

Unnamed

Richard Buttercake of Oakhill complained that on Saturday 3 September 1300 Matthew de Alpraham had seized a mare of his at Oakhill and kept it until it was released by the royal bailiff. Matthew said in court that one John Bernard, father of Christiana wife of Jordan de Mottram, held various premises, including that on which the mare was taken, from Matthew at a rent of 5s and one pig per annum at Martinmas. This being in arrear, he had taken the mare as a distraint upon Jordan and Christiana.

Buttercake said that he was only a tenant for years from Jordan and Christiana, and called for them to testify. They said that John Bernard had never owed any rent of service for that land to Alpraham. The jury said that the mare was seized on land that did not belong to Alpraham: Buttercake was awarded damages and Alpraham was amerced.

13:142: 21 March 1301

p^r. [m'ia] alibi

Ric'us Bot'cake de Okhull quer' de Math'o de Alph^am eo q'd ip'e die sabb'ti p^x^a ante f'm Nat' b'te Mar' anno .xxvii^o.¹⁰⁵ cepit quoddam Jume'tum suu' apud Okhull, & illud iniuste detinuit + con^a vadiu' &c' + quousq' delib'at' fuit p ball'm. R^s. ad dampnu' suu', &c'.

Et p^rd'cus Math's venit & defend' vim &c', & aduocat capc'om illam iuste esse f'cam, eo q'd quidam Joh'nes Bernard pater Cristiane vx'is Jordani de Mottrom. tenuit de eod'm Math'o p^rd'cm ten' vbi p^rd'cm Jumentu' captu' fuit sim'l cu' aliis ten' p homag' & s'uic' .v.s'. & p redditu' vni^s porci p annu', ad f'm s'ci Martini, & quia homag' & fidelitas s'uicij p^rd'ci ei aretro fu'u't de p^rd'cis ten', cepit ip'e p^rd'cm Jum'tum in ten' p^rd'co sup p^rd'cos Jordanu' & Cristiana', tanq^am super tene'tes suos, Et p^rd'cus Ric's Botercake dicit q'd nich' clam' in p^rd'cis ten', nisi t'm annor ex dimissione p^rd'cor Jordani & Cristiane sine quib^s no' potest on'are p^rd'cm ten', & petit de eis auxiliu', Qui modo ven' p sum' & d'nt q'd p^rd'cs Math's nu'q^am seis' fuit de homag' aut aliquo s'uicio p manus Joh'nis Bernard p'ris p^rd'ce Cristiane de illo ten' vbi p^rd'cm Jume't' captu' fuit, & hoc off'unt u'ificare, Et p^rd'cs Math's dicit q'd ip'e fuit seis' de p^rd'cis s'uiciis p man^s p^rd'ci Joh'nis r'one d'cor ten'. & hoc petit q'd inquir' &c', Ideo p^r. e' vic' q'd venire fac' .xij. &c'.

Jur' d'nt sup sacr'm suu', q'd p^rd'cus Math's cepit p^rd'cm Jumentu' ex^a ten' suu', I'o cons' est q'd p^rd'cus Ric'us recupet dampna sua que taxant' &c' Et p^rd'cus Math's p iniusta capc'oe &c' ppl' Hug' de Caluileg', & Will'i de Bulkileg', Et Rog'us de Clotton' & Thom' del Brok de Leghton' Jur' illi^s inquis' in m'ia qa no' ven'

¹⁰⁵ Saturday 3 September 1300

Benedict de Bickerton sued Ralph Butler senior, Nicholas le Warrener and Peter his son for having on Wednesday 14 April 1305 seized a bullock and a calf of his in one field in Coddington, and on the next day two ewes in a field there called Middle Field, and drove them to Ralph's manor of Bechen where they kept them until they were recovered by the earl's bailiff.

Warrener said that Benedict held from him two messuages, 7 acres of land and half an acre of meadow in Coddington by homage and fealty and by the service of doing suit at Ralph's court in Coddington from fortnight to fortnight, and by paying sixteen pence a year, plus finding a man to repair Ralph's mill at Coddington whenever necessary. Benedict had been summoned to go to Broxton Wood to carry timber to repair the mill, but did not attend, so Ralph distrained him of the bullock and calf. When Benedict again failed to attend, Ralph took the ewes. Benedict replied that it had been impossible to do that service on his own without the help of his neighbours who had similar obligations. The visne of Coddington and the visne of Broxton Wood were summoned, and they agreed with Benedict; Ralph was amerced and ordered to pay Benedict 40d damages.

16:146: 22 June 1305

[blank] *esson' Benedicti de Bykerton' op. se. u^s. Nich'm le Warener & Petru' fil' [eiusdem Nich' in pl'ito] capc' au' Et ip'i no' ven' Et vic' mand' q'd Rob'us fil' Pet' de Codinton' Nich'm Rob'ti fil' Petri de Codinton' & Nich'm de eadem in m'ia I'o p^r est vic' [q'd] distr' p'd'cos Nich' & Petru' px' Com' p'd'co Bened'co de pl'ito &c'*

16:169: 3 August 1305

p^r. Da'pna. xl.d'. M'ia. alibi

Rad'us le Botiler senior atach' fuit ad r'. Bened'co de Bykerton' de pl'ito capc' au'. Et vnde idem Bened'cus quer' q'd p'd'cus Rad'us simul cum Nich' le Warener & Petro fil' eiusd' Nich' die M'cur' px^a post Pasch' florid' anno r'.r'.E. xxxiiij^o.¹⁰⁶ in villa de Codinton' in quod' loco qui vocat' Vldostifeld¹⁰⁷ cepit vnu' bouicul' & vnum vitul' ip'ius Benedicti. Preterea idem Rad'us simul cu' p'd'cis Nich' & Petro die Jouis px' sequens cepit duas oues suas in eadem villa in quodam loco vocato Middelfeld & au'ia illa fugauit vsq' ad Man'ium ip'ius Rad'i de Bechene & illa ibid' c^a vad' & pleg' inpcata detin'unt quousq' delib'at' fuerunt p ball' d'ni Com' vnde dicit q'd deter' est & dampna h'et ad val' C.s'. & inde pducit sectam. Et p'd'cus Rad'us venit & def' vim & iniur' q'n &c', & b'n aduocat p'd'cas capc' esse iustas, dicit enum q'd idem Bened'cus tenet de eo duo Mesuag' septem acr' t're & dimid' acr' p^ati in villa de Cudinton' p homag' & fidelitatem & p s'uicium faciend' sectam ad cur' ip'ius Rad'i apud¹⁰⁸ de Cudi'ton' de quindena in quindenam & redd' inde annuatim sexdecim den' & inueniend' vnu' homine' cu' sumptib^s suis pp'is in auxil' reparac'ois molend' ip'ius Rad'i apud Cudyn-ton' vbicumq' & q'ncunq' contigit ip'm p'muniri vnno¹⁰⁹ die ante diem h'i^s opis faciendo, ac idem Bened'cus sufficient' p'munit^s fuit die M'rt^s px^a post Pasch' Florid' anno sup^ad'co de veniendo apud Broxenwode die M'cur' px' sequens ad

¹⁰⁶ Wednesday 14 April 1305

¹⁰⁷ ?

¹⁰⁸ sic

¹⁰⁹ sic

faciend' cariag' de quadam pte Meremii p reparac'oe Molend' p'd'ci. Et qa idem Bened'cus die M'cur' sup^ad'co non venit ad p'd'cm locum faciend' cariag' put debuit & [ip'e] p'r'munitus fuit cepit idem Rad'us p'd'cos bouiculum & vitul' & eodem die M'cur' scire fecit eide' Bened'co q'd iterato fuisset in auxil' circa reparac'om eiusdem Molend' +die Jouis px' seque's+ ad que' die' idem Bened'cus venire no' curauit ppt' quod idem Rad'us cape fecit p'd'cas oues, & sic p s'uic' & consuetudinib^s sibi aretro existentib^s distr' ip'e p'd'cm Bened'cm in feodo suo vt vnu' tenente' suu' sicut ei b'n licuit. Et p'd'cus Bened'cus bene cogn' q'd ip'e p'r'munit^s fuit int'essendi apud Brexenwode die M'cur' sup^ad'co ad que' diem venit & null' de vicinis suis eiusd' d'nii ibidem inuenit qui h'r^s s'uic' simul cu' ip'o tenebant^r ad faciend' ip'e q' solus absq' vicinis suis tale cariag' fac'e no' potuit post s'uic' suu' ad dieta' illam sic oblatum recessit & expostf'co die Jouis sup^ad'co venit assistendi in auxil' repar' eiusd' Molend' put p'r'munit^s fuit & quod ei competebat in hac pte ad dieta' illam suffic' execut^s fuit, ita q'd dieb^s p'd'cis aliqua defalta v'sus eum occasio'ib^s p'd'cis eum semp ad ea que ei incumbebat dieb^s p'd'cis fu'at pat^s & hoc petit q'd inquir' & p'd'cus Rad'us simil'r I'o. p'r. est vic' q'd tam de visn' de Cudynton' q'm de visn' de Broxenwode venire faciat ad px' Com' .xij. &c'. p quos &c' qui n^c &c' Postea continuato p'cessu vsq' ad diem M'rt^s px^a post f'm s'ci Mich¹¹⁰ ven' ptes p'd'ce & simil'r .xij. qui dicunt sup sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cus Bened'cus patus fuit dieb^s & locis ad faciend' s'uicia que ei incumbebat, Ita q'd in p'd'co Bened'co nich' remisit quin s'uicia debita fuissent f'ca. Et q'd p'd'cus Rad'us cepit au'ia p'd'ci Bened'ci iniuste ad dampnu' ip'ius Bened'ci quadr' den'. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'cus Bened'cus recu'pet dampna sua. u^s p'd'cm Rad'm que p eosd' taxant^r ad quadr' den' et p'd'cus Rad' in m'ia.

¹¹⁰ Tuesday 5 October 1305