia. Et p'd'cs Rog'us inde sine die. &c'.

Datus est eis dies ad px'm Com'. de aud' Judic'o &c'

M'. no'. p'.

Idem Rog'us ad secta' d'ni Reg^s calu'pniat^s. de eo q'd cum Rob'us de Gledhurst p'r ip'ius Rog'i fuit in aduocaria d'ni Regis. reddendo p annu' eidem d'no Regi quatuor denar' p aduocar' p'd'ca. & idem Rog'us vt fil' p'd'ci Rob'i on^s p'd'ce aduocarie sustin'e debeat; se de aduocaria illa ia' s'btraxit. & p'd'cos quatuor denarios eidem d'no Regi debitos post morte' p'd'ci Rob'i huc usq' conclauit in co'temptu' d'ni Reg^s. & dampnu' ip'ius d'ni Reg^s q^adrag'. libr' Et p'd'cus Rob'us venit. Et dicit. q'd p'd'cus Rob'us pat' suus nu'cq^a fuit in aduocar' d'ni. nec ip'e aliquod onus aduocar' p ip'o de Jure sustin'e debeat. Et de hoc pon' se sup p'riam. &c'. Et qa scrutatis rot'lis aduocar' nome' eiusdem Rob'i de Gledhurst in eisdem inuent^s insertu'. & oneratu' de quatuor denar'. p annu' p aduocaria p'd'ca. I'o v'ificac'o p'd'ca. no' est admittend'. Set p'ceptu' est Custodib^s aduocar'. q'd distringa't p'd'cm Rog'm. p quatuor denar' annuatim d'no Regi reddend'. p aduocar' p'd'ca. & ecia' p arrerag' eiusde a tempe mortis p'd'ci Rob'i, nisi ostendere pot'it aliu' Rob'm de Gledhurst q^am p'rem suu' in aduocar' existente' Et nich'o's. idem Rog'us. p s'btract'oe & conclame'to. in m'ia. &c'.

21:341 [4 February 1309]

⁹⁴ Tuesday 30 December 1309

⁹⁵ omitted: Marg'ia

⁹⁶ sic, for Alicia

Dies dat^s est Rog^ro de Gledehurst. +&+ Marg^rie fil' Rob'i de Batynton'. tam v^rsus d'nm Rege' q^am u^rsus p^rd'cam Marg^riam de audiendo iudicio suo vsq' ad px'm Com'. eo q'd iudices sectator' no' du' &c'

21:402 [18 March 1309]

Dies datus est Rog^ro de Gledehurst; & Marg^rie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' tam u^rs^s d'nm Rege' q^am u^rs^s p^rd'cam Marg^riam de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com'. eo q'd iudices sectator' no' du' &c'.

21:445 [18 March 1309]

Dies datus est Rog^ro de Gledehurst & Marg^rie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' tam u^rs^s d'nm Rege' q^am u^rs^s p^rd'cam Marg^riam de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com' eo q'd iudices &c'

21:479 [29 April 1309]

Dies dat^s est Rog^ro de Gledehurst & Marg^rie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' ta' u^rs^s d'nm Reg' q^a p^rd'cam Marg^r de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com' eo q'd iudices, sectator' no' dum &c' & int^rim sc^utent^r rot'li &c'.

21:599 [10 June 1309]

Dies dat^s Rog^ro de Gledehurst & Marg^rie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' tam versus d'nm Rege' q^am p^rd'cam Marg^riam. de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px' Com' eo. q'd iudices &c' & int^rim sc^utent^r rot'li

21:720 [22 July 1309]

Dies datus est Marg^rie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' quer' & Rog^ro de Gledehurst ta' v^rs^s d'nm Rege'. q^am p^rd'cam Marg^ria' de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com' eo q'd iudices no' du' &c' Et int^rim sc^utent^r rot'li &c'

Agnes Bate

Hugh de Fowlshurst attached William son of Stephen Grus, Richard de Chedle and Agnes wife of William Bate of Tarporley to answer why they had withdrawn from the earl's avowry, and refused to pay what was due to the avowry. He claimed that Stephen, William's father, and a certain Leuca, mother of Agnes, who were next of kin, to support the charge of the same avowry, had died, and that Agnes in the court of 12 November 1303 [the records of which are now lost] had sued Hugh for having distrained her for having withdrawn herself from the avowry; the court had then found that Leuca had died in avowry, and that Agnes was her next-of-kin, and therefore Agnes should remain in avowry unless and until she found some closer next-of-kin to support the avowry charges.

Agnes now said that the 1303 decision was incorrect, because Leuca had at one time been of free condition and not in avowry, and that Agnes was born twenty or more years before Leuca had, of her own free will, entered the avowry. Agnes therefore questioned whether she could be bound to inherit such a burden because of an act done by her mother more than twenty years after her birth.

William son of Stephen Grus said that neither he nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry, nor should he be.

Richard de Chedle said that Hugh had unjustly extorted three shillings from him for avowry, where neither he nor his ancestors had ever paid more than twopence.

The jury said that Leuca had entered avowry of her own free will more than twenty years after Agnes was born; neither Agnes nor any of her ancestors had been in avowry. Neither William son of Stephen Grus nor any of his ancestors had ever been in avowry. Richard de Chedle never paid more than twopence to the avowry.

17:129 [7 December 1305]

Will'us fil' Steph'i Grus, Ric'us de Chedle & Agnes vx' Will' Bate de Torpel' atach' fueru't p' Hug' de Foul' Custodem aduocar' d'ni Com' ad respondend' quar' sub^axerunt se de aduocar' d'ni Com' & min^s iuste cont^adicunt solu'e ea que spectant aduocar' p'd'co, & vnde idem Hugo dicit, q'd quidam Steph'us pat' p'd'ci Will' & quedam Leuca mat' p'd'ce Agnet^s quib^s ip'i ppinquoires sunt de sanguine ad sustinend' onus eiusdem aduocar'; obieru't in eadem aduocar', & dicit & q'd p'd'ca Agn' ad Com' die M^art^s prox^a post f'm s'ci Martini anno r'.r'.E.xxxj.⁹⁷ questa fuit q'd p'd'cus Hugo ip'am distrixit pro sub^act'oe eiusdem aduocar', ad quem diem comptum fuit p' inquis', q'd queda' Leuca mat' ip'ius Agn' obiit in aduocar' d'ni Com', & q'd ip'a Agnes fuit ppinquir de sanguine ad supportand' on^s aduocar' p'd'ce ita q'd tunc cons' fuit q'd p'd'ca Agnes distringeret' ad satisfac' &c' de arrerag' eiusdem aduocar', & q'd ip'a rem' in eade' aduocar' quousq' doc^e posset ip'am inde iniuste on'ari v'l aliquem ppinquirem de sanguine ad supportand' onus p'd'cm &c' Et

⁹⁷ Tuesday 12 November 1303

p^rd'ca Agnes dicit q'd licet alias comptu' fuit p^r inquis' q'd p^rd'ca Leuca obiit in p^rd'ca aduocar' & q'd eadem Agnes p^pinquirior fuit de sanguine, hoc ei obesse no' debet, qa dicit q'd p^rd'ca Leuca aliquo tempe lib'e condic'ois extit'at & no' on'ata de p^rd'ca aduocar' & quo tempe eadem Agnes nata fu'at & s' p^r desc'pc'om tempor'. viginti annor' & ampli^s p^rd'ca Leuca se g^atis posuit in eade' aduocar', vnde petit iud^m ex quo post'ius f'cm p^rd'ce Leuce exitum antenatum in s'ruiente' redig'e no' potest; si ip'a p^r viginti annos & amplius nata pⁱus q^am p^rd'ca Leuca se g^atis on'auit de on'e p^rd'co +ligari debeat+ maxime cu' pⁱmu' iud'm inde sub co'dic'oe fu'at redditum q'd illa fuisset exon'ata; q'ncumq' ip'a doc'e posset ip'am inde iniuste on'ari; & q'd p^rd'ca responsio sua v'a est; petit q'd inquir'. Et p^rd'cus Will' fil' Steph' dicit q'd ip'e n^c antecessor' sui nu'q^am extiter't in p^rd'ca aduocar' n^c de iur' esse debent, & hoc petit q'd inquir'. Et p^rd'cus Ric'us de Chedle dicit q'd p^rd'cus Hugo ip'm inde iniuste exigit tres den' solu' pro aduocar' &c'. cu' ip'e n^c antecessor' sui nu'q^am soluer' nisi duos den' t'm & hoc petit q'd inquir' & p^rd'cus Hugo simil'r. Jur' vid', Hugo de Caluyl', Joh' de Coten' Rog'us de Sp^rstowe, Will' de Halghton', Rog'us de Clotton', Daui de Barton' Patricius de Crwe Will' de eadem, Henr' de Torpel', Adam de Bostok, & Rog'us de Moldeworth' dicu't sup' sacr'm suu' q'd p^rd'ca Leuca p^r viginti annos & amplius posq^am p^rd'ca Agn' natebat' se g^at' posuit in eadem aduocar' & q'd null' antecessor' p^rd'ce Leuce in p^rd'ca aduocat' extitit. Et q'd p^rd'cus Will' fil' Steph' n^c aliq's an'cessor' suor' nu'q^am fuerunt in eadem aduocar'. Et q'd Ric'us de Chedle nu'q^am soluit pro aduocar' p^rd'ca nisi duos den' t'm. Io cons' est q'd p^rd'ci Will' Agn' & Ric'us adp^rsens de p^rd'cis calu'pn' eant inde quieti

Richard Bulling

John de Cotton, keeper of the king's avowries, claimed Richard Bulling to be in avowry in succession to Richard Bulling his uncle. The text is damaged but suggests that the charge was to be taken by one Adam Pisseythepanne, another uncle.

11:201 [26 May 1299]

Comptu' est p̄ inquis' in quam Ric's Bulling se posuit q̄d que' Joh' de Coten' Custos aduocarior d'ni Reg^s calu'n..... sit in aduocaria Reg^s p̄ Ric'o Bulling auunc'lo suo, p̄ quo, facit s' uic' suu', & Rex est inde seis'; idem tam' sustin'i aduocar' p̄ quod' Adam Pisseythepanne alio auunc'lo suo⁹⁸

⁹⁸ breaks off here

Matilda Cappe

In August 1300 an inquest was summoned between Roger, now called master Roger, as keeper of the royal avowries, and Matilda (Godchep) wife of Walter Cappe.

12:306 [12 July 1300]

*Inqⁱs' int^r Matill' ~~Godchep~~ +vx^r Walt'i Cappe & Mag^rrm Rog^r de Aldrith'+ Custod'
aduocar' d'ni Reg^s*⁹⁹

12:320 [23 August 1300]

*Precept' e' vic' q'd venire faciat Inquis' ad px'm Com', int^r Rog^rm de Aldrithel'
Custodem aduocar' d'ni Regis & Matill' vx'em Walt'i Cappe*

⁹⁹ breaks off here

Felicia Carter

A damaged entry from 7 October 1309 shows that a Richard son of Nicholas summoned Felicia daughter of Adam son of Nicholas le Cartwright, complaining that one Nicholas le Cartwright, Richard's father and Felicia's uncle, had been in avowry; that Felicia's father Adam was born before Richard, and therefore she should assume the charges of the avowry. Felicia acknowledged this to be the case.

This is useful in confirming that avowry descended, like land, not wholly in the male line, but, where there was no son, to a daughter.

22:31 [7 October 1309]

Felicia fil' Ad' fil' Nich'i le Cartewright. sum' fuit ad respond'. Ric'o fil' Nich'i. de pl'ito t^{ns}¹⁰⁰

querit' q'd cum quidam Nich'us le Cartewright pat' ip'i^s Ric'i & auus p'd'ce Felicie in aduoc[aria]

& eadem Felicia fil' Ad' fil' +antenati+ & heredis p'd'ci Nich'i de aduocaria p'd'ca on'ari debeat; eadem Felicia

aduocaria p'd'ca minus iuste nititur onerari. vnde dicit q'd def'iorat^s est & dampnu' h'et ad val[enc']

inde pducit secta' &c'.

Et p'd'ca Felicia venit Et no' potest dedic'e q'n Ad' pat' suus fuit fil' antenatus & heres p'd'ci

in aduocar' d'ni. qui quide' Adam & heredes sui de aduocar' p'd'ca sustinend' +de iure+ onerari. debeat. I[de]o consideratum] est q'd p'd'ca Felicia +& hered' sui+ on'e't' de aduocar' p'd'ca supportand'. Et nich'o^s sit in m'ia. Et p'd'cs R[ic's]

¹⁰⁰ margin tattered

Robert Cash

On 23 April 1308 Peter Cash brought a suit against Hugh de Wyco [Wych], as to why Hugh, together with Hugh son of Robert le Lost and John de Coton had taken his mare. The mare had been taken on Monday 18 March at Adlington, in a place called Longbottom, and they had driven it to Macclesfield, where it had been kept until Cash served a writ for its recovery. In all, Cash's damages amounted, he claimed, to 20 shillings.

At the following county court Hugh, John and Hugh appeared, and John stated that they had had every right to seize the mare. He and Hugh de Fowlshurst were keepers of the avowries of the lord king, as earl of Chester, in Cheshire. Hugh Cash, Peter's father, who died 14 years before, had been in the earl's avowry, paying 2d a year. Peter, as Hugh's son, had inherited the obligation, and had never paid the 2d, so there was now 14 years in arrear.

Peter denied that his father had ever been in the earl's avowry, nor had his father ever paid a penny for the same, and he asked for the matter to be decided by a jury. But the judge said that as this touched on Crown seisin, the avowry rolls and inquisitions should first be searched. The rolls were searched, and it was found that a Robert Cash and all his sons had been in the avowry. The keepers claimed that Peter was one of Robert's issue.

Peter denied that Robert Cash had been his father or his grandfather, and therefore he, Peter, had no duty to support the avowry. The parties agreed that a jury be summoned to inquire into the matter.

The jury found that one Richard Cash, who had been in the earl's avowry, had had three sons, Robert, Ralph and Hugh. Of these, Robert, the first-born, had had a son called Pymme, who had been in the avowry. Pymme had two sons, Henry and Richard; Henry was alive, and supports the avowry. Therefore Peter should have his mare, and the keepers were amerced.

This case makes it clear that the duty to maintain the avowry by paying the yearly twopence descended in the male line, and there is no indication that it was tied to a certain piece of land. The records of the avowry were so poorly kept up to date that the only evidence they could provide related to a dead Robert Cash, grandfather of the living Henry Cash, who was supporting the avowry; and it also seems likely that the keepers were somehow unaware that Henry Cash was paying his yearly twopence.

Hugh de Fowlshurst had been granted the earl's avowries in 1303, paying £48 per year, as duly appears in the chamberlain's accounts (SC6 771/2, 3). The 'Recognizance Roll' of 2 Edward II (m.1 (10)) has him in 1309 entering a recognizance to Robert de Holland for £40 for the king's avowries (DKR xxxvi 187). Hugh would clearly wish to bring some order to the office, and to make sure that all revenue due was collected. There are many entries relating to him in the county pleas (see Index Nominum), some specifying that he was keeper of the earl's avowries (21:173 267 323 446 480 551), some relating to Hugh de

Fowlshurst junior in the same rôle (22:484 494 614 730 882 1035), but many of the others may also relate to disputes arising from this office; and Hugh was the target of the minor insurrection of 1308 (see AQUE under WEAVER; and CONSPIRACY). An officer seeking to maximise his revenues but armed with poor records as to what was actually due might well become unpopular.

20:466 [23 April 1308]

p^r e'

Petrus de Cash' op se u^s Hug' de Wyco de pl'ito quare ip'e s^l cu' Hug' fil' Rob'ti Le Lost & Joh'ne de Coton' cepu't qddam Jumentu' suu' &c' Et ip'e non ven' Et preceptu' fuit vic' q atach' eu' q esset hic ad hu'c Com' &c' Et vic' modo mand' q'd n^l h't in balli'a sua p quod potest atach' I'o prec' est vic' sicut pⁱus q'd atach' eu' &c' Ita q eu' h'eat ad px'm Com' ad r'nd' p'd'co Pet^o &c' de pl'ito pred'co &c'

20:534 [28 May 1308]

Hugo fil' Rob'ti le Lost Joh'es de Coten' & Hug' de Wico sum' fu'nt ad respond' Petro Cash' de pl'ito quare cepunt quoddam Jume'tum suu'. & illud iniuste detinueru't &c'. Et vnde querit'. q'd ijdem Hugo Joh'es & Hugo die Lune px^a an' anu'ciaco'em b'e Marie anno R' nu'c p'mo. ap'd Adlington'. in quodam loco qⁱ vocatur Longebothum cepunt quoddam Jumentu' ip'ius Petⁱ & illud fugau'nt vsq' Macclesfeld. & ibi illud impcau'nt & inpcatu' detinuer'nt quousq' deliber' facta f'ca¹⁰¹ p br'e d'ni Regis. vnde dicit q'd def'iorat^s est & dampnu' h'et ad valenc'. viginti solid'. Et inde pducit sectam. &c'.

Et p'd'ci Hugo Joh'es & Hugo. ven'. & defend' vim & iniuria'. q'n &c'. Et Joh'es p se & alii aduocat +capc'om+ iustam &c'. Et dicit q'd ip'e & quidam Hugo de Fouleshurst sunt custod' aduoc' d'ni R' Com' Cestr' in Com' Cestr'. et q'd p quamdam Inquisic'oem cora' ip'is captam apud Macklesfeud die Lune px^a post Purif' b'e Marie vlti'o p't'ito,¹⁰² comptu' fuit, q'd quidam Hugo Cash pat' p'd'ci Petri fuit in aduocaria d'ni Reg^s Com' Cestr'. redd'o p annu'. duos denarios +qui+ quidem Hugo obiit. quatuor decim annis elaps'. & q'd p'd'cus Petrus fuit fil' suus & heres qui post morte' p'd'ci Hug' p'ris sui. de p'd'cis duob^s denariis annuatim reddend' p aduocar' p'd'ca. de iure debuit on'ari; & q'd p'd'cus Petr^s p'd'cos duos denarios p aduocar' illa p quatuordecim annos no' soluit. immo illos conclauit. vnde p co'celame'to. & arrerag' p'd'cor duor den'. de aduocar' d'ni Reg^s Com'. &c'. p q^atuordecim annos aretro existenc'. videl' ij.s' & .iiij.d'. aduoc' capc'oem. iusta'. &c'. & no'ie d'ni. R' Com'. &c'.

Et p'd'cus Petrus dicit q'd p'd'cus Hugo Cash pat' suus nu'cq^a fuit in aduocar d'ni Reg^s Com'. &c'. nec aliquē denariu' p aduocar' vnq^a in vita sua d'no Reg' Com' Cestr' soluebat & hoc patus est v'ificare p p'riam. &c', Et qa h't^s v'ificac'o sup seisina' d'ni Reg^s no' est admittenda q^ousq' sc^utent' Rot'li. & inqⁱsic'oes de aduocar'. dat^s est dies ptib^s vsq' ad px'm Com' Et int'im. Scrutent' Rot'li & inqⁱsic'oes &c'. — Postea sc^utatis rotul' de aduocar' comptu' fuit p eosdem q'd quidam Rob'us Cashe & om'es pueri sui fu'nt in aduocaria d'ni Reg^s. vnde p'd'ci Hugo & Joh'es dicu't p d'no R'. q'd p'd'cus Petrus est. exitus de p'd'co Rob'to & debet esse in aduocar' d'ni Reg^s &c'. +portando aduocariam+ sicut supi^s p d'no R' aduocarut. Et p'd'cus Petrus dicit q'd idem Rob'us no' fuit pat' suus nec auus suus, ne ip'e est exit^s de p'd'co Rob'to. nec aliq^m aduocaria' p ip'o portate debet +Et hoc+ petit q'd inqⁱrat' Et p'd'ci Hugo & Joh'es p d'no Rege. si lit'. Ideo p'ceptu' est vic'. q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'. p quos &c'. Et qⁱ nec p'd'cm Petru'. &c'. Quia tam. &c'.

¹⁰¹ sic

¹⁰² Monday 18 March 1308

Postea ad Com' die M^artis p^x^a post Octab' s'ci Mich'is p^xi'o sequ's¹⁰³ ven'. tam p^rd'cus Petr^s q^am p^rd'ci Custod'. & Jur'. si'lr — Jur' dicu't sup^r sacr'm suu', q'd qⁱdam Ric'us Cashe +..... aduocaria'+ h'uit tres filios, Rob'm, Ran' & Hug' Et dicu't q'd Rob' fil' eius antenat^s fuit in aduocaria — qⁱ qⁱd' Rob'us h'uit que'd' fil' no'ie Pymme existe'tem in aduocaria Pymme h'uit duos fil'. Henr' & Ric'm, qui quide' Henr' adhuc supstites est in aduocaria & portat onus aduocar' p^rd'ce Et Dicu't q'd p^rd'cus Hugo p^r p^rd'ci Petⁱ nu'c^q^a fuit in aduocar' nec idem Petrus est de exitu p^rd'ci Rob'i n^c aliq^a aduocar' p^r eu' portare debet I'o cons' est q'd p^rd'cus Petrus h'eat Jumentu' suu' deliberatu' & p^rd'cor Custod' in m'ia

20:621 [19 July 1308]

Jur^a int^r Petru' de Cash' & Hug' fil' Rob'ti Le Lost po^r in resp^ctu' vsq' p^x' Com' p^r def'cu iurator. qa nullus &c' Ideo prec' est vic' q'd h'eat corpa. &c' ad p^x' Com' &c' Et p^rt alios tot & tales &c'

21:30 [1 October 1308]

m'ie .iiij.s'.

Ric'us de Bosdon' +xij.d'+. Will's de Thorneclough' +xij.d'+. Adam de Fernilegh' +xij.d'+. Henr' de Chorlegh' +xij.d'+qa no' ven' in inqsic'one int^r Petr' Cashe & Hugo'em de Fouleshurst in m'ia

The following year a Peter Cash, possibly the same man, appears as the victim of a burglary; the accused, Adam Coleman, made off, according to the charge, with 100 shillings' worth of goods of Peter's, and was also charged with the ravishment and abduction of Sibilla wife of Roger le Vernon. Adam was found not guilty. We have an Adam Coleman from Etchells (17:84 &c.), not far distant from Adlington, and the Vernons were gentry from Stockport, so the identification seems plausible.

22:111 [7 October 1309]

Et Ad' Colemon indictat^s & capt^s p^r burgar' dom^s Petⁱ Casshe & asptac'oe bonor^r eiusdem Petⁱ ad valenc'. Centu' solid' felonit^r. &c'. Et de raptu et abducc'oe Sibill' vx^ris Rog^ri le Vernon'. cont^a pacem. &c'.

¹⁰³ Tuesday 8 October 1308

Richard de Chedle

Hugh de Fowlshurst attached William son of Stephen Grus, Richard de Chedle and Agnes wife of William Bate of Tarporley to answer why they had withdrawn from the earl's avowry, and refused to pay what was due to the avowry. He claimed that Stephen, William's father, and a certain Leuca, mother of Agnes, who were next of kin, to support the charge of the same avowry, had died, and that Agnes in the court of 12 November 1303 [the records of which are now lost] had sued Hugh for having distrained her for having withdrawn herself from the avowry; the court had then found that Leuca had died in avowry, and that Agnes was her next-of-kin, and therefore Agnes should remain in avowry unless and until she found some closer next-of-kin to support the avowry charges.

Agnes now said that the 1303 decision was incorrect, because Leuca had at one time been of free condition and not in avowry, and that Agnes was born twenty or more years before Leuca had, of her own free will, entered the avowry. Agnes therefore questioned whether she could be bound to inherit such a burden because of an act done by her mother more than twenty years after her birth.

William son of Stephen Grus said that neither he nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry, nor should he be.

Richard de Chedle said that Hugh had unjustly extorted three shillings from him for avowry, where neither he nor his ancestors had ever paid more than twopence.

The jury said that Leuca had entered avowry of her own free will more than twenty years after Agnes was born; neither Agnes nor any of her ancestors had been in avowry. Neither William son of Stephen Grus nor any of his ancestors had ever been in avowry. Richard de Chedle never paid more than twopence to the avowry.

17:129 [7 December 1305]

Will'us fil' Steph'i Grus, Ric'us de Chedle & Agnes vx' Will' Bate de Torpel' atach' fueru't p' Hug' de Foul' Custodem aduocar' d'ni Com' ad respondend' quar' sub^axerunt se de aduocar' d'ni Com' & min^s iuste cont^adicunt solu'e ea que spectant aduocar' p^rd'co, & vnde idem Hugo dicit, q'd quidam Steph'us pat' p^rd'ci Will' & quedam Leuca mat' p^rd'ce Agnet^s quib^s ip'i ppinquoires sunt de sanguine ad sustinend' onus eiusdem aduocar'; obieru't in eadem aduocar', & dicit & q'd p^rd'ca Agn' ad Com' die M^art^s prox^a post f'm s'ci Martini anno r'.r'.E.xxxj.¹⁰⁴ questa fuit q'd p^rd'cus Hugo ip'am distrixit pro sub^act'oe eiusdem aduocar', ad quem diem comptum fuit p' inquis', q'd queda' Leuca mat' ip'ius Agn' obiit in aduocar' d'ni Com', & q'd ip'a Agnes fuit ppinquir de sanguine ad supportand' on^s aduocar' p^rd'ce ita q'd tunc cons' fuit q'd p^rd'ca Agnes distringeret' ad satisfac' &c' de arrerag' eiusdem aduocar', & q'd ip'a rem' in eade' aduocar' quousq' doc^e posset ip'am inde iniuste on'ari v'l aliquem ppinquirem de sanguine ad supportand' onus p^rd'cm &c' Et

¹⁰⁴ Tuesday 12 November 1303

p^rd'ca Agnes dicit q'd licet alias comptu' fuit p^r inquis' q'd p^rd'ca Leuca obiit in p^rd'ca aduocar' & q'd eadem Agnes ppinquior fuit de sanguine, hoc ei obesse no' debet, qa dicit q'd p^rd'ca Leuca aliquo tempe lib'e condic'ois extit'at & no' on'ata de p^rd'ca aduocar' & quo tempe eadem Agnes nata fu'at & s' p^r desc'pc'om tempor'. viginti annor' & ampli^s p^rd'ca Leuca se g^atis posuit in eade' aduocar', vnde petit iud^m ex quo post'ius f'cm p^rd'ce Leuce exitum antenatum in s'ruente' redig'e no' potest; si ip'a p^r viginti annos & amplius nata pⁱus q^am p^rd'ca Leuca se g^atis on'auit de on'e p^rd'co +ligari debeat+ maxime cu' pⁱmu' iud'm inde sub co'dic'oe fu'at redditum q'd illa fuisset exon'ata; q'ncumq' ip'a doc'e posset ip'am inde iniuste on'ari; & q'd p^rd'ca responsio sua v'a est; petit q'd inquir'. Et p^rd'cus Will' fil' Steph' dicit q'd ip'e n^c antecessor' sui nu'q^am extiter't in p^rd'ca aduocar' n^c de iur' esse debent, & hoc petit q'd inquir'. Et p^rd'cus Ric'us de Chedle dicit q'd p^rd'cus Hugo ip'm inde iniuste exigit tres den' solu' pro aduocar' &c'. cu' ip'e n^c antecessor' sui nu'q^am soluer' nisi duos den' t'm & hoc petit q'd inquir' & p^rd'cus Hugo simil'r. Jur' vid', Hugo de Caluyl', Joh' de Coten' Rog'us de Sp^rstowe, Will' de Halghton', Rog'us de Clotton', Daui de Barton' Patricius de Crwe Will' de eadem, Henr' de Torpel', Adam de Bostok, & Rog'us de Moldeworth' dicu't sup' sacr'm suu' q'd p^rd'ca Leuca p^r viginti annos & amplius posq^am p^rd'ca Agn' natebat' se g^at' posuit in eadem aduocar' & q'd null' antecessor' p^rd'ce Leuce in p^rd'ca aduocat' extitit. Et q'd p^rd'cus Will' fil' Steph' n^c aliq's an'cessor' suor' nu'q^am fuerunt in eadem aduocar'. Et q'd Ric'us de Chedle nu'q^am soluit pro aduocar' p^rd'ca nisi duos den' t'm. Io cons' est q'd p^rd'ci Will' Agn' & Ric'us adp^rsens de p^rd'cis calu'pn' eant inde quieti

Robert son of Tibbot de Chorley

Hugh de Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries, attached Robert son of Tibbot de Chorley, John son of William del Hill of Hankelow, Agnes del Dounes and Philip de Farndon to answer the earl why they had withdrawn from his avowries.

Hugh said that Robert son of Tibbot, father of Robert the defendant, and William del Hill father of John, and Richard le Clapper father of the said Philip and Agnes, had died in avowry, and that the defendants, being next-of-kin, should bear the avowry charges.

Agnes pointed out that as the charge itself showed that she had a living brother, Philip, she could not be charged with avowry.

Philip said that one Richard Whitbigg was his father, and had never been called Richard le Clapper, and Richard Whitbigg had never been in avowry.

Robert and the others said that neither they nor their ancestors had ever been in avowry.

The matter was put to a jury, who confirmed the defendants' claims, and they were acquitted.

16:214 [3 August 1305]

Rob'us filius Tibbote de Cherl' Joh's fil' Will'i del Hul de Hunkelowe Agnes del Dounes Ph'us de Farndon' atach' fuerunt ad respond' d'no Comite Cestr' quare sub^txer' se de aduocar' ip'ius Com'. Et vnde Hugo de Fouleshurest custos eiusdem aduocar' qui sequit' p Com' dicit q'd quid' Rob'us fil' Tibbote pat' ip'ius Rob'ti & quidam Will' del Hul pat' ip'ius Joh'is & quid' [Ric] 'us le Clappere pat' p'd'cor Ph'i & Agn' fuerunt in aduocar' p'd'ca & in eadem obierunt ppt' quod p'd'ci Rob'us & om's alii vt ppinquiores de sanguine onus aduocar' p'd'ce sustinere tenent'. Et p'd'ca Agn' petit iud'm exquo p'd'cus Hugo cogn' ip'am h're fr'em supstitem si de aduocar' qua' Ric'us p'r ip'or Ph'i & Agne^s reddere consuevit viiente ip'o Ph'o on'ari debeat. Et p'd'cus Ph'us dicit q'd quid' Ric'us Whitebigge erat p'r suus & nu'q'm vocabat' Ric'us le Clapper qui quid' Ric'us Whytebygge nu'q'm in p'd'ca aduocat' extitit. Et p'd'ci Rob'us & om's alii dicunt q'd ip'i n^c antec' sui in p'd'ca aduocar' extiterunt n^c in eadem de iur' esse debent & hoc tam p'd'cus Ph'us q'm om's al[ii] petunt q'd inquir' & p'd'cus Hugo simil'r. Jur' vid' Will' de Cotegreue, Hugo le Brid, Dau[id] fr' ei^s, Math'us de Alph^am, Th' de Brox', Rob'us de Manlegh' Rog'us de Moldeworth', Will' de Ho'..... Adam Malbon Ric'us de Wrennebur' Ric'us de Wistanston' & Will' fil' Ham' de Byrchouer qui di[c] su]p sacr'm suu' q'd pat' p'd'cor Ph'i & Agn' vocat' Ric'us Whitebigge & no' Ric'us le Clapper, qui qu[id'm] Ric'us nu'q'm +.....+ in aduocar' p'd'ca extitit & q'd p'd'co Rob'us & Joh's n^c eor' antec' nu'q'm in aduocaria p'd'ca extiterunt n^c de iur' esse debent I'o cons' est q'd eant inde quieti.

Richard de Coddington

John de Cotton, keeper of the king's avowries, claimed William son of Richard de Coddington to be in avowry, because Richard the father had been. William denied that his father had ever been in avowry. An inquiry found in William's favour.

11:341 [11 August 1299]

Joh's de Coten' Custos aduocar' d'ni Reg' calu'pniabat, Will'm fil' Ric'i de Coddington', tanq^m ip'm qui debet esse in aduocar' d'ni Reg' +ea+ r'one, q'd Ric'us pat' ip'ius Will'i, fuit in aduocar' p^rd'ca, die obiit, post cui^s mortem ip'e debet sustentate debet aduocar' p^rd'cam vt fil' & heres ip'ius Ric'i ppinquior. Et p^rd'cus Will's venit, & dicit q'd p^rd'cs Ric's p'r suus, nu'cq^m fuit in aduocar' p^rd'ca p quod ip'e tenet^r, eand' aduocar' sustentare. Et hoc petit q'd inquir'. Et p^rd'cs Joh' simil'r. Ideo fiat inde iur' ad px'm Com', Ideo p^r. est vic'. q'd venire fac' xij. &c'. Postea ad Com' die Mart^s in c^asti'o s'ci Math'i ap'li, f'ca inde inquis'; p^rd'cs Will', de p^rd'ca calu'pnia quiet^s recessit. &c'.

William Colyhog

31 May 1306 Hugh Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries, attached John le Mercer of Northwich, Robert le Ditcher, Bate del Moor and William Colyhog, to answer why they had withdrawn from avowry. Fowlshurst said that one Roysa, mother of the said John, and one Richard Kemp, uncle of Bate, and one Ranulph Cod, uncle of William, had all been in the avowry, and died in the same. As for Robert le Ditcher, he was a stranger who had committed various trespasses far away and had put himself into the Cheshire avowry for self-protection.

The defendants all said that neither they nor any of their ancestors had ever been in avowry; the jury agreed.

17:393 [31 May 1306]

*Joh'es le M'cer de Norwych Rob'us le Dicher Bate del Moor & Will'us Colyhog
atach' fuer' p Hug' de Fouleshurst Custode' aduocar' d'ni Com' Cestr' ad respondendu'
d'no Comiti quare subtraxeru't se de aduocar' d'ci Com' &c'. Et vnde idem Custos dicit q'd
quedam Roysa mat' p'd'ci Joh' & quedam Ric'us Kempe auu'culus p'd'ci Bate et quida'
Ran's Cod auu'culus p'd'ci Will'i fuer' in aduocaria p'd'ca & in eadem obieru't & p'd'cus
Rob'us le Dicher fuit aduentic' p diu'sis t'nsgressio'ib' in longinquis ptib' f'cs & in aduocar'
p'd'ca se posuit ppt' quod p'd'ci Joh'es & om's alii vt ppinquoies de sanguine onus
aduocarie p'd'ce supportare tenent'. Et p'd'ci Joh's & om's alii veniu't & dicunt q'd ip'i n'
antecessores sui nu'q'm in aduocaria p'd'ca extiteru't n' de iureesse debent & hoc petu't q'd
inquirat' & p'd'cus Hugo qui sequit' p Com' simil'r. Jur' p'd'ci scil't Will' de Spurstowe &
om's alii dic't sup sacr'm suu' q'd nullus antecessor p'd'cor Joh' & alior' nu'q'm in
aduocaria p'd'ca extiteru't nec ip'i de iure esse debent. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'ci Joh's & alii
eant inde quieti.*

Richard le Cooper

12 November 1208 John Cotton, as keeper of the king's avowries, sued John le Cooper to be in avowry. He claimed that Richard, John's father, had been in avowry, rendering 43d a year, but that John, who should have borne the charge after his father's death, had withdrawn; and should pay £40 for contempt.

John appeared and said that neither Richard his father nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry. A search of the avowry rolls was made, but the names of John and Richard were not found. The jury therefore dismissed Cotton's claim was dismissed and he was amerced.

21:180 [12 November 1308]

M'. alibi

Joh'es le Couper attach' fuit ad respond' eid'm Joh'i custod' &c' qui sequit' p' d'no Rege. de eo q'd cum. quidam Ric'us Le Coup pat' ip'ius Joh'is in aduocaria d'ni R^s extitisset, reddendo inde p' annu' – iiij^{or}. denar' eidem d'no Regi p' aduocaria p'd'ca. Et idem Joh'es Le Couper in aduocaria illa esse, & onus illius aduocar' p' p'd'co Ric'o p're suo sustinere debeat; idem Joh'es Le Couper. de aduocaria illa ia' se subtraxit. Et p'd'cos q^atuor denar' p' annu' eidem d'no Regi p' aduocaria p'd'ca redd'e cont^a dicit, in co'temptum d'ni R^s manifestu' & da'pnu' ip'ius d'ni R^s quadraginta Libr'. Et hoc idem Joh'es custos &c' offert v'ificare p' d'no. Reg'. &c'.

Et p'd'cus Joh'es ven'. Et dicit q'd p'd'cus Joh'es custos. &c'. iniuste nititur ip'm de aduocaria p'd'ca on'ari. Quia dicit q'd p'd'cus Ric'us pat' suus. nec aliquis an'cessor suor nu'cq^a fu'nt in aduocar' d'ni R^s. nec aliquem denar' eidem d'no R' p' aduocaria p'd'ca. eidem d'no Regi reddid', nec ip'e in aduocaria illa vncq^a extitit, nec esse debet Et de hoc ponit se sup p'riam &c'. Et qa huiusmodi v'ificac'o. sup seisina' d'ni Reg^s no' est admittend'. quousq' constet Cur' vtrum nomen. &c'. Datus est eis dies vsq' ad px'm Com' &c'. Et int'im scrute't' rot'li &c'. Et nich'o^s p'ceptum est vic'. q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c' p' quos. &c'. Postea ad Com' die M^artis px^a post festu' s'ce Lucie virg'is ¹⁰⁵ ven'. tam p'd'cs Joh'es Custos q^a p'd'cs. Joh'es. & si'lit^r.xij. &c'. Et qa sc^utatis Rot'lis aduoc' nome' p'd'ci Joh'is nec Ric'i p'ris sui in eisdem no' inuenit' insertu'. Ideo capiat' inde inqⁱsic'o. an p'd'cs Joh'es de Iure in aduocaria R^s esse debeat. seu aliqⁱs an'cess' suor p' quo. &c'. in aduocar' illa extit'it nec ne — Jur' dicu't sup sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cs Ric'us p'r p'd'ci. Ric'i, ¹⁰⁶ nec aliquis an'cessor suor p' quo. &c'. nu'cq^a fu'nt in aduocar' d'ni R^s seu Com'. nec ide' Joh'es in aduocar' illa esse de Iure esse debet nisi sponte se volu'it inde on'are. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'cus Joh'es le Coup inde sine die. Saluo Iur' R^s alias &c' Et p'd'cs c^stos in m'ia

¹⁰⁵ Tuesday 17 December 1308

¹⁰⁶ sic

Robert Crowton

12 May 1310 Robert son of Ranulph de Crowton was attached to answer the king why he had withdrawn himself from the king's avowry. John de Cotton, the keeper of the avowries, stated that Ranulph the father had been in avowry, paying 4d a year, and that Robert as son and heir should now bear the charge, but for four years and more had withdrawn from avowry, in contempt of the king 100s.

Robert that his father had never been in avowry. However, a search of the avowry rolls showed that Ranulph had been in avowry; therefore Robert should remain in avowry, unless he was able to prove otherwise.

22:630 [12 May 1310]

reman' on'at

Rob'ts fil' Ran' de Crouton' attach' fuit ad respond' d'no regi de pl'ito, quare se sub^axit de aduocar' d'ni reg^s &c'. Et vn' Joh'es de Cotoun Custos aduocar' &c', qui sequit' pro d'no Rege, dicit, q'd cu' Ran' de Crowton' p'r p'd'ci Rob'ti cui^s heres ip'e est, du' vixit, extitit in aduocar' d'ni reg^s redd' d'no regi pro aduocar' p'd'ca, quatuor den' p annu', et p'd'cus Rob'ts ut fil' & h'rs p'd'ci Ran' onus aduocar' p'd'ce supportare debeat, id'm Rob'ts de aduocar' illa iam p quatuor annos & amplius se sub^axit in conte'pt' d'ni reg^s Centu' solid' &c'.

Et p'd'cus Rob'ts ven' & dicit, q'd Ran' p'r suus nu'q^am fuit in aduocar' d'ni reg^s nec ip'e de Jure in aduocar' illa esse debet et de hoc ponit se s'r p'riam, Et quia hi^s verificac'o sup seisis' d'ni reg^s no' est admittend' quousq' sciat^r, vtru' nomen p'd'ci Ran' in rot'lis aduocar' sit insertu' nec ne, I'o scrutant^r rot'li aduocar' &c'. Et quia scrutat^r rot'lis aduocar', nome' Ran' de Crouton' in eisd'm inuent' est; I'o pred'cus Rob'ts remaneat in aduocar' d'ni reg^s supportand' onus p'd'ce aduocar', Nisi docere pot'it, aliu' t'li no'ie co'gnit', qui onus illud supportare debeat &c'

Adam Deykyn

On 6 February 1310 John de Cotton senior went into the home of Adam Deykyn in Willaston, and took away a basin, worth 40d. In court John said that he was the keeper of the king's avowries; that Richard, Adam's father, had been in avowry, paying 3d a year; and that Adam, as Richard's next heir, was due to pay the charge, but refused, so he took the basin.

Adam said that his father had never been in avowry. A search of the avowry rolls was made, but Richard's name was not found. The jury therefore dismissed Cotton's claim and he was amerced; but the court then forgave him the amercement.

22:490 [31 March 1310]

no'

.p^r.e'. q't

.M'ia.cd^r qa

Joh'es de Coton' senior attach' fuit ad respondend' Ad' Deykyn de pl'o capc'ois & detenco'is vni^s peluis. Et vnde id'm +Ad'+ Deykyn qr^r. q'd p^rd'cus Joh' die ven'is px' post f'm Pur' b'e Mar' anno Reg^s nu'c t'c'o¹⁰⁷ apud Wylaston' in domo p^rd'ci Ade cepit q^amdam peluim ip'ius Ade & illam iniuste detinuit & adhuc detinet vnde dic' q'd def'iorat^s e' & dampn' h'et ad valenc' quadrag' den', & inde pduc' sectam &c' & petit inde delib'ac'oem &c'.

Et p^rd'cs Joh' ven' & def' vim & iniur' q'n &c', & tanq^a custos aduoc' d'ni Reg^s aduocat capc'oem — iusta' &c'. Dic' eni' q'd p^r inquis' coram eo captam comptu' fuit, q'd quid' Ric's Deykyn p'r ip'ius Ad' cui^s her' ip'e est fuit in in¹⁰⁸ aduoc' d'ni Reg^s redd'o d'no Regi p^r annu' p^r aduoc' p^rd'ca tres den' post cui^s morte' id'm Ada' her' p^rd'ci Ric' ppinq^ror onus p^rd'ce aduocar' supportare debeat. Et qa id'm Ad' aduoc' p^rd'cam redd'e noluit; — Id'm Joh' tanq^a custos &c' p^r t^bs den' +de+ aduoc' p^rd'ca aretro existentib^s p^rd'cam peluis cepit sic' ei bene licuit. Et ita aduocat capc'oem iustam no'ie d'ni Reg^s &c'.

Et p^rd'cus Ad' dic' q'd Ric^s p'r suus nuq^a fuit in aduoc' d'ni Reg^s nec aliquem den' d'no Regi p^r d'ca aduocaria reddidit, nec ip'e in aduocar' illa esse debet, & de hoc ponit se sup p'riam. Et qa sup aduoc' d'ni Regis hui^smo'i verificac'o non est admittend', quousq' sciat^r vt^m nom' p^rd'ci Ade aut Ric'i in rotulis aduocariar^r sit insertu' nec ne; Id'o scrutent^r rotul' de aduoc' &c'. Et qa scrutatis rotulis aduoc' nom' p^rd'ci Ric'i seu Ade in eisd'm non est inuentum. I'o p^r e' vic' q'd ven' fac' ad px' Com' .xij. &c' p^r quos &c'. Postea ad Com' die M^art^s px' p^s f'm s'ci Joh' ad Porta' Latina' px' sequ' ven' ptes & si'lr .xij. Q^{ui} dicu't sup sacr'm suu', q'd p^rd'cus Ric^s Daykin p'r p^rd'ci Ade nu'q^a fuit in aduocar' d'ni R^s. n^c id'm +Ad'+ de iure e'e debet, Id'o cons' est, q'd p^rd'cus Ad' h'eat peluim sua' delib'ata' & sit inde quiet^s, & p^rd'cus Joh' in m'ia.

¹⁰⁷ Friday 6 February 1310

¹⁰⁸ sic

John Deykyn

On 6 February 1310 John de Cotton senior went into the home of John Deykyn of Henbury in Willaston, and took away a dish, worth 40d. In court John said that he was the keeper of the king's avowries; that Matilda, John Deykyn's mother, was a newcomer, and of her own free will had put herself in avowry, paying 3d a year; and that John Deykyn, as Matilda's heir, was due to pay the charge; 3d was in arrear, so he took the dish.

John Deykyn said that his mother was not a newcomer, but had been born in Mollington; she never put herself in avowry. The jury agreed, and amerced Cotton.

22:491 [31 March 1310]

.p^r.e'. no'. q't

Joh' de Coton' senior att' fuit ad respond' Joh'i Deykyn de Hendebur' de pl'o capc'ois & detenc'ois cuiusda' patelle Et vnde id'm Joh' Deykin q'r q'd p'd'cus Joh'es die ven'is p^x^a post f'm Pur' b'e Mar' anno Reg^s nu'c t'c'o¹⁰⁹ apud Wylaston' in domo p'd'ci Joh'is cepit q^amdam patellam ip'ius Joh' & illam iniuste detinuit & ad huc detinet vnde dic' q'd def'ioratus est & dampn' h'et ad valenc' quadrag' den' & inde pduc' sectam &c' & petit inde delib'ac'oem &c'.

Et p'd'cs Joh' +de Coton'+ ven' & def' vim & iniur' q'n &c', & tanq^a custos aduoc' d'ni Reg^s aduoc' capc'oem — iustam &c'. Dicit eni' q'd p' inquis' coram eo capta' comptu' fuit, q'd quedam Maltid'¹¹⁰ Mat' p'd'ci Joh'is +Deykyn+ aduenticia fuit, & de f'co suo pp'o se on'abat' de aduoc' d'ni Reg^s redd'o p' annu' p' aduoc' p'd'ca tres den' ~~p' annu'~~ post cui^s morte', id'm Joh'es +Daykyn+ vt fil' & her' p'd'ce Matild' onus p'd'ce aduoc' supportare debeat. Et qa tres den' de aduoc' p'd'ca aref'o fu'unt die capc'ois p'd'ce aduoc' capc'om iustam &c' no'ie d'ni Reg' &c'.

Et p'd'cus Joh' Deykyn dic' q'd p'd'ca Matild' Mat' sua non fuit aduentitia. immo nata apud Molynton', & nu'q^a se on'ab' de aduoc' d'ni Reg^s nec in illa aduoc' fuit, nec ip'e in aduoc' esse debet & de hoc ponit se sup' p'riam. Et qa sup' seis' d'ni Reg^s hui^smo'i verificac'o non est admittenda, quousq' sciat' vt^m nom' p'd'ce Matild' in rotulis aduoc' sit insertum n^c ne; id'o scrutent' rotuli aduoc' &c'. Et qa scrutatis p'd'cis rotulis nom' p'd'ce Matild' in eis d'm no' est inuent' Id'o p' e' vic' q'd ven' fac' ad p^x Com' .xij. &c', p' quos, &c'. Postea ad Com' die M^art^s p^x^a post f'm s'ci Joh'is an' Portam Latina' ¹¹¹ p^x' sequ', ven' ptes & si'lr .xij. +&c'+ qui dicu't s'r sacr'm suu', q'd Matild' Mater p'd' Joh' nata fuit ap^d Molinton' & no' fuit aduenticia, nec vnq^a se on'baat' v's^s D'nm. R^s. de aliq'o aduocaria nec vnq^a i' aduoc' d'ni. R^s. exstitit, nec id'm Joh' +Daykyn+ in aduoc' illa de iure e'e debet, Id'o cons' est q'd p'd'cs Joh' Daykin h'eat patella' sua' deliberatam & sit inde quiet^s, et p'd'cs Joh' in m'ia. tam p' iniusta detenc'oe q^am p' iniusta capc'oe d'ce patelle

¹⁰⁹ Friday 6 February 1310

¹¹⁰ sic

¹¹¹ Tuesday 12 May 1310

Richard del Deane

Richard del Deane sued Hugh de Fowlshurst, Robert de Cresswellshaw and Geoffrey de Smallwood, claiming that on 26 May 1306 they had taken two cows and two mares of Richard's at a place called the Deane in Warburton, and driven them to the house of Henry le Priestson, to the damage of 100 shillings.

Hugh, Robert and Geoffrey appeared, and said that Hugh was the keeper of the earl's avowries (see above, under ADLINGTON), and that Richard should have paid the keeper of the avowries 12d a year, but had paid only 8d for the last 20 years or more.

Richard said that one Simon le Bond his grandfather had three sons, Ranulph, Adam and William; on Simon's death his estate was divided equally between the three sons on the understanding that they each bore a fair portion of the charge for the avowry. He had always paid his portion, and was not in arrears, and William and Adam had paid their parts, so was it fair for him to be charged twice?

Hugh said that Ranulph, Adam and William had freely put themselves into the avowry, and had each paid sixpence a year. The judge summoned the visne of the township of Warburton; the jury say that Simon le Bond and Agnes his wife had paid twelve pence a year for the avowry. When their estate was divided, it was upon condition that the eldest son pay eight pence a year, the other two four pence between them. Hugh was wrong in asserting that the three sons had entered into the avowry voluntarily while Simon was alive, and his distraint of Richard's beasts had been unjust. Richard was to have the animals back, plus two shillings damages, and Hugh, Robert and Geoffrey were amerced.

17:398 [31 May 1306]

p^r.

Da'pna .ij.s'.

Hugo de Foul Rob'us de Cressewelleschawe & Galfridus de Smalwode sum' fueru't ad respond' Ric'o del Dene de pl'ito capt' au'ior & vnde idem Ric'us quer' q'd p^rd'ci Hugo & alii die Jouis px^a an' f'm Pentecostes anno .r'.r'. E. xxxiiij.¹¹² in quodam loco vocato le Dene apud Werberton' cepunt duas vaccas & duo lumenta ip'ius Ric'i & illa fugavit vsq' ad domu' Henr' le Prestesone & illa ibide' cont^a vad' & pleg' hucusq' detinet, vnde dicit q'd deter' est & dampna h'et ad val' .C. sol' & inde pducit secta'. E p^rd'cus Hugo & om's alii ven' & def' vim & iniur' q'n & c' & dicit q'd ip'e idem Hugo est custos aduocaria^r d'ni Com' & q'd idem Ric'us del Dene solu^re debuit Custodi eiusd' aduocar' duodeci' denar' p annu', de quo quidem redditu d'cus Ric'us soluit Custodi d'ce aduocar' octo denar' p annu' & q^atuor denar' residuos p viginti annos & amplius retinuit & sic p arerag' d'cor quatuor denar' cepit ip'e dist'co'es pred'cas sicut ei b'n licuit. Et p^rd'cus Ric'us dicit q'd quida' Simo' le Bonde auus ip'ius Ric'i h'uit tres filios scil't Ran' Adam & Will' post cui^s decessu', bona sua partita fuerunt int^r p^rd'cos Ran' & fr'es suos tali condic'oe q'd quil' eor' rata

¹¹² Thursday 26 May 1306

porc'oe sua suportaret onus aduocarie p^rd'ce & dicit q'd de porc'oe sua ip'm contingente nich' a ret^o est Et q'd p^rd'ci Adam & Will'us soluerunt eidem Custodi pporc'om suam vnde pepit¹¹³ iud'm si on'a & easde' aduocaria bis debeat psolu'e. Et p^rd'cus Hugo dicit q'd quil' p^rd'cor Ran' Ade & Will'i p^rdecessor p^rd'ci Simon' g^atis se posuit in eade' aduocaria & quilibet eor^u sex denar' p^r eade' aduocaria soluerunt & hoc petit q'd inquirat'. & p^rd'cus Ric^s simil'r. I'o p^r. est vic' q'd de visn' de Werberton' ven' fac' &c'. xij. &c' p^r quos &c'. qui n^c &c'. Postea ad Com' die M^art^s p^rx^a post T^anslac'om s'ci Thome martir' p^rx' sequens¹¹⁴ ven' ptes p^rd'ce & similit' .xij. qui dicunt sup sacr'm suu' q'd p^rd'cus Simo' le Bonde & Agnes vx^r ei^s qui soluer' duodecim denar' aduocar' p^rd'ce, h'uerunt tres filios scil't Ran', Adam, & Will'm int^r quos bona & catalla p^rd'cor Simon' & Agnet^s ptita fueru't sub tali condic'oe q'd senior p^rd'cor filior^u solu't octo denar' eidem aduocar' & q'd alii fr'es soluerent q^atuor den' residuos & q'd p^rd'ci Ran's Adam & Will'us no' int^auerunt p^rd'cam aduocaria' viuentib^s Simone & Agnete, immo post decessum eordem soluit filius eordem senior octo den' & duo alii filii p^rd'cos quatuor den' r'one partic'ois catallor^u p^rd'cor & no' spontanea voluntate sua put p^rd'cus Hugo supius asserit & q'd p^rd'ci Hugo & om's alii cepunt distric'om p^rd'cam iniuste ad dampnu' ip'ius Ric'i duor solidor^u. I'o. cons' est q'd p^rd'cus Ric'us h'eat au'ia sua deliberata & q'd recuper v'sus p^rd'cos Hug' & om's alios dampna sua p^rd'ca. & iidem Hugo & om's alii p^r iniuste capc'oe in m'ia.

¹¹³ sic

¹¹⁴ Tuesday 12 July 1306

Robert le Ditcher

31 May 1306 Hugh Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries, attached John le Mercer of Northwich, Robert le Ditcher, Bate del Moor and William Colyhog, to answer why they had withdrawn from avowry. Fowlshurst said that one Roysa, mother of the said John, and one Richard Kemp, uncle of Bate, and one Ranulph Cod, uncle of William, had all been in the avowry, and died in the same. As for Robert le Ditcher, he was a stranger who had committed various trespasses far away and had put himself into the Cheshire avowry for self-protection.

The defendants all said that neither they nor any of their ancestors had ever been in avowry; the jury agreed.

17:393 [31 May 1306]

Joh'es le M'cer de Norwych Rob'us le Dicher Bate del Moor & Will'us Colyhog atach' fuer' p Hug' de Fouleshurst Custode' aduocar' d'ni Com' Cestr' ad respondendu' d'no Comiti quare subtraxeru't se de aduocar' d'ci Com' &c'. Et vnde idem Custos dicit q'd quedam Roysa mat' p'd'ci Joh' & quedam Ric'us Kempe auu'culus p'd'ci Bate et quida' Ran's Cod auu'culus p'd'ci Will'i fuer' in aduocaria p'd'ca & in eadem obieru't & p'd'cus Rob'us le Dicher fuit aduentic' p diu'sis t'nsgressio'ib' in longinquis ptib' f'cs & in aduocar' p'd'ca se posuit ppt' quod p'd'ci Joh'es & om's alii vt ppinquiore de sanguine onus aduocarie p'd'ce supportare tenent'. Et p'd'ci Joh's & om's alii veniu't & dicunt q'd ip'i n' antecessores sui nu'q'm in aduocaria p'd'ca extiteru't n' de iureesse debent & hoc petu't q'd inquirat' & p'd'cus Hugo qui sequit' p Com' simil'r. Jur' p'd'ci scil't Will' de Spurstowe & om's alii dic't sup sacr'm suu' q'd nullus antecessor p'd'cor Joh' & alior nu'q'm in aduocaria p'd'ca extiteru't nec ip'i de iure esse debent. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'ci Joh's & alii eant inde quieti.

Agnes del Dounes

Hugh de Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries, attached Robert son of Tibbot de Chorley, John son of William del Hill of Hankelow, Agnes del Dounes and Philip de Farndon to answer the earl why they had withdrawn from his avowries.

Hugh said that Robert son of Tibbot, father of Robert the defendant, and William del Hill father of John, and Richard le Clapper father of the said Philip and Agnes, had died in avowry, and that the defendants, being next-of-kin, should bear the avowry charges.

Agnes pointed out that as the charge itself showed that she had a living brother, Philip, she could not be charged with avowry.

Philip said that one Richard Whitbigg was his father, and had never been called Richard le Clapper, and Richard Whitbigg had never been in avowry.

Robert and the others said that neither they nor their ancestors had ever been in avowry.

The matter was put to a jury, who confirmed the defendants' claims, and they were acquitted.

16:214 [3 August 1305]

Rob'us filius Tibbote de Cherl' Joh's fil' Will'i del Hul de Hunkelowe Agnes del Dounes Ph'us de Farndon' atach' fuerunt ad respond' d'no Comite Cestr' quare sub^txer' se de aduocar' ip'ius Com'. Et vnde Hugo de Fouleshurest custos eiusdem aduocar' qui sequit' p Com' dicit q'd quid' Rob'us fil' Tibbote pat' ip'ius Rob'ti & quidam Will' del Hul pat' ip'ius Joh'is & quid' [Ric] 'us le Clappere pat' p'd'cor Ph'i & Agn' fuerunt in aduocar' p'd'ca & in eadem obierunt ppt' quod p'd'ci Rob'us & om's alii vt ppinquiores de sanguine onus aduocar' p'd'ce sustinere tenent'. Et p'd'ca Agn' petit iud'm exquo p'd'cus Hugo cogn' ip'am h're fr'em supstitem si de aduocar' qua' Ric'us p'r ip'or Ph'i & Agne^s reddere consuevit viuite ip'o Ph'o on'ari debeat. Et p'd'cus Ph'us dicit q'd quid' Ric'us Whitebigge erat p'r suus & nu'q'm vocabat' Ric'us le Clapper qui quid' Ric'us Whytebygge nu'q'm in p'd'ca aduocat' extitit. Et p'd'ci Rob'us & om's alii dicunt q'd ip'i n^c antec' sui in p'd'ca aduocar' extiterunt n^c in eadem de iur' esse debent & hoc tam p'd'cus Ph'us q'm om's al[ii] petunt q'd inquir' & p'd'cus Hugo simil'r. Jur' vid' Will' de Cotegreue, Hugo le Brid, Dau[id] fr' ei^s, Math'us de Alph^am, Th' de Brox', Rob'us de Manlegh' Rog'us de Moldeworth', Will' de Ho'..... Adam Malbon Ric'us de Wrennebur' Ric'us de Wistanston' & Will' fil' Ham' de Byrchouer qui di[c] su]p sacr'm suu' q'd pat' p'd'cor Ph'i & Agn' vocat' Ric'us Whitebigge & no' Ric'us le Clapper, qui qu[id'm] Ric'us nu'q'm +.....+ in aduocar' p'd'ca extitit & q'd p'd'co Rob'us & Joh's n^c eor' antec' nu'q'm in aduocaria p'd'ca extiterunt n^c de iur' esse debent I'o cons' est q'd eant inde quieti.

Hamon Dunham

Alexander son of John Dunfowl sued Hugh de Fowlshurst and John de Cotton for having seized a horse, saddle and reins in the town of Middlewich on 17 October 1307. Hugh de Fowlshurst and John de Coton justified the seizure, saying that they are bailiffs of the king's bailiffs in Cheshire; that William brother of Hamon de Dunham, grandfather of Alexander, and of whom Alexander is the heir, was in the avowries in the reign of Edward I, paying 4d a year for the avowry; as likewise John Dunfowl, Alexander's father, had paid: but that the payment was 20 years in arrear.

Alexander denied that either William or John had ever been in the avowry. The justice ruled that the avowry records be searched, and it was found that a Hamon de Dunham and his brother were in the avowry; but the records made no mention as to the brother's name; so it was decided to make inquiry whether William was the brother in question, or if Hamon had some other brother. A slip attached to the record indicates that there was some finding in a subsequent court.

20:204 [7 November 1307]

Hugo de Foughelhurst & Joh'es de Coton' atach' fueru't ad r'nd' Alex'. fil'. Joh'is Donfoul. de pl'ito detenc' cui's d' eq' vni's selle & vni's freni Et vnde q' q'd p'd'ci Hugo & Joh'es die Mar's in c'a sti'o S'ci Mich'is in Monte tu'ba A°. r' r' Edwardi nu'c primo¹¹⁵ in villa de Mediowico cepu't equ' suu' sella' sua' & frenu' & eos det'. con^a. vad' & c' p'o'sq' & c' vn'. dicit q'd def'iorat' est & da'pn' h'et ad val'nc' & c' Et inde pduc' s'ctam

Et p'd'ci Hugo & Joh'es ven' & def'. vi' & c'. q'ando & c' Et aduocant p'd'cam capc' esse iustam quia dicu't q'd ip'i s't Balliui aduocariar. d'ni R' in Com' isto Et q'd q'd' Will's frater Hamonis de Doneham au' p'd'ci Alex'i cui's h'es ip'e est fuit in aduocar' d'ni Regis & p' cui's Man's d'ns Edwardus Rex Pater D'ni Edwardi R's nu'c +fuit sei't+ de q'atuor den'. a'nuati' reddend' p' aduocar' p'd'ca. & simil'r p. man's Joh'is Donfoul p'ris p'd'ci Alex'i cui's fili's & h'es ip'e est Et qa p'd'ci q'atuor. den' p' viginti annos ia' elapsos. a ret'o su't de p'd'co Alex'. aduoc' & c' d'ni Regis & c'

Et p'd'cs Alex' dicit q'd p' hoc d'cam capc' iusta' aduocare. non possu't. Quia dicit q'd p'd'ci Will's & Joh'es pat' suu's non fueru't in aduocar' d'ni Regis n'c D'ns E. Rex pater D'ni Regis E. nu'c nu'q'm fuit sei't de p'd'cis q'atuor den' p' man's ip'or Will'i & Joh'is r'one aduocar' & c'. Et de hoc ponit se sup' p'riam

Et qa videt' Cur' q'd h'm^{odi}. v'ificacio sup' seis^am d'ni Reg's no' est admittenda q'usq' ostet Cur' vtru' no'ia p'd'cor Will'i & Joh'is sint inserta int' alia no'ia in ro^{lis} aduocariar seu inq's v'l id'm Alex' seu aliq's an'cessor suor p' aliq'a inq's p'ius cap essendi in aduocar' p'd'ca n'c ne

I'o dict' est eis dies de aud'o iud'o suo vsq' px' Com'. Et int'im scrutent' Rot' & inq's'. Postea sc'tatis rot'lis aduocar' comptu' est in eisdem Rot'lis q'd q'dam Hamo de Doneh'm & frat' suus no' faciend' menc'oem de no'ie suo fu'nt in aduocar' d'ni Reg's Et qa ignorabat' an d'cs Will's fuit frat' suus existens in aduocar' p'd'ca, an alius. p'cept' est vic'. q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com'. .xij. & c'. p' quos & c' Et qui nec & c'. ad Inq'rend'. si p'd'cus Will's fuit frat' d'ci Hamon's. existens in aduocar' & c', an h'uit aliu' fr'em ex'ntem in

¹¹⁵ Tuesday 17 October 1307

..... *Ad Com' die Martis p^x^a an' Conu^rsione' S'ci Pauli Anno r' r' nuc pⁱmo.*¹¹⁶ *ven'. tam*
*p^rd'ci Alex' & Jur' si'lit'*¹¹⁷

¹¹⁶ Tuesday 23 January 1308

¹¹⁷ breaks off here: but, added on a separate (damaged) slip: Postea ad Com' Hugo & Joh'nes
habuit d..... Will's Hug' & Joh'

Philip de Farndon

16: 214

Rob'us filius Tibbote de Cherl' Joh's fil' Will'i del Hul de Hunkelowe Agnes del Dounes Ph'us de Farndon' atach' fuerunt ad respond' d'no Comite Cestr' quare subt^axer' se de aduocar' ip'ius Com'. Et vnde Hugp de Fouleshurest custos eiusdem aduocar' qui sequit' p Com' dicit q'd quid' Rob'us fil' Tibbote pat' ip'ius Rob'ti & quidam Will' del Hul pat' ip'ius Joh'is & quid' ...'us le Clappere pat' p^rd'cor Ph'i & Agn' fuerunt in aduocar' p^rd'ca & in eadem obierunt ppt' quod p^rd'ci Rob'us & om's alii vt ppinquoies de sanguine onus aduocar' p^rd'ce sustinere tenent'. Et p^rd'ca Agn' petit iud'm exquo p^rd'cus Hugp cogn' ip'am h're fr'em supstitem si de aduocar' qua' Ric'us p'r ip'or Ph'i & Agne^s reddere consuevit viuentem ip'o Ph'o on'ari debeat. Et p^rd'cus Ph'us dicit q'd quid' Ric'us Whitebigge erat p'r suus & nu'q^am vocabat' Ric'us le Clapper qui quid' Ric'us Whytebygge nu'q^am in p^rd'ca aduocat' extitit. Et p^rd'ci Rob'us & om's alii dicunt q'd ip'i n^c antec' sui in p^rd'ca aduocar' extiterunt n^c in eadem de iur' esse debent & hoc tam p^rd'cus Ph'us q^am om's al[ii] petunt q'd inquir' & p^rd'cus Hugo simil'r. Jur' vid' Will' de Cotegreue, Hugo le Brid, Dau[id] fr' ei^s, Math'us de Alph^am, Th' de Brox', Rob'us de Manlegh' Rog'us de Moldeworth', Will' de Ho'..... Adam Malbon Ric'us de Wrennebur' Ric'us de Wistanston' & Will' fil' Ham' de Byrchouer qui di[c' su]p sacr'm suu' q'd pat' p^rd'cor Ph'i & Agn' vocat' Ric'us Whitebigge & no' Ric'us le Clapper, qui qu[id'm] Ric'us nu'q^am +.....+ in aduocar' p^rd'ca extitit & q'd p^rd'co Rob'us & Joh's n^c eor' antec' nu'q^am in aduocaria p^rd'ca extiterunt n^c de iur' esse debent I'o cons' est q'd eant inde quieti.

Gledhurst

Roger de Gledhurst was accused by Margery daughter of Robert de Badington of having maliciously striven to have her burdened by avowry for her mother Alice to render the king 4d a year.

Margery said that Alice had been in avowry, and Roger, as lawful son of Alice, born in wedlock, and who as next-of-kin should have inherited the charge from Alice, had striven to burden her, Margery, with the charge.

Roger said that the avowry charge should devolve upon Margery, not upon him. Alice had previously been married to one Robert de Gledhurst, his father, who had begotten him, and that he, Roger, had been born after Robert's death. That after Roger's birth, Alice had placed herself in avowry, of her own accord, and afterwards married Robert de Badington, and that Margery was their daughter. As Alice had adopted the borden of avowry after Roger's birth, he could not inherit it from her.

Margery said that, as lawful son and next-of-kin of Alice, Roger should inherit the avowry. Moreover, she claimed that Robert de Badington had been in avowry, and after Robert's death his goods came, together with Roger, into Alice's custody, and Alice had voluntarily put herself in avowry until Roger came of age, to avoid the goods being eloigned.

Roger denied that Robert de Badington had ever been in avowry; but then Margery conceded that she had claimed unjustly that Roger should bear the charge, and she agreed with Hugh de Fowlshurst, the keeper of the avowries, to henceforth pay the 4d charge.

Nevertheless, a suit was brought by the Crown against Roger claiming that his father Robert had died in avowry, and that Roger should pay the 4d avowry charge. Roger wanted the matter to be put to a jury, but, after several adjournments, the avowry rolls were searched, and Robert de Badington's name was found, paying a charge of 4d, so the court found against Roger.

21:173 [12 November 1308]

pl'. Will'i de P^aers Rog'i de Gled'

M'.

Rog'us de Gledhurst attach' fuit ad respondend'. tam d'no Regi. q^am Marg'ie fil' Rob'i de Batinton'. de eo q'd idem Rog'us +iniuste &+ maliciose nititur ip'am Marg'iam. uersus d'nm Rege' onerari de aduocaria supportand' p Alicia matre sua reddend' p annu'. eid'm d'no Regi. quatuor denarios p aduocaria p'd'ca. vnde eadem Marg'ia querit', q'd cum p'd'ca Alicia in aduocar' &c' extitisset, & idem Rog'us. sit filius eiusdem Alicie in legi'o Mat'monio natus. qui vt filius & dignior sang'ne post morte' p'd'ce Alicie onus p'd'ce aduocarie sustinere debeat; idem Rog'us ~~idem Rog'us~~ p'd'cam Marg'iam de aduocaria p'd'ca. nititur on'ari minus iuste in decepc'oem. & contemptu' d'ni Reg's manifestu' ad dampnu'. ip'ius Marg'ie Centu' solid'. Et inde pducit sectam &c'

Et p'd'cus Rog'us ven'. & defend' vim & iniuria'. q'n. &c'. Et dicit q'd p'd'ca Marg'ia iniuste se quer' &c'. Quia dicit q'd onus aduocarie p'd'ce p p'd'ca Alicia sustinend' sibi incumbere no' debet. Immo eidem Marg'ie, Dicit enim. q'd p'd'ca Alicia Mat' &c' prius

nupta fuit cuidam Rob'to de Gledhurst p'ri suo. qui. ip'm de p'd'ca Alicia pcreauit. & p'stea obiit, Et p'd'ca Alicia p'stq^a idem Rog'us. natus fuit in viduitate sua de f'co suo pp'o se onerabat' de aduocaria p'd'ca. Et postea nupsit se cuidam Rob'to de Batinton'. qui de eadem Alicia pcreauit p'd'cam Marg'iam. vnde dicit desicut ip'e fuit seisitus a p'd'co Rob'to. in n'lla existente aduocaria. & ecia' natus. an'q^a. p'd'ca Alicia se de p'dicta aduocaria on'abatur, & p'd'ca Marg'ia p'stq^a inde onerabat'. genita fuit & nata; petit iudiciu' si ip'am Marg'iam natam post on'ac'oem p'd'cam. in pace dimittendo, ip'e on'ari debeat de aduocaria p'd'ca &c'.

Et p'd'ca Marg'ia dicit q'd ex quo idem Rog'us no' dedicit q'n sit filius p'd'cor +Rob'i &+ Alicie in Legi'o M'rionio natus; Et si Hereditas aliqua ex pte p'd'ce Alic' Mat's sue alicui descenderet; eidem Rog'o vt filio & heredi ip'ius Alicie vt digniori sang'ne descendere debuisset; et cuius est co'modum & onus esse debet &c'; Dicit eciam q'd p'd'cus Rob'us de Gledhurst p'r p'd'ci Rog'i fuit in aduocaria d'ni Com'. post cuius morte' bona p'd'ci Rob'i Simul cu' p'd'co Rog'o deuenit in custodia p'd'ce Alicie vx'is p'd'ci Rob'i & Mat's p'd'ci Rog'i, que no'ie p'd'ci Rog'i fil' sui se on'abat' de p'd'ca aduocaria vsq' ad etatem ip'ius Rog'i sustinenda, ne bona p'd'ca a seisina sua elongarent'. vnde desicut ip'a Alicia se no' on'abat' de p'd'ca aduocaria nisi no'ie p'd'ci Rog'i & vsq' ad temp^s &c' petit iudiciu'. si p'd'cus Rog'us de p'd'ca aduocaria on'ari no' debeat in hac pte &c'. — Et p'd'cs Rog'us dicit q'd Rob's pat' suus no' fuit aduocar'. nec. no'ie ip'i's Rog'i fil' ip'i's Rob'i. p'd'ca Alic' se on'abat' de p'd'ca aduocar'. Immo de f'co suo pp'o se ip'am posuit in aduocar' & de hoc ponit se sup p'riam. Et p'd'ca Alic' simil'r. I'o p'ceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac'. ad px'm Com' .xij. &c' p quos. &c'. Postea co'tinuato p'cessu vsq' ad Com' die M'rtis px^a post Natal' D'ni. Anno R' nu'c tercio ¹¹⁸ ven' tam p'd'ca ¹¹⁹ fil' Rob'i de Batinton'. q^a p'd'cs Rog'us. Et p'd'ca M'rg'ia bene co'gnouit q'd iniuste c'questa fuit de p'd'co Rog'o. eo q'd Marg'ia ¹²⁰ Mat' sua. diu postq^a idem Rog's natus fuit se on'abat de aduocar' illa. de f'co suo pp'o. et q'd ip'a de iure onus illius aduocarie sustinere debeat. et q'd de aduocar' p'd'ca Hug' de Fouleshurst custodi aduocar' in toto satisfac'it. p'd'co Hug' p'sente & hoc co'cedente. I'o consid' est q'd p'd'ca M'rg'ia & hered' sui onerent de aduocaria illa impp'm. Et nich'o's p f'lo clam' in m'ia. Et p'd'cs Rog'us inde sine die. &c'.

Datus est eis dies ad px'm Com'. de aud' Judic'o &c'

M'. no'. p'.

Idem Rog'us ad secta' d'ni Reg's calu'pniat^s. de eo q'd cum Rob'us de Gledhurst p'r ip'ius Rog'i fuit in aduocaria d'ni Regis. reddendo p annu' eidem d'no Regi quatuor denar' p aduocar' p'd'ca. & idem Rog'us vt fil' p'd'ci Rob'i on^s p'd'ce aduocarie sustin'e debeat; se de aduocaria illa ia' s'btraxit. & p'd'cos quatuor denarios eidem d'no Regi debitos post morte' p'd'ci Rob'i huc usq' conclauit in co'temptu' d'ni Reg's. & dampnu' ip'ius d'ni Reg's q^adrag'. libr' Et p'd'cus Rob'us venit. Et dicit. q'd p'd'cus Rob'us pat' suus nu'cq^a fuit in aduocar' d'ni. nec ip'e aliquod onus aduocar' p ip'o de Jure sustin'e debeat. Et de hoc pon' se sup p'riam. &c'. Et qa scrutatis rot'lis aduocar' nome' eiusdem Rob'i de Gledhurst in eisdem inuent^s insertu'. & oneratu' de quatuor denar'. p annu' p aduocaria p'd'ca. I'o v'ificac'o p'd'ca. no' est admittend'. Set p'ceptu' est Custodib^s aduocar'. q'd distringa't p'd'cm Rog'm. p quatuor denar' annuatim d'no Regi reddend'. p aduocar' p'd'ca. & ecia' p arrerag' eiusde a tempe mortis p'd'ci Rob'i, nisi ostendere pot'it aliu' Rob'm de Gledhurst q^am p'rem suu' in aduocar' existente' Et nich'o's. idem Rog'us. p s'btract'oe & conclame'to. in m'ia. &c'.

21:341 [4 February 1309]

¹¹⁸ Tuesday 30 December 1309

¹¹⁹ omitted: Marg'ia

¹²⁰ sic, for Alicia

Dies dat^s est Rog^ro de Gledehurst. +&+ Marg^rie fil' Rob'i de Batynton'. tam v^rsus d'nm Rege' q^am u^rsus p^rd'cam Marg^riam de audiendo iudicio suo vsq' ad px'm Com'. eo q'd iudices sectator' no' du' &c'

21:402 [18 March 1309]

Dies datus est Rog^ro de Gledehurst; & Marg^rie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' tam u^rs^s d'nm Rege' q^am u^rs^s p^rd'cam Marg^riam de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com'. eo q'd iudices sectator' no' du' &c'.

21:445 [18 March 1309]

Dies datus est Rog^ro de Gledehurst & Marg^rie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' tam u^rs^s d'nm Rege' q^am u^rs^s p^rd'cam Marg^riam de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com' eo q'd iudices &c'

21:479 [29 April 1309]

Dies dat^s est Rog^ro de Gledehurst & Marg^rie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' ta' u^rs^s d'nm Reg' q^a p^rd'cam Marg^r de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com' eo q'd iudices, sectator' no' dum &c' & int^rim sc^utent^r rot'li &c'.

21:599 [10 June 1309]

Dies dat^s Rog^ro de Gledehurst & Marg^rie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' tam versus d'nm Rege' q^am p^rd'cam Marg^riam. de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px' Com' eo. q'd iudices &c' & int^rim sc^utent^r rot'li

21:720 [22 July 1309]

Dies datus est Marg^rie fil' Rob'ti de Batinton' quer' & Rog^ro de Gledehurst ta' v^rs^s d'nm Rege'. q^am p^rd'cam Marg^ria' de audiendo iudic'o suo vsq' ad px'm Com' eo q'd iudices no' du' &c' Et int^rim sc^utent^r rot'li &c'

Richard Grim

12 July 1300, Roger was sued in the shire court by Richard Grim of Withington for the taking of a mare. Roger said that Dawe King, Richard's father, had been in the avowry, and Richard was Dawe's son and heir. Therefore Roger had distrained Richard for having withdrawn from the avowry. Richard said that neither Dawe nor any of his ancestors had been in the avowry. The jury found in favour of Richard.

12:298 [12 July 1300]

Rog^rus de Aldirdelegh' custos aduocar' d'ni Regis in Com' Cestr' attach' fuit ad respondend' Ric'o Grim de Wythington' de pl'ito capc'onis vni^s Jumentu, qui venit & aduocat d'cam capc'om, eo q'd quidam Dawe Kyng pat^r p^rd'ci Ric'i Grim fuit in aduocar' d'ni. R^s. & ip'e est filius eius & heres, & deberet esse in ead'm aduocar', & quia subt^axit se de aduocar' p^rd'ca, ip'e Rog^rus distⁱnxit ip'm p^r d'cm Jumentu' &c', & ita aduocat p^rd'cam capc'om esse iustam &c', — Et p^rd'cus Ric's Grim dicit q'd p^rd'cus Dawe nec aliquis an'cessor suor +n'q^am+ fuit in aduocar' p^rd'ca +nec ip'e debet+ & hoc petit q'd inquir', — Ideo p^rcept' est vic' q'd venire fac' .xij. &c', postea quiet^s est de aduocar' p^rd'ca p^rp'riam.

William Grus

Hugh de Fowlshurst attached William son of Stephen Grus, Richard de Chedle and Agnes wife of William Bate of Tarporley to answer why they had withdrawn from the earl's avowry, and refused to pay what was due to the avowry. He claimed that Stephen, William's father, and a certain Leuca, mother of Agnes, who were next of kin, to support the charge of the same avowry, had died, and that Agnes in the court of 12 November 1303 [the records of which are now lost] had sued Hugh for having distrained her for having withdrawn herself from the avowry; the court had then found that Leuca had died in avowry, and that Agnes was her next-of-kin, and therefore Agnes should remain in avowry unless and until she found some closer next-of-kin to support the avowry charges.

Agnes now said that the 1303 decision was incorrect, because Leuca had at one time been of free condition and not in avowry, and that Agnes was born twenty or more years before Leuca had, of her own free will, entered the avowry. Agnes therefore questioned whether she could be bound to inherit such a burden because of an act done by her mother more than twenty years after her birth.

William son of Stephen Grus said that neither he nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry, nor should he be.

Richard de Chedle said that Hugh had unjustly extorted three shillings from him for avowry, where neither he nor his ancestors had ever paid more than twopence.

The jury said that Leuca had entered avowry of her own free will more than twenty years after Agnes was born; neither Agnes nor any of her ancestors had been in avowry. Neither William son of Stephen Grus nor any of his ancestors had ever been in avowry. Richard de Chedle never paid more than twopence to the avowry.

17:129 [7 December 1305]

Will'us fil' Steph'i Grus, Ric'us de Chedle & Agnes vx' Will' Bate de Torpel' atach' fueru't p' Hug' de Foul' Custodem aduocar' d'ni Com' ad respondend' quar' sub^axerunt se de aduocar' d'ni Com' & min^s iuste cont^adicunt solu'e ea que spectant aduocar' p^rd'co, & vnde idem Hugo dicit, q'd quidam Steph'us pat' p^rd'ci Will' & quedam Leuca mat' p^rd'ce Agnet^s quib^s ip'i ppinquoires sunt de sanguine ad sustinend' onus eiusdem aduocar'; obieru't in eadem aduocar', & dicit & q'd p^rd'ca Agn' ad Com' die M^art^s prox^a post f'm s'ci Martini anno r'.r'.E.xxxj.¹²¹ questa fuit q'd p^rd'cus Hugo ip'am distrixit pro sub^act'oe eiusdem aduocar', ad quem diem comptum fuit p' inquis', q'd queda' Leuca mat' ip'ius Agn' obiit in aduocar' d'ni Com', & q'd ip'a Agnes fuit ppinquir de sanguine ad supportand' on^s aduocar' p^rd'ce ita q'd tunc cons' fuit q'd p^rd'ca Agnes distringeret' ad satisfac' &c' de arrerag' eiusdem aduocar', & q'd ip'a rem' in eade' aduocar' quousq' doc^e posset ip'am inde iniuste on'ari v'l aliquem ppinquirem de sanguine ad supportand' onus p^rd'cm &c' Et

¹²¹ Tuesday 12 November 1303

p^rd'ca Agnes dicit q'd licet alias comptu' fuit p^r inquis' q'd p^rd'ca Leuca obiit in p^rd'ca aduocar' & q'd eadem Agnes ppinquior fuit de sanguine, hoc ei obesse no' debet, qa dicit q'd p^rd'ca Leuca aliquo tempe lib'e condic'ois extit'at & no' on'ata de p^rd'ca aduocar' & quo tempe eadem Agnes nata fu'at & s' p^r desc'pc'om tempor'. viginti annor' & ampli^s p^rd'ca Leuca se g^atis posuit in eade' aduocar', vnde petit iud^m ex quo post'ius f'cm p^rd'ce Leuce exitum antenatum in s'uiante' redig'e no' potest; si ip'a p^r viginti annos & amplius nata pⁱus q^am p^rd'ca Leuca se g^atis on'auit de on'e p^rd'co +ligari debeat+ maxime cu' pⁱmu' iud'm inde sub co'dic'oe fu'at redditum q'd illa fuisset exon'ata; q'ncumq' ip'a doc'e posset ip'am inde iniuste on'ari; & q'd p^rd'ca responsio sua v'a est; petit q'd inquir'. Et p^rd'cus Will' fil' Steph' dicit q'd ip'e n^c antecessor' sui nu'q^am extiter't in p^rd'ca aduocar' n^c de iur' esse debent, & hoc petit q'd inquir'. Et p^rd'cus Ric'us de Chedle dicit q'd p^rd'cus Hugo ip'm inde iniuste exigit tres den' solu' pro aduocar' &c'. cu' ip'e n^c antecessor' sui nu'q^am soluer' nisi duos den' t'm & hoc petit q'd inquir' & p^rd'cus Hugo simil'r. Jur' vid', Hugo de Caluyl', Joh' de Coten' Rog'us de Sp^rstowe, Will' de Halghton', Rog'us de Clotton', Dauy de Barton' Patricius de Crwe Will' de eadem, Henr' de Torpel', Adam de Bostok, & Rog'us de Moldeworth' dicu't sup' sacr'm suu' q'd p^rd'ca Leuca p^r viginti annos & amplius posq^am p^rd'ca Agn' natebat' se g^atis posuit in eadem aduocar' & q'd null' antecessor' p^rd'ce Leuce in p^rd'ca aduocat' extitit. Et q'd p^rd'cus Will' fil' Steph' n^c aliq's an'cessor' suor' nu'q^am fuerunt in eadem aduocar'. Et q'd Ric'us de Chedle nu'q^am soluit pro aduocar' p^rd'ca nisi duos den' t'm. Io cons' est q'd p^rd'ci Will' Agn' & Ric'us adp'sens de p^rd'cis calu'pn' eant inde quieti

William son of Stephen Grus was attached to answer the king why he had withdrawn himself from the king's avowry. John de Cotton, the keeper of the avowries, stated that Stephen Grus had been in avowry, paying 4d a year, and that William his son and heir for four years and more had withdrawn from avowry, in contempt of the king 100s.

William said that it was true that one Stephen Grus had been in avowry; but his own father's name was called Stephen le Young, and that his father had never been in avowry. A search of the avowry rolls showed that Stephen Grus had been in avowry; therefore William should remain in avowry, unless he was able to prove otherwise.

22:629 [12 May 1310]

reman' on'at^s

Will's fil' Steph'i Grush attach' fuit ad respond' d'no Regi de pl'ito quare se sub^axit de aduocar' d'ni Reg^s &c'. Et vn' Joh'es de Cotoun Custos aduocar' &c' qui sequit' p^r d'no rege dicit, q'd cu' Steph' Grush p'r p^rd'ci Will'i cui^s heres ip'e +est+ dum vixit extitit in aduocar' d'ni reg^s, redd' d'no regi p^r aduoc'r' p^rd'ca. quatuor den' p^r annu', Et p^rd'cus Will's ut fil' & heres p^rd'ci Steph'i onus aduocar' p^rd'ce supportare debeat, Et id'm Will's de aduocar' illa iam p^r quatuor annos & ampli^s se sub^axit in co'te'pt' d'ni reg^s Cent' solid' &c'.

Et p^rd'cus Will's ven' & dicit. q'd licet Steph's Grush in aduocar' d'ni reg^s extitisset, p^r hoc ip'm de aduocar' p^r Steph'o Grush subportand', on'ar' no' debet, Quia dicit q'd p'r suus vocabat' Steph's le Yunge & no' Steph's Grush, nec id'm Steph' le Yungge p'r suus nuq^am fuit in aduocar' d'ni reg^s nec aliq'm den' d'no regi p^r aliq^a aduocar' reddidit, nec ip'e in aduocar' illa de iure esse debet et de hoc ponit se s'r p'riam &c'.

Et p^rd'cus Joh'es pro d'no reg' dicit, q'd p^rd'cus Will's co'gnit^s est p^r fil' Steph'i Grush, nec sit aliu' Will'm fil' Steph'i Grush tali no'ie cognitu' in Com' Cestris', nisi ip'm,

Et q'd Steph's Grush p'r p'd'ci Will'i fuit in aduocar' d'ni reg^s, vocat inde ad warr' Rotulos de aduocar' &c'. Et quia scrutatis rot'lis aduocar' d'ni reg^s nomen Steph'i Grush in eisd'm inuentu' est, I'o p'd'cus Will's remaneat in aduocar' d'ni r'g^s supportand' onus aduocar' ¹²²p'd'ce, Nisi docere pot'it aliu' t'li no'ie cognit' qui onus illud supportare debeat &c'.

¹²² sic

William Henbury

On 6 February 1310 John de Cotton senior went into the home of William son of Nicholas de Henbury in Willaston, and took away a basin, worth 40d. In court John said that he was the keeper of the king's avowries; that one Hugh Head, William's grandfather, had been in avowry, paying 4d a year; and that William, as Hugh's next heir, was due to pay the 4d, but refused, so he took the basin.

William said that his grandfather's name was Hugh del Church, not Hugh Head. A search of the avowry rolls was made, but the names of William and Hugh were not found. The jury therefore dismissed Cotton's claim and he was amerced; but the court then forgave him the amercement.

22:489 [31 March 1310]

p^r. e'. no. .q't.

.m'ia. qd^r qa Minist^r d'ni .R^s.

Joh' de Coton' senior attach' fuit ad respond' Will' fil' Nich' de Hendebury de pl'o capc'o'is & detenc'o'is vni^s peluis Et vnde id'm Will' q^r q'd p^rd'cus Joh' die ven' px' post f'm Pur' b'e Mar' anno Reg^s nu'c t'cio ¹²³ apud Wylaston' in domo p^rd'ci Will'i cepit q^amda' peluim ip'ius Will' & illam iniuste detinuit & adhuc detinet vn' dic' q'd def'iorat^s e' & dampnu' h'et ad valenc' q^odragint' den' & inde pduc' sectam &c', & petit inde delib'ac'oem &c'

Et p^rd'cs Joh' ven' & def' vim & iniur' q'n &c'. & tanq^a custos aduoc' d'ni Reg^s aduocat capc'o'em esse iusta' &c' Dic' eni' q'd p^r inquis' coram eo captam co'ptu' fuit q'd quid' Hugo Heued auus p^rd'ci Will' fil' Nich' cui^s her' ip'e e' de sang'ne fuit in aduoc' d'ni Reg^s, Redd'o d'no Regi p^r aduoc' p^rd'ca q^atuor den' p^r annu', post c^s morte' id'm Will'us tanq^a her' p^rd'ci Hug' ppinquier onus p^rd'ce aduocar' supportare debeat. Et quia id'm Will' aduoc' p^rd'cam d'no Regi redd'e noluit; Id'm Joh' tanq^a Custod' &c' p^r q^atuor den' de aduoc' p^rd'ca aretro existentib^s, p^rd'cam peluim cepit sicut ei bene licuit, Et ita aduocat capc'o'em iustam no'ie d'ni Reg^s &c'

Et p^rd'cus Will' dicit q'd auus suus vocabat^r Hug' del Chirche & no' Hug' Heued, & dic' q'd p^rd'cus Hug' auus suus nec eciam Nich'us p^rr suus nu'q^a fuerunt in aduocar' d'ni Reg^s nec alique' den' d'no Regi p^r p^rd'ca aduoc' reddiderunt, nec ip'e in aduoc' illa esse debet & de hoc ponit se sup^r p^riam. Et qa sup^r aduoc' d'ni Reg^s hui^smo'i verificac'o no' est admittend' quousq^r sciat^r vt^m nom' p^rd'ci Hug' seu Nich' p^rris p^rd'ci Hug' in rotulis aduoc' sit insertum nec ne; id'o scrutent^r rotuli de aduoc' &c'. Et qa scrutatis rotul' aduocar' nom' p^rd'ci Hug' seu Nich'i in eisd'm no' est inuentum. Id'o p^r. e' vic' q'd venir' fac' ad px' Com' .xij. &c'. p^r quos &c'. Postea ad Com' die M^art^s px^a p^s f'm s'ci Joh' an' portam Latina', ¹²⁴ veniu't ta' p^rd'cs Will' q^a p^rd'cs Joh' & si'lr .xij. qui dicu't sup^r sacr'm suu' q'd p^rd'cs Hug' auus ¹²⁵ p^rd'ci Will'i vocabat^r Hug' del Chirche, & no' fuit i' aduocar' d'ni R^s. nec Nich' p^rr ei^sd' Will' n^c id'm Will' i' aduoc' p^rd'ca fuer't n^c de iure id' Will' e'e debet, n^c aliq'd onus aduocar' p^r p^rd'co Hug' Heued subportare, id'o cons' est, q'd p^rd'cs Will' h'eat p^rd'cam peluim sua' delib'ata' & sit inde quiet^s, & p^rd'cs Joh' i' m'ia

¹²³ Friday 6 February 1310

¹²⁴ Tuesday 12 May 1310

¹²⁵ sic

Henshaw

12 November 1308 John Cotton, keeper of the king's avowries, sued Robert de Henshaw to be in avowry. He claimed that Orme de Hagg, Robert's father, had been in avowry, rendering 4d a year, but that Robert, who should have borne the charge after his father's death, had withdrawn; and should pay £40 for contempt.

Robert appeared and said that neither Orme his father nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry. A search of the avowry rolls was made, but the names of Orme and Robert were not found. The jury therefore dismissed Cotton's claim was dismissed and he was amerced.

21:176 [12 November 1308]

M'ia alibi

Rob'us de Henschagh'. attach' fuit ad respondend' eidem Joh'i Custodi &c'. qui sequit' p' d'no Rege. de eo q'd cum idem Rob'us esse debeat in aduocar' d'ni Regis reddendo inde d'no Regi. q^{tuor}. denarios p' annu', ac Orme de Haghe pat' ip'ius Rob'i cuius heres ip'e est fuit in aduocar' p'd'ca reddendo p' annu' q^{tuor} denar'. Cuius onus idem Rob'us vt filius eius sustin'e debeat; idem Rob'us se de p'd'ca aduocar' iam subtraxit, & p'd'cos denar' eidem d'no Regi pro aduocaria p'd'ca annuatim reddere contradicut in contemptu' d'ni Reg's manifestu' & dampnu' ip'ius d'ni Reg's quadraginta libr', Et hoc +idem Joh'es+ offert v'ificare p' d'no Rege &c'.

Et p'd'cus Rob'us venit. Et dicit. q'd p'd'cus Joh'es ip'm iniuste de aduocaria p'd'ca nititur on'ari Quia dicit q'd p'd'ca Orme pater suus nec aliquis an'cessor suor nu'cq^a fu'nt in aduocar' d'ni Reg's nec alique' denariu' eidem d'no Regi pro aduocaria reddid'u't nec ip'e in aduocaria illa extitit nec esse debet & de hoc ponit se sup p'riam. &c'. Et qa hui'smodi v'ificac'o sup seisina' d'ni Reg's no' est admittenda quousq' cur' cercioret' p' Rot'los aduocar' vtru' nome' p'd'ci. Rob'i. seu p'd'ci Orme p'ris sui seu alicui's an'cessor' p' q^o. &c' in p'd'cis Rotulis fu'it insertu'. nec ne, Ideo dat^s est eis dies vsq' a px'm Com' &c' Et in'r'im scrutent' Rot'li. &c'. Et nich'o's p'ceptum est vic'; q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'. p' quos &c'. Et q' p'd'cm Rob' n'lla affinitate. attingant. &c'. ad faciend' inde Inquisic'oem. &c'. Postea ad Com' die M^artis px' post festu' S'ce Lucie v'g'is ¹²⁶ ven' tam p'd'cs Joh'es custos &c', q^m p'd'cs Rob'. et sil'r .xij. &c'. Et qa sc^utatis Rot'lis aduocar' nome'. p'd'ci Rob'i. nec Ormi. p'ris sui in eisdem Rot'lis no' inuenit' insertu' Ideo capiat' inqⁱsic'o. an de Jure in aduocar' d'ni Reg's esse debeat n^c. ne seu aliq's an'cessor' suor in aduocar' extit'it p' quo. &c' — Jur' dicu't sup sacr'm suu'. q'd p'd'cs Orm' p'r ip'ius Rob'i. nec aliq's an'cessor suor p' quo ide' Rob's onus aduocar' supptare debeat; nu'cq^a fu'nt in aduocar' d'ni R', seu Com', nec idem Rob's in aduocaria illa de Jure esse debet nisi sponte inde se on'are volu'it. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'cs Rob'. inde q'ietus. Saluo Jure d'ni R' alias &c'. Et p'd'cs Custos in m'ia

The same day Cotton sued Henry de Henshaw to be in avowry. He claimed that William, Henry's father, had been in avowry, rendering 3d a year, but that Henry, who should have borne the charge after his father's death, had withdrawn; and should pay £40 for contempt.

¹²⁶ Tuesday 17 December 1308

Henry appeared and said that neither William his father nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry. A search of the avowry rolls was made, but the names of William and Henry were not found. The jury therefore dismissed Cotton's claim was dismissed and he was amerced.

21:179 [12 November 1308]

M' alibi

Henr' de Henschagh' attach' fuit ad responde'd' eidem Joh'i. custodi. &c' qui sequit' p' d'no Rege de detenc'oe aduocar' d'ni Reg^s vnde idem Hugo ¹²⁷ dicit cum quidam Will's pater ip'ius Henr' in aduocaria d'ni Reg^s extitisset. reddendo inde d'no Regi p' aduocaria illa. tres denarios p' annu', et idem Henricus vt filius p'd'ci Will'i. in aduocaria illa esse debet, & onus illius aduocar' p' p'd'co Will'o p're suo sustinere debeat; idem Henr' de aduocaria illa iam se subtraxit, & p'd'cos denar' eidem d'no Regi pro aduocaria p'd'ca redd'e cont^a dicit, in co'temptu' d'ni Reg^s manifestu'. & dampnu' ip'ius d'ni Reg^s q^adraginta libr'. Et hoc offert v'ificare pro d'no Rege &c'.

Et p'd'cus Henr' venit. Et dicit q'd p'd'cus Joh'es iniuste nititur ip'm de aduocar' p'd'ca on'ari. Quia dicit q'd p'd'cus Will's pat' suus nec aliquis an'cessor' suor' nu'cq^a fu'nt in aduocaria d'ni Reg^s. nec aliquem denariu' p' aduocaria illa eidem d'no Regi reddidit, nec ip'e in aduocaria illa nu'cq^a extitit, nec esse debet. & de hoc ponit se sup' p'riam &c'. Et qa hui'smodi v'ificac'o no' est admittenda &c'quousq' constet Cur'. vtru'. &c'. datus est eis dies vsq' ad px'm Com' &c'. Et int'im scrutent' Rot'li &c'. Et nich'o^s p'ceptum est vic' q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'. p' quos. &c'. Postea ad Com' die M^artis px^a post festu' S'ce Lucie virg'is ¹²⁸ ven' ta' p'd'cs Joh'es Custos &c' Et si'lit' .xij. &c'. Et qa sc^utatis Rot'lis aduocar' &c'. nome' p'd'ci Henr' n^c Will'i p'ris sui in eisde' no' inuenit' insertu'. Ideo cap^r inde inq'sic'o. an idem Henr' in aduocar' de Iure esse debeat. seu aliq's an'cessor' suor' pro quo. &c'. extit'it in aduocaria illa nec ne — Jur' dicu't sup' sacr'm q'd p'd'cs Will's p'r p'd'ci Henr', nec aliquis an'cess' suor' p' quo &c'. nu'cq^a fu'nt in aduocar' d'ni R^s seu Com'. nec ip'e in aduocar' illa esse debet nisi voluntarie se velit inde onerare. Id'o cons' est q'd p'd'cs Henr' inde q'etus. Saluo Iure d'ni R^s alias &c' Et p'd'cs custos in m'ia

¹²⁷ sic, for John

¹²⁸ Tuesday 17 December 1308

Hephales

John de Cotton keeper of the avowries of the king in Cheshire, sued Matthew de Hephales to be in avowry. He claimed that Ranulph, Matthew's father, had been in avowry, rendering 3d a year, but that Matthew, who should have borne the charge after his father's death, had withdrawn; and should pay £40 for contempt.

Matthew appeared and said that neither his father nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry. A search of the avowry rolls was made, but the names of Matthew and Ranulph were not found. The jury therefore dismissed Cotton's claim was dismissed and he was amerced.

21:175 [12 November 1308]

M' alibi

Math's de Hephales attach' fuit ad respond' Johanni de Coten' custod' aduocar' d'ni Reg^s in Com' Cestris' qui sequitur p d'no Reg', de eo q'd cum idem Math's esse debeat in aduocaria d'ni Reg' Reddendo inde d'no Reg' tres denarios p annu', ac Ran's de Hephales pat' p'd'ci Math'i. cuius heres ip'e est fuit in aduocar' p'd'ca redd'o p annu' tres denar'. cuius onus. idem Math's. vt filius ei^s sustinere debeat. Idem Math's. se de p'd'ca aduocar' iam subtraxit. & denarios p'd'cos. eidem d'no Regi p aduocaria p'd'ca. annuatim redd'e. cont^a dicit in contemptu' d'ni Reg' manifestu'. et dampnu' ip'ius d'ni Reg' quadraginta libr'. & hoc +idem Joh'es+ offert v'ificare p d'no Rege &c'

Et p'd'cus Math's. venit. Et dicit. q'd p'd'cus Joh'es. iniuste nititur ip'm onerari de aduocar p'd'ca. Quia dicit q'd p'd'cus Ric'us pater suus +n^c aliquis an'cessor^r suor^r+ nu'cq^am fuit in aduocaria d'ni Reg^s. nec. aliquem denariu' eidem d'no Regi p aduocar. reddidit, nec ip'e in aduocar illa vncq^a extitit. nec esse debet, & de hoc ponit se sup p'riam &c'.

Et quia huiusmodi v'ificac'o. sup seisinam d'ni Reg^s. no'. est admittenda, quousq' constat Cur' vtrum nomen p'd'ci Ric'i p'ris ip'ius Math'i. seu alicuius an'cessor' ei^s. in Rotulis de aduocar' f'it insertu' nec ne. I'o +dat' est eis dies vsq' ad px' Com' &+ int'im scrutent' Rotuli & inq'sic'o'es. Et nich'o^s p'ceptu' est vic'. q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c' p quos. &c'. Et p'd'cm Math'm n'lla affinitate &c'. ad faciend' imde Inq'sico'em. &c'. Postea ad Com' die M^rtis px^a post festu' S'ce Lucie vx'is¹²⁹ ven' tam p'd'cus Joh'es. custos. &c'. q' sequit' p d'no Rege. q^am. p'd'cus. Math's. et si'lit' .xij. &c'. Et quia scrutatis Rot'lis. aduocar', nomen p'd'ci. Math'i nec Ric'i p'ris sui. in eisdem Rotulis no' inue'itur insert'm. Ideo capiat' inq'sic'o. an de iure. in aduocar' d'ni R^s esse debeat. seu aliquis an'cessor. ei^s in aduocar' illa exstif'it nec ne; — Jurat' dicu't Sup sacr'm suu'. q'd p'd'cus Ric'us. pat' p'd'ci Math'i nec aliquis an'cessor suor p q^o onus aduocar' supportare debeat. nu'cq^a fu'nt in aduocar' d'ni Reg' seu Com', nec idem Math's in aduocar' illa de iure esse debet nisi. sponte se inde on'ari volu'it. I'o. cons' est. q'd p'd'cs. Math's eat inde q'etus. salvo Iur' Reg' cu' alias &c'. Et p'd'cus Custos in m'ia. &c'.

¹²⁹ Tuesday 17 December 1308

Robert Hereward

Felicia wife of Hugh Hell was claimed by the keeper of the king's avowries, because Robert Hereward had been in avowry. Felicia said that neither her father nor any of her ancestors had been in avowry, and that Robert once gave money to the serjeants of the avowries to have their help in recovering a debt, but was not in avowry. A jury was to be called, but the matter disappears from the record.

9:106 [29 January 1297]

Felicia vx^r Hug^r Hell calump^r fuit p^r Custodem aduocar^r d^rni Reg^s de. eo. q^d debet esse in aduocar^r ill^r. p^r eo q^d quida^r Rob^rtus Hereward frat^r eius stetit in aduocar^r p^rd^rca. Et p^rd^rca Felicia dic^r q^d pat^r suus nec aliquis antecessor^r suor^r stetit in aduocar^r ill^r p^r quod ip^a on^rari debet. Dic^r tame^r q^d p^rd^rcus Rob^rtus aliquo t^rpe dedit s^ruientib^s aduocar^r p^rd^rcar^r p^r aux^r h^rnd^r ad debita sua leuand^r. & no^r +r^rone+ alicuius aduocar^r illar^r. & hoc petit q^d inq^rrat^r. Et p^rceptu^r est vic^r q^d venire faciat .xij. &c^r. p^r quos &c^r qⁱ n^c &c^r. ad recognoscend^r &c^r.

Hull (Hill)

Hugh de Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries, attached Robert son of Tibbot de Chorley, John son of William del Hill of Hankelow, Agnes del Dounes and Philip de Farndon to answer the earl why they had withdrawn from his avowries.

Hugh said that Robert son of Tibbot, father of Robert the defendant, and William del Hill father of John, and Richard le Clapper father of the said Philip and Agnes, had died in avowry, and that the defendants, being next-of-kin, should bear the avowry charges.

Agnes pointed out that as the charge itself showed that she had a living brother, Philip, she could not be charged with avowry.

Philip said that one Richard Whitbigg was his father, and had never been called Richard le Clapper, and Richard Whitbigg had never been in avowry.

Robert and the others said that neither they nor their ancestors had ever been in avowry.

The matter was put to a jury, who confirmed the defendants' claims, and they were acquitted.

16:214 [3 August 1305]

Rob'us filius Tibbote de Cherl' Joh's fil' Will'i del Hul de Hunkelowe Agnes del Dounes Ph'us de Farndon' atach' fuerunt ad respond' d'no Comite Cestr' quare subt^axer' se de aduocar' ip'ius Com'. Et vnde Hugo de Fouleshurest custos eiusdem aduocar' qui sequit' p Com' dicit q'd quid' Rob'us fil' Tibbote pat' ip'ius Rob'ti & quidam Will' del Hul pat' ip'ius Joh'is & quid' [Ric] 'us le Clappere pat' p'd'cor Ph'i & Agn' fuerunt in aduocar' p'd'ca & in eadem obierunt ppt' quod p'd'ci Rob'us & om's alii vt ppinquiores de sanguine onus aduocar' p'd'ce sustinere tenent'. Et p'd'ca Agn' petit iud'm exquo p'd'cus Hugo cogn' ip'am h're fr'em supstitem si de aduocar' qua' Ric'us p'r ip'or Ph'i & Agne^s reddere consuevit viuentem ip'o Ph'o on'ari debeat. Et p'd'cus Ph'us dicit q'd quid' Ric'us Whitebigge erat p'r suus & nu'q'm vocabat' Ric'us le Clapper qui quid' Ric'us Whytebygge nu'q'm in p'd'ca aduocat' extitit. Et p'd'ci Rob'us & om's alii dicunt q'd ip'i n^c antec' sui in p'd'ca aduocar' extiterunt n^c in eadem de iur' esse debent & hoc tam p'd'cus Ph'us q'm om's al[ii] petunt q'd inquir' & p'd'cus Hugo simil'r. Jur' vid' Will' de Cotegreue, Hugo le Brid, Dau[id] fr' ei^s, Math'us de Alph^am, Th' de Brox', Rob'us de Manlegh' Rog'us de Moldeworth', Will' de Ho'..... Adam Malbon Ric'us de Wrennebur' Ric'us de Wistanston' & Will' fil' Ham' de Byrchouer qui di[c] su p sacr'm suu' q'd pat' p'd'cor Ph'i & Agn' vocat' Ric'us Whitebigge & no' Ric'us le Clapper, qui qu[id'm] Ric'us nu'q'm +.....+ in aduocar' p'd'ca extitit & q'd p'd'co Rob'us & Joh's n^c eor antec' nu'q'm in aduocaria p'd'ca extiterunt n^c de iur' esse debent I'o cons' est q'd eant inde quieti.

12 November 1308 John Cotton, keeper of the royal avowries, sued Richard de Hull to be in avowry. He claimed that Orme, Richard's father, had been in avowry, rendering 3d a year, but that Richard, who should have borne the charge after his father's death, had withdrawn; and should pay £40 for contempt.

Robert appeared and said that neither Orme his father nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry. A search of the avowry rolls was made, but the names of Orme and Richard were not found. The jury therefore dismissed Cotton's claim was dismissed and he was amerced.

21:177 [12 November 1308]

M'ia alibi

Ric'us de Hull. attach'. attach' ¹³⁰ fuit. ad respond'. eidem Joh'i. custodi. &c' qui sequit' p d'no Rege de eo q'd cum q'dam Orme pat' ip'ius Ric'i in aduocaria d'ni Reg^s extitisset Reddendo eidem d'no Regi. p aduocar' illa. tres. denarios p annu' Et idem Ric'us fil' p'd'ci Orme in aduocaria illa esse debeat & onus illius aduocarie p p'd'co Orme sustinere; idem Ric'us se de aduocaria p'd'ca iam subtraxit. & p'd'cos denar' eidem d'no Regi p aduocaria p'd'ca redd'e cont^a dicit. in contemptu' d'ni Regis manifestum. & dampnu' ip'ius d'ni Reg^s quadraginta libr'. Et hoc +idem Joh'es+ offert v'ificare p d'no Rege &c'. Et p'd'cus Ric'us venit. Et dicit q'd idem Joh'es ip'm iniuste de aduocaria p'd'ca +nititur+ on'ari. Quia dicit q'd p'd'cus Orme pat' suus nec aliquis antecessor' suor nu'cq^a fu'nt in aduocar' d'ni Reg^s nec alique' denar' eidem d'no Regi p aduocar' p'd'ca reddidit nec ip'e in aduocar' illa vn'q^a fuit. nec esse debet. Et de hoc ponit +se+ sup p'riam. &c'. Et qa hi' v'ificac'o no' est admittend' &c'quousq' constet Cur'. vtrum nome'. &c'. Datus est Dies vsq' ad px'm Com' &c' Et int'im scrutent' Rot'li &c'. Et nich'o^s p'ceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac'. ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'. p quos. &c'. Postea ad Com' die M^artis px^a post festu' S'ce Lucie virg'is. ¹³¹ ven'. ta' p'd'cs Joh'es Custos &c' q^a p'd'cs Ric'us & si'lit' .xij. &c'. Et qa sc'tatis Rot'lis aduocar' nome' p'd'ci Ric'i n^c p'd'ci Ormi p'ris sui in eisde' Rotulis non inuenit'. Ideo inq'sic'o inde capiat' an de Iure in aduocar' d'ni Reg^s esse debeat seu aliq's an'cessor' suor p q^o ide' Ric's. onus aduocar' supportare in aduocar' illa extit'it nec ne — Jur' dicu't sup sacr'm suu'. q'd p'd'ci Ric'i, nec aliquis an'cessor' suor p quo &c' nu'cq^a fu'nt in aduocar' d'ci d'ni R^s seu Com', nec idem Ric'us in aduocaria illa de iure esse debet; nisi gratis se velit inde onerare I'o cons' est q'd p'd'cs Ric's inde q'etus Saluo Iure R^s alias &c'. Et p'd'cs Custos in m'ia.

Hugh de Fowlshurst claimed that 17 December 1308 at Mobberley, William de Mobberley and Henry de Mobberley, sword in hand, had attacked Adam Peacock and retrieved a horse which Adam, as sub-bailiff to Hugh, had seized as heriot after the death of William del Hull. Hugh claimed £100 damages. William and Henry denied any such 'rescue', and this was affirmed by a jury.

21:449 [18 March 1309]

Will's de Modburlegh' — attach' fuit ad respond'. Hugon' de Fouleshurst qui sequit' p d'no Rege quare cu' idem Hugo custos aduocar' d'ni Reg^s in Com' Cestr'. p Ad' Pekoc s'uiante' +suu'.+ quendam equu' p decem solid' de quodam p'ncipali p morte' Will'i del +Hull+ d'no Regi aretro existent' capi fecisset; p'd'cs Will's sim'l cu' +Henr' de Modburlegh'+ die Lune px^a post festu' s'ci Nich'i anno R' nu'c. s'cdo ¹³² apud Modburlegh'. vi & armis. scil't gladiis. &c'. in ip'm. Adam & sbball'm ip'ius Hugon' insultu' fec'unt. &

¹³⁰ sic

¹³¹ Tuesday 17 December 1308

¹³² Monday 9 December 1308

ip'm v^rb'au^rnt. & male tractau^rnt. & alia enormia &c'. & equu' p^rd'cm ab eo rescusserunt in co'temptu' d'ni Regis manifestu'. ad da'pnu' ip'ius d'ni Reg^s. Centu' libr'. Et hoc v^rifare offert p^r d'no Rege &c' Et p^rd'ci Will's & Henr' ven' & defend' vim & iniur'. & co'te'ptu'. q'n. &c'. Et dicu't q'd ip'i nullu' rescussu' ei fec^runt de p^rd'co eq^o. nec aliqua' t^ansgr'. & de hoc ponu't se sup p^ariam. &c'. Et p^rd'cs Hugo si'lr. Ideo p^rceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'. Et qⁱ nec. &c'. Postea ad Com' die Martis px^a an' festu' S'ci Barnabe Ap'li.¹³³ ven' tam p^rd'cs Hugo. q^am p^rd'cs Will's. & simil'r .xij. &c' — Jur' dicu't sup sacr'm suu' q'd p^rd'cus Will's n'llm rescussum nec aliqua' t^ansgressionem fecit p^rd'co Ad'. I'o ip'e inde quietus &c'.

21:550 [29 April 1309]

p^r e'

Jurata int^r Hug' de Fouleshurst qui sequit^r p^r d'no Rege & Will'm de Modburley & Henr' de Modburlegh' de pl'ito t^ans ponit^r in resp'cu vsq' ad px'm Com' p^r def'cu iurator qa null' &c' Id'o p^r est vic' q'd h'eat corpa eor^u ad px' Com' & p^rt^r illos tot & tales &c

¹³³ Tuesday 10 June 1309

John le Mercer

31 May 1306 Hugh Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries, attached John le Mercer of Northwich, Robert le Ditcher, Bate del Moor and William Colyhog, to answer why they had withdrawn from avowry. Fowlshurst said that one Roysa, mother of the said John, and one Richard Kemp, uncle of Bate, and one Ranulph Cod, uncle of William, had all been in the avowry, and died in the same. As for Robert le Ditcher, he was a stranger who had committed various trespasses far away and had put himself into the Cheshire avowry for self-protection.

The defendants all said that neither they nor any of their ancestors had ever been in avowry; the jury agreed.

17:393 [31 May 1306]

*Joh'es le M'cer de Norwych Rob'us le Dicher Bate del Moor & Will'us Colyhog
atach' fuer' p Hug' de Fouleshurst Custode' aduocar' d'ni Com' Cestr' ad respondendu'
d'no Comiti quare subtr'xeru't se de aduocar' d'ci Com' &c'. Et vnde idem Custos dicit q'd
quedam Roysa mat' p'd'ci Joh' & quedam Ric'us Kempe auu'culus p'd'ci Bate et quida'
Ran's Cod auu'culus p'd'ci Will'i fuer' in aduocaria p'd'ca & in eadem obieru't & p'd'cus
Rob'us le Dicher fuit aduentic' p diu'sis t'nsgressio'ib' in longinquis ptib' f'cs & in aduocar'
p'd'ca se posuit ppt' quod p'd'ci Joh'es & om's alii vt ppinquiores de sanguine onus
aduocarie p'd'ce supportare tenent'. Et p'd'ci Joh's & om's alii veniu't & dicunt q'd ip'i n'
antecessores sui nu'q'm in aduocaria p'd'ca extiteru't n' de iure esse debent & hoc petu't q'd
inquirat' & p'd'cus Hugo qui sequit' p Com' simil'r. Jur' p'd'ci scil't Will' de Spurstowe &
om's alii dic't sup sacr'm suu' q'd nullus antecessor p'd'cor Joh' & alior nu'q'm in
aduocaria p'd'ca extiteru't nec ip'i de iure esse debent. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'ci Joh's & alii
eant inde quieti.*

Bate del Moor

31 May 1306 Hugh Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries, attached John le Mercer of Northwich, Robert le Ditcher, Bate del Moor and William Colyhog, to answer why they had withdrawn from avowry. Fowlshurst said that one Roysa, mother of the said John, and one Richard Kemp, uncle of Bate, and one Ranulph Cod, uncle of William, had all been in the avowry, and died in the same. As for Robert le Ditcher, he was a stranger who had committed various trespasses far away and had put himself into the Cheshire avowry for self-protection.

The defendants all said that neither they nor any of their ancestors had ever been in avowry; the jury agreed.

17:393 [31 May 1306]

*Joh'es le M'cer de Norwych Rob'us le Dicher Bate del Moor & Will'us Colyhog
atach' fuer' p Hug' de Fouleshurst Custode' aduocar' d'ni Com' Cestr' ad respondendu'
d'no Comiti quare subtr'xeru't se de aduocar' d'ci Com' &c'. Et vnde idem Custos dicit q'd
quedam Roysa mat' p'd'ci Joh' & quedam Ric'us Kempe auu'culus p'd'ci Bate et quida'
Ran's Cod auu'culus p'd'ci Will'i fuer' in aduocaria p'd'ca & in eadem obieru't & p'd'cus
Rob'us le Dicher fuit aduentic' p diu'sis t'nsgressio'ib' in longinquis ptib' f'cs & in aduocar'
p'd'ca se posuit ppt' quod p'd'ci Joh'es & om's alii vt ppinquiores de sanguine onus
aduocarie p'd'ce supportare tenent'. Et p'd'ci Joh's & om's alii veniu't & dicunt q'd ip'i n'
antecessores sui nu'q'm in aduocaria p'd'ca extiteru't n' de iureesse debent & hoc petu't q'd
inquirat' & p'd'cus Hugo qui sequit' p Com' simil'r. Jur' p'd'ci scil't Will' de Spurstowe &
om's alii dic't sup sacr'm suu' q'd nullus antecessor p'd'cor Joh' & alior nu'q'm in
aduocaria p'd'ca extiteru't nec ip'i de iure esse debent. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'ci Joh's & alii
eant inde quieti.*

Walter de Ollers

Emma daughter of Walter de Ollers of Pedley claimed that on Sunday 2 June 1297, Margaret who was wife of John de Corona and John her servant entered Emma's house in Pedley and took a cow, and drove it to Margaret's park in Adlington.

Margaret said that Emma was her naif, and therefore had no property of her own. Emma retorted that she was a free woman, and that her father Walter, as a free man, had been in the king's avowry. So John de Cotton, keeper of the king's avowries, was summoned to bring the avowry rolls to court, to be searched. The matter then disappears from the records.

10:136 [6 May 1298]

Margar' q' fuit vx' Joh'is de Corone & Joh's s'uiens eius atach' fu'u't ad respondd' Emme fil' Walt'i de Holres de Peddelegh'. de pl'ito capc'oīs vnius vacce, vnde querit' q'd die dmc^a in f'o Pentecost' anno r' Reg^s .E. vicesimo q'nto ¹³⁴ q'ndam vaccam sua' apd apd¹³⁵ Adelyngton' in quodam c'to loco qui vocat' Peddelegh' in domo ip'ius Emme cepunt & vsq' ad pcu' ip'ius Margar' in Adelynton' fugar't & ibid'm inpcar't & ibid'm inpcatam detinu'u't vsq' &c' ad dampn' &c'. & duc' &c'.

Et p'd'cus Joh's no' ven'. Et Henr' Clay +.ij.s'.+ & Ric'us de Wytelegh' +.ij.s'.+ & Ric'us le Carter +.ij.s'.+ fu'unt pl' sui essendi hic &c' I'o ip'i in m'ia qa no' h'uer't que' repl' &c'. Et p'd'ca Margar' ven' & defend' vim &c'. q'n &c'. Et dic'. q'd p'd'ca Emma est natiua sua & de ip'a seis' fuit tamq'm de s'ua sua die capc'oīs p'd'ce. p quod responderi no' debet &c'.

Et p'd'ca Emma dic' qd ip'a lib'a est & lib'e condic'oīs die capc'oīs p'd'ce. Et q'd Walt's pat' suus stetit in aduocar' d'ni R^s tanq'm lib' h'o. & in lib'tate illa obiit, cui illa vt lib'a successit. & in aduocar' illa consistit. & die capc'oīs p'd'ce extitit, & nome' pat's eius in rot'lis d'ni Reg' insertu' est. Et q'd p'd'ca Marg'ia nunq'm fuit seis' de p'd'ca Emma tanq'm de s'ua sua &c'. Et sup hoc vocat ad war' rot'los d'ni R^s. Et i'o p'cept' est Joh' de Coten' Custod' aduocat' q'd h'eat rot'los hic ad px'm Com' Et h'nt die' s'. Et p'stea ad Com' die M^artis px^a p'st f'm s'ci Jacobi ap'li¹³⁶ fuit dies dat^s ptib^s, vsq' ad px'm Com' p'st aduentu' Justic'.

¹³⁴ Sunday 2 June 1297

¹³⁵ sic

¹³⁶ Tuesday 29 July 1298

Pexall

On 12 November 1308 John Cotton, as keeper of the king's avowries, sued Richard de Pexall to be in avowry. He claimed that William Etwood, Richard's father, had been in avowry, rendering 3d a year, but that Richard, who should have borne the charge after his father's death, had withdrawn; and should pay £40 for contempt.

Robert appeared and said that neither William his father nor any of his ancestors had been in avowry. A search of the avowry rolls was made, but the names of William and Richard were not found. The jury therefore dismissed Cotton's claim was dismissed and he was amerced.

21:178 [12 November 1308]

M' alibi

Ric'us de Pexhull attach' fuit. ad respond'. eidem Joh'i. custodi. &c' q' seq't' p' d'no Rege de eo q'd cum q'dam Will's Etewode pat' ip'ius Ric'i in aduocar' d'ni Regis extitisset. reddendo eidem d'no Regi. p' aduocar' illa tres denarios p' annu', et idem Ric'us fil' p'd'ci Will'i in aduocaria illa esse, & onus illius aduocarie p' p'd'co Will'o p're suo sustinere debeat; idem Ric'us se de aduocaria illa iam se subtraxit, & p'd'cos denar' eidem d'no Regi p' aduocar' p'd'ca redd'e cont'dicit, in co'temptu' d'ni Reg's manifestu' & dampnu' ip'ius d'ni Reg's quadraginta libr' &c'. Et hoc +idem Joh'es+ ofert v'ificare p' d'no Rege &c'

Et p'd'cus Ric'us ven'. Et dicit q'd idem Joh'es iniuste nititur ip'm on'ari de aduocaria p'd'ca onerari ¹³⁷ Quia dicit q'd idem Will's pater suus, nec aliquis an'cessor' suor' nu'cq' fu'nt in aduocar' d'ni Reg's, nec aliquem denariu' eidem d'no Regi p' aduocaria p'd'ca reddidit, nec ip'e in aduocaria illa vnq' fuit. nec esse debet. Et de hoc ponit se sup' p'riam. &c'. Et qa huiusmodi v'ificac'o no' est admittenda &c'quousq' constet Cur'. vtru'. &c'. datus est datus ¹³⁸ vsq' ad px'm Com' &c'. Et int'im sc'tent' Rot'li &c'. Et nich'o's p'ceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'. p' quos. &c'. Postea ad Com' die M'rtis px'a post festu' S'ce Lucie virg'is. ¹³⁹ ven' ta' p'd'cs Joh'es custos &c'. q' p'd'cs Ric'us & si'lit' .xij. &c'. Et qa sc'tatis Rot'lis aduoc'. nome' p'd'ci Ric'i nec Will'i p'ris sui in eisde' no' inuenit' insertu'. Ideo capiat' inde inquisic'o. an de iure in aduocar' illa esse debeat seu aliq'is an'cessor' suor' p. q'o. &c'. extit'it in aduocar' illa nec ne — Jur' dicu't sup' sacr'm suu'. q'd p'd'cus Will's p'r p'd'ci Ric'i, nec aliquis an'cessor' suor' p. quo &c'. nu'cq' fu'nt in aduocar' d'ni Reg's nec Com' nec ide' Ric'us in aduocar' illa esse debet nisi voluntarie se velit inde onerare I'o cons' est q'd p'd'cus Ric's inde q'ietus. Saluo Iure R's al' &c'. Et p'd'cs Custos in m'ia.

¹³⁷ sic

¹³⁸ sic

¹³⁹ Tuesday 17 December 1308

Picard

Hugh de Fowlshurst claimed that, as keeper of the royal avowries, on Sunday 14 September 1308, when he seized a horse belonging to John Picard, tailor, a burgess of Macclesfield, claiming it as a distraint for arrears, 41 Macclesfield men, many of them burgesses, attacked Hugh with swords, beat, wounded and ill-treated him, and retrieved the horse. He claimed to have suffered £40 damage, plus £1000 for contempt of the king.

Hugh summoned all 41 in the county court in Chester, but not one attended. The hundred of Macclesfield, including the borough, was an exempt liberty within the shire; a writ was sent to the bailiff of the hundred to attach the men to appear, but he would not comply. The county sheriff was then ordered by the court to go in person to Macclesfield, to attach them.

At the following court Fowlshurst summoned thirteen of the 41 — John Dapsy, Thomas Coly, William son of Mabilla, John son of Pymme, Hugh son of Hugh de Backford, Thomas Eliot, Henry Gosling, William the chaplain of John Picard, William de Warrington, William Clipping, Adam Tipping and Thomas de Astbury. The sheriff had entered the liberty, but had been unable to find eight of the thirteen. The five that he found (Eliot, Clipping, Gosling, Tipping and Astbury) had been attached, and had found pledges to attend court, but in the event none of them appeared, so all their ten sureties (including John Picard himself) were amerced, in their absence, sixpence each.

Six more of the 41 then appeared in court (William le Mercer, Hugh le Rede, Thomas de Leek, Richard le Swordslipper, John Jordan and Roger son of Thomas de Healey) and completely denied any involvement in the incident, and asked that the matter be put to a jury. The court deferred looking into their case.

The remaining 22 men (including John Picard) then appeared in court, on exactly the same charge, and stated that they were burgesses of the borough of Macclesfield, and as such were exempt by royal charter from appearing at Chester in such a case. They cited a charter received from the king himself, made as earl of Chester before he succeeded to the throne, confirming Macclesfield's status as a free borough; and they referred to a case heard in the county court in 32 Edward I ¹⁴⁰, when John de Astbury and Richard le Barker had been indicted for exchanging debased French currency, their immunity as burgesses was accepted, and their case was referred to the justice sitting in eyre at his next visit to Macclesfield. The doomsmen, steward and suitors of the county court now agreed that burgesses of Macclesfield should only be tried in Macclesfield, and Hugh was told that, should he wish to pursue the case, he should put it before the Macclesfield eyre.

At the following county court, Fowlshurst pursued his claim against seven of the thirteen (John Dapsy, Thomas Coly, William son of Mabilla, Hugh

¹⁴⁰ 1302-1303, a year for which the county court rolls are now lost

son of Hugh de Backford, Thomas Eliot, William Clipping, and Thomas de Astbury). The sheriff had been ordered to distrain Eliot, Clipping and Astbury, and to seize Dapsy, Coly, William son of Mabilla, Backford and Warrington, if they could be found. The sheriff had been unable to find Clipping, but had seized goods worth two shillings; he had not found Astbury, but taken goods worth 14d. Eliot had been found, but had no property by which he could be distrained. Dapsy, Coly, William son of Mabilla, Backford and Warrington had not been found.

Fowlshurst then separately sued William, John Picard's chaplain, for the same incident, claiming £40 plus £1000 damages. The chaplain appeared, and denied having been involved; his case was therefore put to a jury, to be held at the next sitting; but this does not seem to have been pursued.

John son of Pymme, Henry Gosling and Adam Tipping next appeared to answer in the same case. They stated that they, too, were burgesses of Macclesfield, so Hugh was instructed to sue them in Macclesfield, should he wish. Nevertheless, the court amerced them each in a shilling for previous defaults.

At the next county court, 10 June 1309, Fowlshurst brought the case again against Backford, Eliot, Clipping and Astbury. They stated that they, too, were burgesses of Macclesfield, so Hugh was instructed to sue them in Macclesfield, should he wish. Nevertheless, the court amerced them each in a shilling for previous defaults.

At the same county court Fowlshurst sued Dapsy, Coly, William son of Mabilla and Warrington, for the same incident: the sheriff had been ordered to take them, but had done nothing. He was again ordered to take them, and this part of the case was deferred to the next sitting.

That was on 22 July 1309. The sheriff now said that he had been unable to find them; he was ordered to try again. At the next court, 2 September 1309, the process was repeated, and so from court to court until March 1310 when they were outlawed, with a view to seizing all their possessions.

21:323 [4 February 1309]

p^r e'

Hugo de Fouleshurst Custos aduocar' d'ni R^s qui sequit' p d'no Rege op. se versus Joh'em Pikart de Macclesfeld Cissore', Rob'm de Brounhull, Simo'em fil' Rad'i, Ric'm Curteys, Will' Le Mercer, Will'm Liltinghorn, Will'm de Shriggelegh', Joh'em Jurdan, Joh'em Slegh', Joh'em de London' cissore'. Rob'm del Lach', Joh'em Dapcy, Thom' Coly, Rog'm Le Walker, Ric'm de Pedelegh', Hug' Le Rede, Thom' de Lake, Herueium del Nol, Will'm fil' Mabill', Ric'm Bost, Hug' fil' Hug' de Barkisford', Thom' Elyot, Henr' Geselyng, Will'm Capell'm Joh'is Pikart, Ric'm fil' Marg'ie, Joh'em fil' Pymme Will'm de Weryngton' Ric'm le Swordesliper, Rog'm fil' Thom' de Helegh', Will'm Le Barker, Henr' Le Mercer, Will'm Clippyng, Jurdan^s fil' Ric'i Le Rede, Ada' Tippyng. Rog'm de Shriggelegh', Rob'm Le Mercer, Will'm fr'em eiusde' Rob'ti, Reginald' Le Barker, Galfrid' Ronge Thom' de Astebur' Et Petru' fr'em eiusde' Thom' de pl'ito q're cu' idem Hug' q'cmdam equ' ip'i^s Joh'is Pikart Cissor' p s'uic'o d'ni Reg^s a retro existente cepisset & ip'm s'cdm Lege' & consued'

inpcare voluisset; p^rd'ci Joh'es & alii in ip'm Hug' apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' fec^ru't & ip'm verb'aueru't, vuln'aueru't & malet^actau'it & alia enormia &c' Et equ' p^rd'cm rescusseru't in conte'ptu' d'ni Reg^s manifestu' & dampnu' ip'ius Hug' no' Modicu' & cont^a pace' &c' Et ip'i no' veniu't. Et p^rcept' fuit vic' q'd attachiaret eos p corpa. Et q'd h'eret eos hic ad h'nc diem. Et vic' modo mand' q'd p^rcepat ball'o Lib'tat^s de Macclesfeld qui nichil inde fecit Ideo p^rceptu' est vic' q'd no' omittat ppt^r lib'tate' p^rd'cam q'n ea' &c' & attach' eos p corpa. Et q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px'm Com' ad respond' d'no Regi &c'.

21:450 [18 March 1309]

m'ie .iiij.s;

.vj.d'.

p^r. e'

Hugo de Fouleshurst^d qui sequit' p d'no Rege optulit se u^rsus Joh'em Dapsi^r: Thom' Coly⁺. Will'm filium Mabile⁺. Joh'em fil' Pymme^b. Hugo'em fil' Hugo'is de Barkesford⁺. Thom' Eliot⁺. Henr' Geseling^b. Will'm capell'm⁺ Joh' Pikard. Will'm de Werynton⁺, Will'm Clipping⁺, Adam Tipping^b & Thom' de Astebur⁺ de pl'ito quar' cu' idem Hug' quemda' equu' Joh'is Pycard cissor' p s'uicio d'no Regi aretro existent' cepisset & ip'm s'cdm lege' & consuetudine' &c' inpcasse uoluisset; p^rd'ci Joh'es & alii in ip'm Hugo'em ap'd Maclesfeld vi & armis insultu' feceru't & ip'm verb'aueru't & male t^actaueru't & alia enormia &c' Et equu' p^rd'cm ei rescusseru't in co'temptu' &c' Et ip'i no' veniu't — Et p^rcept' fuit vic' q'd no' omittet ppt^r lib'tate' de Macclesfeld q'n eam ingred' & ath' p^rd'cos Joh' & alios q'd essent hic ad hunc com' &c' & vic' modo mand' q'd Thom' Eliot ath' est p Joh'em Pikard +vj.d'+ & Rob'm de Brounehull, Et +q'd+ Henry' Geseling' ath' est p Simone' fil' Rad'i +vj.d'+ & Ric'm Curteys +vj.d'+, Et +q'd+ Will's Clipping ath' est p Rog'm le Walker +vj.d'+ & Ric'm de Peddelegh' +vj.d'+, Et +q'd+ Adam Tipping ath' est p Herviu' del nol +vj.d'+. & Ric'm fil' M^rrg'ie +vj.d'+ — Et +q'd+ Thom' de Astebur' ath' est p Henr' le Mercer +vj.d'+ & Will'm le Barker +vj.d'+ Ideo ip'i in m'ia — Et p^rcept' est vic' q'd distr' eos p om's terras & catalla &c' — Et de exit' &c' Et q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px'm com' &c' Et de p^rd'cis Joh'e Dapsi Thom' Coly Will'o fil' Mabile. Joh'e fil' Pymme. Hug' fil' Hug' de Barkesford Will'o capell'o. ++ Will'o de Werynton' mand' idem vic' q'd no' su't i'uent' nec aliq'd h'ent p q'd &c' Ideo p^rceptu' est vic' q'd cap' eos si &c' Et saluo &c' Ita q'd eos h'eat ad px'm com' &c'

21:451 [18 March 1309]

p^r. e'

inqⁱsic'p cap' ap'd Macclesfeld p fine'.

Will'us le Mercer Hugo le Rede Thom' de Lek. Ric'us le Swordsliper⁺, Joh'es Jordan⁺, & Rog'us fil' Thom' de Helegh⁺ ath' fueru't ad respond' Hugo'i de Fouleshurst qui sequi' p d'no Rege de pl'ito quar' cu' Idem Hugo quemda' equu' cuiusda' Joh'is Pikard cissor' p s'uic'o d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & ip'm s'cdm legem & consuetudine' &c' inpcasse uoluisset iidem Will'us & alii in ip'm Hugo'em ap'd Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' feceru't & ip'm verb'aueru't wln'aueru't & male t^actaueru't & alia enormia &c' Et equu' p^rd'cm rescusseru't in contemptu' &c' vnde Idem Hug' queri^r q'd cu' ip'e die d'nica px' post natiuitate' beate Marie virginis anno Regis nu'c s'cdo ¹⁴¹ apud Macclesfeld' equu' cuiusdam Joh'is Pikard cissor' p s'uicio d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & inpcasse uoluisset iidem Will'us & alii vi & armis videl't gladiis &c' in ip'm Hug' +ap'd Maclesfeld+ insultu' feceru't & ip'm verb'aueru't wln'aueru't & male t^actaueru't & alia enormia &c' Et equu' p^rd'cm rescusseru't in co'temptu' d'ni Regis manifestu' vnde dicit q'd def'ioratus est & dampnu' h'et ad ualenc' q^adraginta libr' & in contemptu' d'ni Regis Mille libr' & inde pducit sectam &c'

¹⁴¹ Sunday 15 September 1308

Et p^rd'ci Will'us & alii ven' & defend' vim & i'uriam q^ando &c' Et dicu't q'd ip'i p^rd'cis die & anno nullam t^ansgressio'em eidem Hug' feceru't nec aliquē equu' ab eo rescusseru't sicut eis inponit & de hoc ponu't se sup p^riam Et p^rd'cus Hug' sil'r. Ideo p^rceptu' est vic' q'd venir' fac' ad p^xm com' .xij. &c' quos &c' & qui nec &c' qa tam &c'.

21:452 [18 March 1309]

Macclesf

Joh'es Pikard de Macclesfeld cissor. Rob'tus de Brounehull, Simon fil' Rad'i, Ric'us Curteys, Will's Liltinghorn, Joh'es Slegh', Joh'es de London' cissor. Rob'tus del Lache. Rog's le Walker. Ric'us de Peddelegh'. Herveus del Nol. Ric'us Boost. Ric'us fil' M^arg'ie, Will'us le Barker, Henr' le Mercer, Jordan' fil' Ric'i le Rede⁺ Rog's de Schrygelegh', Rob'tus le Mercer, Will'us frat' eiusde' Rob't, Reginaldus le Barker, Galfridus Ronge & Petrus frat' Thom' de Astebur' ath' fueru't ad respond' Hug' de Fouleshurst qui sequi' p d'no Rege de pl'ito quar' cu' idem Hug' quemdam equu' cuiusdam Joh'is Pikard cissor' p s'uicio d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & ip'm s'cdm legem & consuetudine' &c' incasse noluisset iidem Joh'es & alii in ip'm Hug' ap'd Macclesfeld' vi & armis insultu' feceru't & ip'm verb'aueru't wln'aueru't & male t^actaueru't & alia enormia &c' & equu' p^rd'cm rescusseru't in contemptu' &c' vnde idem Hug' queri' q'd cu' ip'e die d'nica p^x post natiuitate' beate Marie virginis anno Regis nu'c s'cdo¹⁴² apud Macclesfeld equu' d'ci Joh'is Pykard cissor' p s'uicio d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & incasse uoluisset iidem Joh'es & alii vi & armis videl't gladiis &c' in ip'm Hug' ap'd Macclesfeld' insultu' feceru't & ip'm verberaueru't wln'aueru't & male t^actaueru't & alia enormia &c' & equu' p^rd'cm rescusseru't in conte'ptu' d'ni Regis manifestu' vnde dicit q'd de'ioratus est & dampnu' h'et ad ualenc' q^adraginta libr' & in conte'ptu' d'ni Regis Mille libr' & inde pducit sectam &c'.

Et p^rd'ci Joh'es & alii veniu't & defend' vim & i'uriam q^ando &c' Et dicu't q'd ip'i sunt burgenses ville de Macclesfeld & q'd in com' hic n^c alibi in com' Cestris' no' debent inplacitari nec de aliquo pl'ito iudicari ex^a burgu' d'ni Regis de Macclesfeld — Quia dicu't q'd d'ns Edwardus Rex pat' Regis nu'c te'pe quo fuit comes Cestr' co'cessit & carta sua co'firmauit q'd villa de Macclesfeld liber burgus sit Et q'd burgens' eiusdem burgi no' implacent' nec de aliq^o pl'ito iudicent' ex^a burgu' p^rd'cm. Et pfer't carta' p^rd'ci Edwardi q' hoc idem testat' — Et dicu't q'd a te'pe co'fec'ois p^rd'ce carte semp hucusq' usi su't h^s lib'tate q'd n'ls burgens' p^rd'ci burgi alicubi ex^a burgu' p^rd'cm no' sunt inpl'itatus nec de aliq^o pl'ito iudicatus, Et petu't q'd eor' lib'tati no' derogetur in h^c pte — Quesiti ubi q^ando & cora' quo h^s lib'tas petita fuit & allocata — Dicu't q'd Anno Reg' E. p'ris Reg' nu'c .xxviiij.¹⁴³ cora' Ric'o de Mascy tu'c Justic' Cestr' & Will'o de Brychull' assignat' ad inquirend' de escambient' moneta' q' vocat' Cokedan¹⁴⁴ quidam Joh'es de Astebur' & Ric'us le Barker burgens' ville p^rd'ce indictati fu'unt de escambio p^rd'ce monete & in com' hic cora' eosd' Justic' arenati; Qui ven' in eodem com' & alleg' q'd ip'i fu'unt burgens' p^rd'ce ville quibus d'ns Rex p carta' sua' co'cessit q'd de aliq^o pl'ito inpl'itar' n^c de aliq^o pl'ito ext^a burgu' p^rd'cm iudicari deberent Ita q'd lib'tas p^rd'ca tu'c cora' eisdem Justic' p consid' cur' allocata fuit & loquela illa ad lib'tate' p^rd'cam retornata ibide' cora' Justic'o in Itin'e t'mi'anda. — Dicu't & q'd +in com' hic+ anno Reg^s E p'ris Reg' nu'c .xxxij.¹⁴⁵ cora' Will'o Trussel tu'c Justic'o Cestr' quid' Adam Byran, Joh'es de Astebur' & alii inpl'itati fu'unt p quemd' Thom' del Dounes de pl'ito debiti ubi iidem Ada' Joh'es & alii ven' & alleg' p^rd'cam lib'tate' Ita q'd eadem lib'tas tu'c cora' eodem Justic'o allocato & loquela illa ad p^rd'cam lib'tate' r'tornata fuit ibidem cora' Justic'o in Itin'e t'mi'anda, Et inde voc' record'

¹⁴² Sunday 15 September 1308

¹⁴³ 20 November 1299 – 19 November 1300

¹⁴⁴ debased French coin

¹⁴⁵ 20 November 1302 – 19 November 1303

rotulor com' de annis p'd'cis, Et petu't inde iud'm &c'. Et sup hoc d'ns Rex mand' br'e suu' Justic'i hic in hec verba

Edwardus dei gr'a Rex Angl' D'ns Hib'n & Dux Aquit', dil'co & fideli suo Rob'to de Holand Justic' suo Cestr'; sal'tm. Quia Burgenses Isabelle Regine Angl' consortis n're K'ine de Macclesfeld' p carta' d'ni R p'ris n'ri anteq^a gub'nac'la regni sui recepat clamant h'ere quasdam lib'tates quib^s ip'i. & an'cessores sui Burgenses ville p'd'ce a tempe confecc'oīs carte p'd'ce semp acten^s pacifice vsi sunt & gauisi vt dicu't; vob' mandam^s q'd ip'os Burgenses lib'tatib^s p'd'cis vti & gaudere p'mittatis put eis vti debent & ip'i & an'cessores sui Burgenses ville p'd'ce semp a te'pe p'd'co lib'tatib^s illis hucusq' rac'onabil'r vti & gaudere co'sueu'nt. T' me ip'o &c'.

Et qa testatu' est p Judices +Seneschall'+ & sectator' Com' q'd Burgenses p'd'ci Burgi a tempe co'fecc'oīs p'd'ce carte d'ni Reg^s p'd'ca lib'tate vti & gaudere co'sueu'nt, Et q'd huiusmodi lib'tas coram Justiciariis in Comitatu hic alias petita allocata fuit Ita q'd loquele de quib^s hi^s lib'tas petita fuit retornate fu'nt apud Macclesfeld cora' Justic'o in Itin'e suo ibide' t'minand' Ideo p'd'ci Burgens'. modo h'eant lib'tate' sua'. saluo Iure d'ni R^s +alias+ &c'. Et d'cm est p'd'co Hugon' q'd loquelam sua' p'd'cam coram Justic'o Cestr' in pxi'o Itin'e suo apud Macclesfeld psequat' uersus p'd'cos Burgenses si sibi vid'it expedire

21:542 [29 April 1309]

no'a q'd pl'itand'. in Itin'e

Cat' forisf'ca

ij.s'.

xl.d'

p' e'

Hugo de Fouleshurst qui sequit' p d'no Reg'. op se u'sus Joh'em Dapsi, Thom' Coly. Will'm fil' Mabill' Hugon' fil' Hugon' +ven'+ de Barkesford, Thom' Eliot' +ven'+ Will'm Clippyng' +ven'+ & Thom' de Astebury' +ven'+ de pl'ito quare cu' idem Hugo. quemdam equu' Joh'is Pikard Cissoris p s'uic'o suo d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & equu' illum s'cdm Legem & consuetudi'em &c' impcasse voluisset; p'd'ci Joh'es & alii +sim'l &c'+ in ip'm Hug' apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' fec'unt & ip'm v'b'aueru't & male tractau'nt, & alia enormia &c'. & equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't in conte'ptu' d'ni Reg^s &c'. Et ip'i no' ven'. Et p'ceptu' fuit vic'. q'd +no' om'tat ppt' lib'tate' de Macclesfeld q'n &c' &+ Distring'et p'd'cos Thom' Eliot' Will'm Clipping et Thom' de Astebury p om'es t'ras & catalla sua .&c'. Et q'd de exit'. &c'. Et q'd h'eret corpa eor ad hunc Com' &c'. Et q'd capet p'd'cos Joh'em Dapsi. Thom' Coly. Will'm fil' Mabill'. Hugon' fil' Hug' de Barkesford. & Will'm de Werington'. si ip'i inuenti &c'. Et saluo &c'. Et q'd h'eret corpa eor hic ad hunc Com' &c'. Et vic' modo mand'. q'd p'd'cus Will's Clipping distr' est p catall' ad valenc'. duor solid'. Et no' manucap' qa no' fuit Inuent^s &c'. Et q'd Thom' de Astebury. distr' est p catall' ad valenc' quadraginta denar'. & no' manucap' qa no' fuit inuent^s. &c'. Ideo Catall' Forisfact' &c'. Et nich'o^s p'ceptu' est vic' sicut alias. q'd no' om'tat &c'. q'n+ Distr' p'd'cos Will'm & Thom' p om'es t'ras &c'. Et q'd de exit'. &c'. Et q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px'm Com'. &c'. Et de p'd'co Thom' Eliot mand' idem vic'. q'd no' est inuent^s nec aliquid h'et p quod. &c'. Ideo p'ceptu' est vic' q'd no' omittat &c' q'n cap'. p'd'cm. Thom' Eliot. si &c' & saluo &c'. Et q'd h'eat corp^s eius ad px'm Com'. &c'. Et de p'd'cis Joh'e Dapsi, Thom' Coly. Will'o fil' Mabill'. Hugon' fil' Hugon' & Will'o de Werington'. mand' idem vic' q'd no' sunt inuenti. &c'. I'o sicut alias p'ceptu' est vic'. q'd capiat eos. si &c'. Et Saluo &c'. Et q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px'm Com'. &c'.

21:543 [29 April 1309]

Inq'sic'o cap' ap'd Macclesfeld'. p fine'

Will's Cap'lls Joh'is Pykard. attach' fuit. ad respondend'. Hugon' de Fouleshurst qui seq'. p d'no Rege de pl'ito quare cu' idem Hugo. que'da' equu' cuiusdam Joh'is Pikard

cissoris. p s^ruic'o d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset, & ip'm s'cdm lege' & co'suetudi'em &c' impcasse voluisset; idem Will's. simul cu' Joh'e Pikard Rob'to de Brounhull. Simon' fil' Rad'i. & aliis in ip'm Hugon' de Fouleshurst apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' fecit & ip'm v'b'auit vulnerauit & male tractauit & alia enormia &c'. & equu' p'd'cm rescussit in co'temptu' d'ni Reg^s &c'. Et vnde idem Hugo quer^r. q'd cu' ip'e die d'nica p^xa post Natiuitate' b'e Marie virg'is. anno. Reg^s nu'c s'cdo⁴⁵ apud Macclesfeld equu' cuiusdam Joh'is Pikard cissoris p s^ruic'o d'no Regi aretro existente +de aduocar' sua+ ceppisset. & impcasse voluisset, idem Will's. simul cu' p'd'cis Joh'e & aliis vi & armis Scil't gladiis &c'. in ip'm Hugon' apud Macclesfeld' insultu' fecit & ip'm v'b'auit vuln'auit. & male tractauit & alia enormia &c'. et equu' p'd'cm rescussit in co'temptu' d'ni Reg^s manifestu'. vnde dicit q'd def'iorat^s est & dampnu' h'et ad valenc'. q^adraginta libr'. & in co'temptu' d'ni Reg^s Mille libr'. Et hoc offert v'ificare p d'no Rege. Et ecia' inde pducit secta'. &c'.

Et p'd'cs Will's venit & defend' vim & iniur' & co'temptu' +q^ando+ &c'. Et dicit q'd ip'e p'd'cis die & anno n'llam t^ansgressionem eidem Hugon' fecit nec aliquem equu'. ab eo rescussit sicut ei imponit. & de hoc ponit se sup p'riam. &c'. Et p'd'cs. Hugo simil'r. Ideo p'ceptu' est vic'. q'd venire fac' ad p^xm Com' .xij. &c'. p quos. &c'. Et qui nec. &c'.

mie iij.s'

apud Macclesf'

Joh'es fil' Pymme. +xij.d'+ Henr' Geseling +xij.d'+ & Ad' Tipping +xij.d'+ in m'ia ppl'ib^s defaultis. &c'.

Iidem Joh'es. Henr' & Adam attach' fu'nt. ad respond'. eidem Hugon'. q' seq' p d'no Rege. de pl'ito q^are cu' idem Hugo que'da' equu' cuiusda' Joh'is Pikard cissor'. p s^ruic'o d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & ip'm impcasse voluisset. &c'. ijdem Joh'es fil' Pymme. Henr' & Adam. simul cu' p'd'cis Joh'e Pikard & aliis in ip'm Hugon' apud Macclesfeld. vi & armis insultu' fec'unt. & ip'm v'b'au'nt vuln'au'nt & male tractau'nt & alia enormia. &c'. & equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't. in co'te'ptu'. &c'. Et vnde ide' Hugo quer^r. q'd cu' ip'e p'd'cis die & anno apud Macclesfeld' p'd'cm equu'. p s^ruic'o d'no Regi aretro existente cepisset & i'pcasse voluisset. ijdem Joh'es fil' Pymme. Henr' & Ad'. simul cu' aliis. in ip'm Hugon' +vi & armis. scil' gladiis &c'.+ insultu' fec'unt & ip'm v'b'au'nt v'b'au'nt vulner' & male tractau'nt & alia enormia. &c'. & equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't. in co'te'ptu' d'ni Reg^s manifestu' vnde dicit q'd def'iorat^s est & dampnu' h'et ad valenc'. quadraginta libr'. & co'te'ptu' d'ni Reg^s Mille libr' Et inde pducit secta'. &c'. & ecia' offert hoc v'ificare p d'no Rege. &c'.

Et p'd'ci Joh'es. Henr' & Ad'. ven'. Et defend' vim & iniur'. q'n &c'. Et dicu't q'd ip'i su't Burgenses ville de Macclesfeld & q'd in Com' hic, nec alibi in Com' Cestris'. ex^a Burgu' d'ni Regis de Macclesfeld impl'itari no' debent, nec de aliquo pl'ito Iudicari. & hoc p concessione' d'ni Reg^s. E. p'ris Reg^s nu'c. & p carta' sua'. alias in Com' hic allocata'. Et petu't q'd eor' lib'tati no' deroget' in hac pte. vt sup^a in Com' +p^xi'o+ p'cedenti. Et p'd'cus Hugo no' potest hoc dedic'e. &c'. Ideo p'd'ca Loquela retorne't apud Macclesfeld cora' Justic'o in p^xi'o Itin'e suo ibide' t' minand'. Et d'cm est eidem Hugon' q'd tu'c sequat' ibi loquela' sua'. si sibi vid'it expedire.

21:634 [10 June 1309]

M'.iiij.s'

apud Macclesfeld

Hugo fil' Hugon^s de Barkeford +xij.d'+. Thom' Eliot +xij.d'+. Will's Clipping +xij.d'+. & Thom' de Astebur' +xij.d'+. in m'ia ppl'ib^s defaultis
Iidem Hugo, Thom'. Will's. & Thom' attach' fu'nt. ad respondend' Hugon' de Fouleshurst qui sequit' p d'no Rege de pl'ito quare cu' idem Hugo de Fouleshurst que'dam equu' cuiusda' Joh'is Pykard cissoris p denar' d'no Regi aretro existentib^s de aduocaria sua cepisset & impcasse voluisset. &c' ijdem Hugo fil' Hugon'. Thom'. Will's. & Thom'. simul

cu' Joh'e Pykard Rob'to de Brounhull. Simon' fil' Rad'i. & aliis. in ip'm Hug' de Fouleshurst apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' fec'unt & ip'm v'b'au'nt vln'au'nt & male tractau'nt & alia enormia &c'. & equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't in co'temptu' &c'. Et vnde idem Hugo +de Foul'+ quer' q'd cu' ip'e die doc^a px^a post Natiuitatem b'e Marie. anno. R^s nu'c s'cdo ¹⁴⁶. apud Macclesfeld, p'd'cm equu' p' aduocar' p'd'ca aret^o existente cepisset & impcasse voluisset; p'd'ci Hug' fil' Hug'. Thom'. Will's. & Thom'. sim'l cu' p'd'cis Joh'e & aliis vi & armis. Scil't gladiis. &c'. in ip'm Hugon' de Fouleshurst insultu' fec'unt & ip'm v'b'au'nt & male t'ctau'nt & alia enormia. &c'. & equu' p'd'cm ab eo rescusseru't, in co'temptu' d'ni Reg^s manifestu'. vnde dicit q'd det'iorat^s est & dampnu' h'et ad valenc' quadr' lj'. & +in+ conte'ptu' d'ni R^s Mille libr' Et inde pduc' secta'. &c'. Et ecia' offert hoc v'ificare p d'no Rege &c' Et p'd'ci Hugo fil' Hug' Thom' Will's. & Thom' ven' Et defend' vim & iniur' q'n. &c'. Et dicu't q'd ip'i sunt Burgenses ville de Macclesfeld et q'd in Com' hic nec alibi in Com' Cestr'. ex^a Burgu' d'ni Reg^s de Macclesfeld impl'itari non debent nec de aliquo pl'ito Judicari. Et hoc p concessione' & p carta' d'ni Reg^s. E p'ris R^s nu'c. alias in Com' hic allocatam. Et petu't q'd eor lib'tati no' deroget'. in hac pte. Et p'd'cus Hugo no' potest hoc dedic'e I'o p'd'ca Loquela retorneretur apud Macclesfeld cora' Justic'o +Cestr'+ in pxi'o Itin'e suo ibide' t'mi'anda. Et d'cm est eidem Hug' de Fouleshurst q'd tu'c sequat' ibi. si sibi vid'it expedire. &c'.

21:635 [10 June 1309]

p^r.

Hugo de Fouleshurst +q' seq' p d'no Regi+ op. se u'sus Joh'em Dapsi. Thom' Coly. Will'm fil' Mabill'. & Will'm de Werinton' de pl'ito quare eum idem Hugo quemdam equu' Joh'is Pikard cissor^s p denar' de aduocar' d'ni R^s aretro existent'. cepisset. &c' & impcasse voluisset p'd'ci Joh'es. Thom'. Will's. & Will's. simul cu' Joh'e Pykard & aliis in ip'm Hugon' apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' fec'unt. & ip'm v'b'au'nt vuln'au'nt & male tractau'nt & alia enormia &c' & equu' p'd'cm ab eo rescusseru't in conte'ptu' d'ni Reg^s &c'. Et ip'i no' ven'. Et p'ceptu' fuit vic'. q'd capet eos. si. &c'. Et saluo &c'. Et q'd h'eret corpa eor hic ad hu'c Com'. &c'. Et vic' nich' inde fecit. set mand'. q'd p'd'ci Joh'es Dapsi & alii no' sunt inue'ti &c' Ideo sicut alias p'ceptu' est vic'. q'd cap' eos. si &c'. Et saluo &c'. Et q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px'm Com'. &c'.

21:735 [22 July 1309]

p^r e'

Hugo de Fouleshurst qui sequit' p d'no Rege optulit se. v's^s Joh'em Dapsy, Thom' Coly, Will'm fil' Mabile & Will'm de Werington' de pl'ito q're cu' idem Hugo q'mdam equ' Joh'is Pikard Cissor' p denar' de aduocar' d'ni Reg^s a retro existent' cepisset &c'. & inpcare voluisset, p'd'ci Joh'es, Thom', Will's & Will's simul cu' Joh'e Pikard & aliis in ip'm Hugone' apud Macclesfeld vi & armis insultu' feceru't & ip'm verberaueru't vuln'aueru't Malet'ctaueru't & alia enormia &c' cont^a pace' &c' & equ' p'd'cm ab eo rescusseru't in contemptu' d'ni Reg^s &c' Et ip'i no' ven' Et sicut alias p'ceptu' fuit vic' q'd capet si &c' Ita q'd H'eret eos hic ad Hu'c diem &c' Et vic' modo Mandat q'd no' su't inuenti. I'o sicut pluries p'ceptu' est vic' q'd cap' eos si inuenti &c' & saluo &c' Ita q'd H'eat corpa eor ad px'm Com' &c'

21:880 [2 September 1309]

Hugo de Fouleshurst qui sequit' p d'no Rege. op. se. u's^s Joh'm Dap[sy] & Thom' [Coly Willelmum filium] Mabill' & Will'm de W[ering]ton' de pl'ito t'ns Et ip'i no' ven'. Et sicut plur' p[cept] fuit vic'] &c' Ita q'd h'eat corp^s eius hic ad hu'c diem Et vic' modo mand'

22:88 [7 October 1309]

[Hugo de Fouleshurst] qui sequit^r p^r d'no Rege op se vers^s Joh'em Dapsy Thom' Coly Will'm fil' Mabille & Will'm [de Werinton' de pl'ito] Et ip'i exacti ad hu'c Com' pⁱmo no' veniu't: I'o exigant^r s'cdo

22:174 [25 November 1309]

ex'. ij^o.

Hug' de Fouleshurst qui seq^r p^r d'no Reg' op. se. u^rsus Joh'em Dapsi Thom' Coly Will'm fil' Mabill' & Will'm de Werington'. de pl'ito t^ans. Et ip'i. ad hunc Com' exacti s'cdo. no' ven'. I'o exigant^r tercio.

22:276 [30 December 1309]

Ex.iiij^o.

Hug' de Fouleshurst qu sq^r p^r d'no Reg' op. se v^s Joh'em Dapsy Th'm Coly Will'm fil' Mabill' et Will'm de Werington' de pl'o t^ans. Et ip'i ad hunc Com' exacti T^rc'o no' ven' I'o exigant^r q^arto

22:356 [17 February 1310]

Ex^r. iiij^{to}
v^{to}

Hug' de Fouleshurst qui seq^r pro d'no Reg'. op. se. u^s. Joh'em Dapsy Th'm Coly. Will'm fil' Mabill' & Will'm de Werington' de pl'ito. t^ans. Et ip'i ad hu'c Com' exacti q^arto no' veniu't. Set Manuc' p^r Rob'tm Fox &c' I'o. &c'

22:525 [31 March 1310]

vtlag^r.

Inquir'

Hug' de Fouleshurst qui seq^r p^r d'no Reg'. op. se. u^s. Joh'em Dapsy Thom' Coly. Will' fil' Mabill' & Will' de Werington' p. t^ans. f'ca cont^a pace' &c'. Et ip'i ad hu'c Com' exact' qⁱnto no' ven', s' Manuc' p^r Rob'm Fox &c'. id'o. &c'. Et inquirend' e' de eor^u cat'.

Pocket

Hugh Pocket of Willaston sued Richard son of Richard de Chorlton for having six days earlier seized a horse of his at Willaston and kept it until it was released by William de Massey, the coroner.

Richard appeared and said that he was under-bailiff of Hugh de Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries in Cheshire; that by an inquisition it had been found that Hugh Pocket should be in avowry, because Hugh's father [Hugh] had arrived in the shire, and obtained protection in avowry, but had afterwards withdrawn. Fowlshurst was called to testify, and he confirmed that Richard was his under-bailiff, had acted on his instructions, and for the reasons given.

Pocket wanted the matter to be put to a jury, but as the matter touched on Crown property, the judge decided that the avowry rolls be searched. After several adjournments it was reported that the rolls had been searched, and Hugh Pocket the father's name had not been found.

21:267 [12 December 1308]

p^r e'

Ric'us filius Ric'i de Chorleton'. attach' fuit ad respondend'. Hugon' Poket de Wylaston'. de pl'ito quare cepit quemdam equu' ip'ius Hugon'. & illum iniuste detinuit. cont^a vad'. &c'. Et vnde idem Hugo querit' q'd. p^rd'cs Ric'us. die S'ci Nich'i. Anno. R'. R'. E. S'cdo. ¹⁴⁷ apud Wylaston' cepit quemdam equu' ip'ius Hugon' & illu' impcauit & iniuste detinuit. cont^a vad' & pleg'. quousq' deliberac'o f'ca fuit. p. Will'm de Mascy. Coronatore'. &c'. Vnde dicit q'd def'ioratus est & dampnu'. h'et ad valencia'. viginti solid'. Et inde pducit Sectam. &c'.

Et p^rd'cus. Ric'us venit. et defend' vim & iniuria'. q'n. &c'. Et dicit. q'd ip'e est s'bballiuus Hugon' de Fouleshurst. custod' aduocar' d'ni Reg' in Com' Cestris', coram quo. p. quamdam Inq'sic'iem capta'. apud [blank] comptu'. fuit. q'd. idem Hugo Poket. esse debuisset in aduocar' d'ni. Reg^s. +eo q'd p'r suus fuit adue'tici^s & fuit in aduocar'+ & se de aduocaria illa. s'btraxit. Ita q'd idem Hugo de Fouleshurst. custos &c'. sibi dedit in p^rceptis. q'd. distring^ret p^rd'cm Hugon' Poket ad respond' d'no Reg'. de concelamento & s'btracc'oe. aduocar' d'ni Reg^s. et ita p. p^rceptum p^rd'ci Hugon' de Fouleshurst cui^s subballiuus ip'e est. cogn' capc'oem. p^rd'ci equi. sine quo. &c'. & no'ie d'ni Reg^s. &c'. H'eat eu' ad px'm Com' p. auxiliu' Cur'. &c'. Ad que' Com' p^rd'cus Hugo venit. & iu'xit se p^rd'co. Ric'o. subballi'o suo. & aduoc' capc'oem p^rd'cam. occasione p^rd'ca. & no'ie d'ni Reg^s. &c'. — Et p^rd'cus Hugo. dicit. q'd pat' suus no' fuit. aduenticius. nec in aduocar' d'ni R^s. nec ip'e in aduocar' illa esse debet, et de hac ponit se sup p'riam. &c'. Et qa hⁱs v'ificac'o sup seisina' d'ni R^s no' est admittenda. quousq' sc^utent' Rot'li & inq'sic'o'es. vtrum no'ine p^rd'ci. Hugon' seu pat's sui in p^rd'cis Rot'lis sit insertu' nec ne. Id'o dat^s est eis dies vsq' ad px'm Com'. &c'. Et inf'im. &c'. Postea scrutatis Rot'lis aduoc'. qa nome' p^rd'ci Hugon'. Poket p'ris &c' in eisdem no' est inue'tum; I'o p^rcept' est vic' q'd venire fac'. ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'.

21:446 [18 March 1309]

¹⁴⁷ Friday 6 December 1308

Dies datus Hug' Poket de Wilaston' quer'; & Ric'o fil' Ric'i de Chorlton' & Hug' de Fouleshurst custod' aduocar' d'ni R^s vsq' ad px'm Com'. eo q'd iudic' sectator' &c'. Et int'im sc^utent' rot'li & inqⁱsic'oes &c'

21:480 [29 April 1309]

ad px'm

Dies datus est Hug' Poket de Wilaston; quer'; & Ric'o fil' Ric'i de Chorlton' & Hug' de Fouleshurst custodi aduocar' d'ni R^s vsq' ad px'm Com'; eo q'd iudic' sectator' no' du' &c' Et int'im sc^utent' rot'li &c'

21:551 [29 April 1309]

Dies datus Hugoni Poket de Wilaston', quer' & Hugoni de Fouleshurst custodi aduocar' d'ni Reg^s & Ric'o fil' Ric'i de Chorlton' de pl'ito capc' cuiusda' equi de audiendo iudic'o vsque ad px' Com' de audiendo iudic'o¹⁴⁸ eo q'd rotuli no' du' scrutent' & int'im sc^utent' &c'

21:600 [10 June 1309]

Dies datus est Hugoni Poket de Wilaston' quer'; & Ric'o fil' Ric'i de Chorlton' & Hugoni de Fouleshurst custodi aduocar' d'ni R^s. eo q'd iudic' &c' Et int'im sc^utent' rotuli &c'

21:721 [22 July 1309]

Dies datus est Hugoni Poket de Wilaston' quer'; & Ric'o fil' Ric'i de Chorlton' & Hugoni de Fouleshurst custodi aduocar' d'ni. R^s. de audiendo iudic'o suo &c' eo q'd iudic' no' du' &c' Et int'im sc^utent' rot'li &c'.

22:173 [25 November 1309]

Dies datus est Hugon' de Fouleshurst custodi aduoc' d'ni R^s & Hugoni Poket de Wylaston' +de aud' Judic'o suo+ de pl'ito detenc' cui^sd' Jume'ti. vsq' ad px'm Com'. &c'. eo q'd no' du' scrutent' Rot'li aduocar'. &c' Et int'im. &c'

22:291 [30 December 1309]

Dies datus est Hug' de Fouleshurst Custodi aduoc' d'ni Reg^s et Hug' Poket de Wylaston' de aud' Judic'o suo de pl'o Capt' & detenc' cui^sdam Jument. vsq' ad px^m Com' &c' eo q'd no' Scrutent' Rotuli aduoc' & Et Int'im &c'.

¹⁴⁸ sic

Peter de Povynton

7 December 1305 Peter de Poynton was attached by Hugh de Fowlshurst, as keeper of the avowries, to explain why Peter had withdrawn from avowry. Hugh said that one Ralph le Carpenter, Peter's father, died in avowry; and that Peter was his next-of-kin. Peter said that he had previously, on 1 October 1303, been claimed at the suit of the said Hugh and of William de Spurstow then keepers of the avowries; the court had found that neither Peter nor any of his ancestors had ever been in avowry. Peter now questioned whether he ought to face the same demand again. Hugh, when asked whether he could give any new reason to convict Peter, said not; and Peter was discharged.

17:136 [7 December 1305]

Pet^us de Pouynton' atach' fuit p Hug' de Foul' custodem aduocar' d'ni Com' ad .r'. quare sub^axit se de aduocar' p^rd'ca & vnde idem Hugo dicit q'd quidam Rad'us le Carpent^r pat^r ip'ius Petⁱ qui ip'e p^pinquirior est de sanguine obiit in eade' aduocar'. Et p^rd'cus Pet^us venit & dicit q'd ip'e alias calu'p' fuit ad sectam p^rd'ci Hug' & Will' de Sp^rstowe t'nc custodu' aduocar' p^rd'ce cora' Will' Trussel Justic' Cestr' ad Com' Cestr' die M^ort^s p^xa post f'm s'ci Mich' anno. r'.r'. E. xxxj.¹⁴⁹ de hoc q'd sub^axit se de aduocar' p^rd'ca eo q'd p^rd'cus Rad'us obiit in aduocar' p^rd'ca &c'. Ad quem diem idem Pet^us venit & dixit q'd ip'e n^c antec' sui nu'q^am in p^rd'ca aduocar'eru't n^c de iur' esse debent, & de hoc posuit se sup p'riam & p^rd'ci Will' & Hugo simil'r Ita q'd tu'c tempe fuit p q'd ide' Pet^us n^c aliquis an'cessor suor in eadem aduocar' extiteru't n^c de iur' esse debueru't ppt^r quod cons' fuit q'd p^rd'cus reced'et quiet^s, vnde petit iud'm exquo alias p cons' Cur' recessit inde quiet^s si it'ato resp' debeat p^rd'cus Hugo dic'e pot'it p d'no Com' q'd idem Petrus alia r'one de p^rd'ca aduocar' debet on'ari Et p^rd'cs Hugo p'sens in Cur' ques' si quid aliud h'eat v'l p Com' dic'e sciat q^am prius dixit ad on'and' p^rd'cm Pet^m de aduocar' p^rd'ca dicit q'd no' Et qa suffic' constat Cur' p inspecc'om rotulor q'd p^rd'cus Pet^us alias recessit quiet^s Et p^rd'cus Hugo n^c aliquis alius p iur' Com' aliquid ostendit q^am p'ius p quod idem Pet^us ad sup..... p^rd'cm debeat Cons' est q'd ... p^rd'cus Petrus quiet^s sicut p'us. saluo alias iur' Com' &c'.

¹⁴⁹ Tuesday 1 October 1303

Reginald de Puddington

Richard son of Robert le Brown on Monday 2 March 1293 seized an ox from Richard de Massey in his house at Puddington, and drove it to James de Poole's house at Ashfield, until it was recovered on Tuesday by Bartholomew, a sworn bailiff of the king.

Richard Massey sued Richard Brown, who defended himself by saying that one Reginald de Puddington died in the king's avowry, and the ox was seized as heriot.

Richard Massey said that Reginald had been his tenant, and as such the heriot belonged to him. The matter was put to a jury, and Brown was amerced,

7:275 [26 May 1293]

p^r. Ric's fil' Rob'i le Broun attach' fuit ad respondd' Ric'o de Mascy de Putyngton' de pl'o capc' au'ior. vnde querit'. q'd die lune in f'o s'ci Cedde hoc anno.¹⁵⁰ p^rd'cs Ric's venit, & quendam bouem suu' ad domu' suam apd Pudington' cepit & ad domu' Jacobi de Pull ap'd Ahssefeld fugauit, & ibidem inpcavit. vsq' diem M^artis sequ', q'd p^rd'cs bos delib'atus fuit p' que'da' Barth'm Balliu' d'ni d'ni Regis iuratum. vnde dicit q'd def'ioratus est & dampnu' h't. &c'. & duc' sectam

Et p^rd'cs Ric's fil' Rob'i venit & defend' vim &c' qn'. &c' q'd quidam Regin's de Pudyngton' fuit homo d'ni Regis p' aduocar' & in cuius aduocar' ip'e obiit, ac ip'e Ric's fil' Rob'i est Ball's aduocar' p^rd'car, p^rd'cm bouem cepit de catall' p^rd'ci Reginald' in aduocar' p^rd'ca existent', no'ie p'ncipal' d'no Regi contingentis. Et sic aduocat p^rd'cam capc' esse iustam

Et p^rd'cs Ric's de Pudyngton' dicit q'd p^rd'cs Regin's fuit tene's suus. & tenuit de eo t'ram ad t'nu' &c'. r'oe cuius tenure quoddam p'ncipal' s'i debet accidere. & quem boue' tamq^am de tenente suo no'ie p'ncipal' ip'e seisiuit. Dicit eciam q'd quidam Rob'ts de Broun statim p't mortem ip'ius Reginaldi accessit ad domum suam & tamq^am Ball's Regis seisiuit duo au'ia de bonis p^rd'ci Reginaldi r'oe p'ncipal' p' eo q'd p^rd'cs Regin's extitit in aduocar' d'ni. R^s. p' quod p^rd'cs Ric's nullam acc'oem h're pot'it nec de bonis p^rd'ci Reginaldi r'oe aduocar' p^rd'car de iure deberet int'o mitt'e. Et q'd p^rd'cs Rob'ts tamq^am Ball's aduocar' p'ius seisiu'at q'cquid d'no Regi p' mortem p^rd'ci Reginaldi r'oe aduocar' accidere pot'it. Et hoc petit q'd inq'rat' Et p^rd'cs Ric's fil' Rob'i simil'r I'o p'cept' est vic' q'd venire faciat .xij. &c'. p' quos &c' qui nec &c'. ad recognoscend' &c'

7:325 [7 July 1293]

m'ia m'ia xijd'

D Ric'o fil' Rob'ti le Brun p' iniusta distr' f'ca Ric'o de Mascy de Podington'

¹⁵⁰ Monday 2 March 1293

Adam Runcorn

On 5 March 1310 in Runcorn, John Cotton seized a mare belonging to Adam de Runcorn at Adam's house and drove it to his own home. On 31 March 1310 Adam sued him in the county court, claiming 10s damages.

John claimed, as keeper of the king's avowries, that when Hugh de Fowlshurst was keeper, Adam had of his own free will entered into avowry, paying twopence a year to the king. The twopence was now in arrear, so John had seized the mare.

Adam said that he had never entered into avowry before Hugh or before any other keeper. A jury was to be summoned; but the outcome is not on the record.

22:494 [31 March 1310]

p^r e'

Joh' de Coton' senior attach' fuit ad respond' Ade de Ronkore de pl'ito quare cepit quodda' Jum'tu' ip'ius Ad' & illud iniuste detinuit &c'. Et vnde id'm Ad' q'r q'd p'd'cs Joh' die M'cur' px' post f'm S'ci Cedde anno regni Regis nu'c t'cio ¹⁵¹ apud Ronkore ad domu' ip'ius Ad' & illud fugavit vsq' ad domu' p'd'ci Joh' & ibi illud iniuste detinuit & adh' detinet cont^a vadiu' &c', vnde dic' q'd de'iorat^s est & dampnu'h'et ad valenc' dece' solidor_ & inde pduc' sectam &c'. Et p'd'cus Joh' ven' & def' vim & iniur' q'n &c', & tamq^a Custos aduoc' d'ni Reg^s in Com' Cestr' aduoc' capt' iust' &c', qa dic', q'd id'm Ad' g^atis & spontanea voluntate cora' Hug' de Fouleshurst nup custod' aduoc' &c'. p'decessore ip'i^s Joh' int^auit se in aduoc' d'ni Reg^s, & se on'abat^r de duob^s den' d'no Regi p annu' reddend' p aduoc' p'd'ca. Et qa duo den' aret^o fu'unt die capc'o'is &c' aduoc' capc'om iusta' &c'. no'ie d'ni Reg^s &c'. Et p'd'cs Ad' dic', q'd ip'e coram p'd'co Hug' nec coram aliquo alio custod' aduoc' &c'. int^auit se in aduoc' p'd'ca nec se on'abat^r de aliquo den' d'no Regi redd'o p aduoc' p'd'ca, & de hoc ponit se sup p'riam, & p'd'cs Joh' si'lr Id'o p^r. e'. vic' q'd ven' fac' ad px' Com' .xij. &c'. p quos &c'.

¹⁵¹ Thursday 5 March 1310

Shepherd

12 November 1308 John Cotton, as keeper of the king's avowries, sued Richard le Shepherd on the basis that everyone settling in the earldom should be in avowry and pay a certain agreed amount each year; that Richard had been settled there for eight years but had paid nothing, and should pay £40 for contempt.

Richard acknowledged that he was a newcomer, but said that that was no reason for him to be in avowry. It was not the custom of the country that every new settler be in avowry, except in the case of fugitives from justice for felonies committed elsewhere. He himself was born in Derbyshire, and lived there as a law-abiding man, and came into Cheshire as a law-abiding man living in the peace and loyalty of the king. The jury agreed that Richard was born in Derbyshire, lived there as a law-abiding man, and that he had not moved to Cheshire on account of any crime elsewhere; and that the custom of the country was not that any newcomer should be in avowry. Cotton was amerced.

21:181 [12 November 1308]

M'. alibi

Ric'us Le Shepehird attach' fuit ad respondend' eidem Joh'i Custodi, qui sequit' p d'no Reg'. de eo q'd cum om'es aduenticii infra potestatem & dominiu' +Regis+ Comit' Cestr' ve'ientes. in aduocaria d'ni Com' esse debeant & denarios s'cdm Maius. & minus. put cu' custodib's aduocar' p'd'car' co'uenire poteru't +d'no R' annuati' redd'e debeant+. idem. Ric'us. aduenticius est & moratur infra potestatem & d'nium Com' Cestr'. & infra p'otate' illa' ia' steterit p octo annos. & amplius & se de aduocaria illa subtraendo; alique' denar' d'no Com'. p aduocar' illa redd'e cont'adicit in Contemptum d'ni +R^s+ Com' manifestu' +&+ dampnu' ip'ius +R^s+ Com' quadraginta libr'. Et hoc ofert v'ificare p d'no Reg'. &c'. Et p'd'cus Ric'us venit. Et bene cognouit q'd ip'e aduentitius est, et dicit q'd hac de causa in aduocare d'ni. Com'. esse no' debet, Quia dicit +q'd no' est consuetudo p'rie+ q'd. om'es aduenticii. aduocaria' d'ni Com' s'bire debent. nisi tantu' fugitiu qui fugam fec'nt de aliis terris & potestat' ppt' felonia' co'missam, & ut ven'int s'b potestate & d'no p'd'ci Com'. moratur'. Et dict. q'd ip'e natus fuit in Com' Derb' & ibi co'uersat' fuit vt legal' ho'. & in ptib^s istis venit vt legal' h'o. existens ad pacem & fidem d'ni Reg^s., & no' vt fugitiu ppt' aliqua' felonia' aliunde ppet'am. Et quesitus. qual'r se velit inde acq'etare q'd no' vt fugitiu ppt' felonia'. alibi co'missam rac'one refugii h'endin in ptib^s istis venit moraturus; dicit q'd no' ob illam c'am nec ppt' aliquod delictu' alibi co'missu', immo. vt fidelis. & legalis & lib' eundi & redeundi p vna'quamq' t'ram. & de hoc ponit se sup p'riam. &c'. I'o p'ceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac'. ad px'm Com' .xij. &c' p quos &c' — Postea ad Com' die M'rtis px^a post festu' S'ce Lucie ¹⁵² ven'. ta' p'd'cs Joh'es custos &c' q'm p'd'cs Ric'us & si'lit' .xij. &c'. Qui dicu't sup sacr'm suu'. q'd p'd'cus Ric'us. Nat' fuit in Com' Derb'. & venit in ptib^s istis vt legal' & fidelis. & no' vt fugitiu ppt' aliqua' felonia' alicubi f'cam. Et dicu't. q'd no' est consuetudo in ptib^s Cestr'. q'd om'es alieni. seu aduenticii sint in aduocaria d'ni. R^s. nisi tantu' illi. qui +fugitiui sunt &+ ven' infra potestate' Com' ad h'end' ibi refugiu' ppt' aliqua' felonia' aliunde f'cam. uel aliud delictu' p quo infra potestate'. Com' moratur^s intendit Et qa comptu' est q'd p'd'cs Ric'us. in ptib^s Cestr' venit vt fidel' & legal'.

*& no' ut fugitiuus ppt' aliquod delictu'. co'missu' in aliqua p'ria. et q'd ex consuetudi'e no'
debet esse in aduocar' &c'. Ideo p'd'cs Ric'us inde q'etus. & p'd'cs Custos in m'ia*

Robert Sherd

Robert de Sherd complained against Roger son of Adam Walran chaplain of Over Alderley, in a claim that on 23 December 1307 Robert had seized a horse of his in his field at Fulshaw, taken it home, and kept it there until 8 January 1308, when he surrendered it upon being served with a writ. Robert reckoned the damage that he had suffered at ½ mark (6s 8d).

Roger appeared and stated that he was a bailiff of Hugh de Fowlshurst and John de Cotton, keepers of the earl's avowries in Cheshire. They say that Robert had negotiated with them on 6 November 1307 to pay them five shillings that he owed them one month later, on 6 December 1307; he having failed to do so, they seized the horse.

Robert denied any such arrangement, nor that he owed them a single penny. The matter being difficult, if not impossible, for an outsider to judge, Robert called for the process called 'waging law', when, backed by good sureties, he made an inviolable oath to the truth of his testimony. Roger was amerced 12d for having taken the horse.

20:290 [23 January 1308]

Rog^rus fil' Ad Walran Cap'lli de Oure Aldredlegh' sum' fuit ad respondend' Rob'to de Scherd de pl'ito capc' vnus equi &c'. Et vnde idem Rob'us quer' q'd die sabb'ti p^x^a ante Nat' d'ni. Anno r' R^s nu'c p'mo.¹⁵³ in villa de Fulschaghe in quodam clauso ip'ius Rob'i d'cus Rog'us cepit quemdam equu' suu' & illum fugauit vsq' ad domu' ip'ius Rog'i in [blank] & ibi illu' impcauit & impcatum detinuit. a d'co die sabb'ti. vsq' diem Lune p^x^a post E'phiam d'ni.¹⁵⁴ cont^a vad' & pleg'. quousq' deliber' fuit p br'e d'ni R^s vnde dicit q'd del'iorat^s est & dampnu' h'et ad valencia' d'i m^arc'. Et inde pducit sectam. &c'.

Et Rog'us venit & defend' vim & iniur' q'n. &c'. Et dicit q'd ip'e est ball's. Hugon' de Fouleshirst & Joh'is de Cotu' custodu' aduocar' d'ni Com' +in Com' Cestr'+ & p p^rceptu' p'd'cor custodu' co'gnouit capc'oem p'd'ci eqⁱ, qui +& quid' custodes+ veneru't. & se Junxeru't eide' Rog'o in respondendo. et aduocant capc'oem bona' & iustam. &c'. Dicu't e'im. q'd idem Rob'us r'ocinatus p eosdem de +die &c'. lune p^x' post fest' om'iu' s'cor pxi'o p^rt'ito+¹⁵⁵ eo q'd esse +debuit+ in aduocar'' d'ni Com' et de aduocaria illa se s'btraxit firma' sua' p aduocar' sua. no' soluendo. Pro resp^ctu h'endo ad se consulend' & certu' responsum inde faciend'. p^rmisit eisdem custodib^s q'inq' solid'. soluend' ad festu' S'ci Nich'i pxi'o p^rf^rito +sequ's+¹⁵⁶ Et qa p'd'cus Rob'us p'd'cos denarios ad p'd'cm t'm' no' soluit, s'cdm consuetudine' aduocar' semp acten^s vsitatam aduocant capc'oem p'd'cam iustam. &c'.

Et p'd'cus Rob'us dicit. q'd p huiusmod' respectu' h'endo nu'cq^a p^rmisit eis q'inq' solid', nec aliq'm denariu'. Et hoc patus est fac'e p lege' sua' uel sicut Cur' consid'au'it, I'o consid'atu' est q'd vad' eis legem .&c'. ppl' Rog'i de Fulschawe & Will'i de Scherd, +postea+ fecit Lege'. I'o. quiet^s. &. p'd'cs Rog'us in m'ia. vt patet in pl'itis

20:447 [23 April 1308]

¹⁵³ Saturday 23 December 1307

¹⁵⁴ Monday 8 January 1308

¹⁵⁵ Monday 6 November 1307

¹⁵⁶ Wednesday 6 December 1307

m'ia xij.d'

Rogerus fil' Ade Walran Capellani de Ourealdredeleg^h' p iniusta detenc' cui^sd' equi
u^s Rob'tu' Scherd in m'ia p pleg' [blank]

Margery and William de Somerford

Hugh de Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries, attached Margery daughter of Geoffrey de Somerford and Gona her sister and William son of the same Margery, to answer why, in disinheritance and contempt of the lord earl, they had withdrawn themselves from his avowry. Fowlshurst claimed that one Henry son of Geoffrey de Somerford (Margery and Gona's father) died in avowry, and that they should support the avowry.

Margery, Gona and William say that Fowlshurst had unjustly distrained them, because Henry had two sons, Richard Rase and John le Rowe. On Henry's death Richard Rase bore the avowry charge, as his next-of-kin. After Richard's death, John le Rowe (who was still alive, and was present in court) should bear the charge, as Richard's next-of-kin. It was therefore agreed that John le Rowe should henceforth be liable for the avowry.

17:522 [6 September 1306]

Mar[g]ia fil' Galfr'i de Som[er]ford & Gona soror ei^s & Will'us fil' eiusdem Marg'ie attach' fuer' p Hug' de Foul' Custodem aduoc' d'ni [Co]m' Cestr' ad resp' quare ad exheredac'om & in contemptu' d'ci Com' sub^axer' se de aduocaria sua & in e[a] min^s iuste exist'e cont^adicunt Et vnde idem Hugo dic' q'd quide' Henr' fil' Galfr' de S[o]m'ford pat' [p'd'ca]r Marg'ie & Gona auus p'd'ci Will'i cui^s hered' ip'i sunt obiit in aduoc' p'd'ca quare ip'i de iure [deb]ent' [su]ppportare onus aduocar' p'd'ce vt ppinquior' de sangi'e p'd'co Henr'. Et p'd'ci Marg'ia Gona & Will'us [ve]n' & dicunt q'd p'd'cus Hugo eos occ'one p'd'ca iniuste distrixit qa dicunt q'd p'd'cus Henr' h'uit duos filios v[id't] Ric'm Rase & Joh'em le Ro post cui^s decessum Ric'us Rase vt ppinquior de sanguine p'd'ci Henr' te....a sua soluit aduocar' p'd'cam & in eadem obiit, post cui^s morte' p'd'cus Joh'es le Ro fr' & her' Ric'i R[ase] adhuc supstes est de iure supportare debet onus eiusde' aduocar'. vnde pet' iud'm si cu' Joh'e seu exitu eiusdem Joh'is p eadem aduoc' distringi deberet. Et p'd'cus Joh'es le Ro p'sens [in] Curia cognouit ip'm esse fr'em & heredem p'd'ci Ric'i qui obiit in eade' aduocaria & q'd idem Joh' [in fut]uro sustin'e debet onus eiusde' aduocar'. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'cus Joh'es decet'o rec' in aduoc' [p'd'ca] distringat' ac illam aduocar' Et p'd'ci Marg'ia Gona & Will' inde s'n die adpresens iure d'ci Com'.

William de Stanlow

John de Cotton, keeper of the king's avowries, claimed William de Stanlow to be in avowry, because Richard, William's father, had been. The outcome of this case is not found, but attached to the court record is a schedule [12:76] of names cancelled from the avowry roll.

12:54 [15 December 1299]

Will's de Stanlowe calumpn' p Joh'em de Coton' custodem aduoriar d'ni Reg^s q'd deberet e'e in aduocar' d'ni R^s eo q'd Ric'us de Stanlowe pat^r p^rd'ci Will'i obiit in aduocar' R^s, & d'cus Will's inuenit pleg' ad respondend' p^rd'co custodi ad p^rx'm Com', ppl'. Ade le Venur,

Thomas de Weston

Master Roger de Alderley, keeper of the king's avowries, 23 August 1300 charged Thomas son of Adam de Weston to take his place in the avowry which Roger de Stocks his uncle had filled. Thomas said that Roger had had a daughter Cecilia who supported the avowry; Cecilia had had issue one Robert, now living, who duly supports the avowry. An inquisition found in favour of Thomas in this assertion.

12:326 [23 August 1300]

Thomas filius Ade de Weston' inculpat^s fuit p Mag'rm Rog'm de Aldridelegh' Custodem aduocar' d'ni Regis in Com' Cestrie q'd debuit [stare] in aduocar' d'ni Regis p eo q'd Rog'us de Stockes aunc'ls ip'ius Thome stetit in aduocar' d'ni Reg^s, de quo quidem Rog'o exiit q^ad[em] Cecilia q' onus illud supportauit tempe suo, ac de ip'a Cecilia exiit quidam Rob's n'c supstes & qui supportat on^s aduocar' [Et] q'd id'm Thom' nu'q^am on'at^s fuit de aduocar' p^rd'cis, nec debet e'e; ponit se sup pat'am. Et f'ca inde diligenti Inquis' p que' comptu' fuit q'd p^rd'cus d'ns Rex seis' est de s^ruic'o illo. p man^s p^rd'ci Rob'i n'c supstitis. p quod cons' fuit q'd p^rd'cs Thom' inde remaneat quiet^s impp'm

Robert de Willaston

9 September 1298 mention is made of an inquisition between John de Cotton, keeper of the king's avowries, and one Robert de Willaston, concerning the taking of a mare. John de Cotton appears frequently in this court roll (10:53 89 92 102 136 200 210 253 330 350 374 378), but this case is otherwise unrecorded.

10:344 [9 September 1298]

D Adam de Weston' quia no' venit ad inquis', int' Joh' de Coten', custod' aduocar' R^s. &c'. & Rob' de Wilaston', de pl'o cap. vni^s Jum'ti.