

Richard de Mobberley

Richard de Mobberley said that when, as the royal bailiff, he tried to seize a mare belonging to Thomas de Lostock at Plumley by way of distraint for a debt to the king, Thomas had rescued it from him. Hugh de Coppenhall said that when, as the royal bailiff, he tried to seize a horse belonging to Thomas de Lostock at Coppenhall by way of distraint for a debt to the king, Thomas had rescued it from him. Thomas completely denied the charges, and the jury found in his favour.

20:201: 7 November 1307

Thomas de Lostok. atach' fuit ad r'nd' d'no Regi Et vnde Ric's de Motteburgh' legh' Ball's eiusd'm Reg's. q' p' eo seq' dicit q'd cu' ip'e. fec' q'dam. distr'. sup' ip'm Thoma' p' debito d'ni Reg's p' quodd' lument' ap'd Plu'legh' inuent' Id'm Thom' p'd'cam distr' o'io rescussit in co'te'p' d'ni Reg's. &c'. & hoc patus. est. p' Rege v'ficare

Et id'm Thom' ven'. & def'. vi' &c'. om'em conte'pt' &c'. Et dicit q'd nullu' rescussu' ei fecit sic'd ei impon. Et de hoc pon' se sup' p'riam. Et p'd'cs Ric's simil'r. I'o p' e'. vic' q' ven' fac' .xij. &c' p' quos &c'. ad recogn' &c'. — Jur' dicu't sup' sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cs Thom' nullu' rescussu' ei. inde fecit. I'o consid'atu' est. q'd p'd'cs Thomas eat inde s'n. die.

Id'm Thom' atach' fuit ad. r'nd' d'no Regi +de pl'o. rescuss^s+ Et vnde Hugo de Copenhale. Ball'us d'ni. Regis qui p' eo. seq'. dicit q' cu' ip'e fec'. q'd'. distr'. sup' ip'm. Thom'. p' que'dam. equ'. capt' ap'd Copenhale p' debito d'ni Regis id'm Thom'. p'd'cam distr' o'io rescussit in conte'pt' d'ni reg's Et hoc patus est p' Rege. verificare

Et p'd'cs Thom'. ven'. & def'. vim & iniur'. &c'. & om'em conte'pt' &c'. Et. dicit. q' null'm rescussu' ei fecit sic'd. ei. impon'. Et de hoc pon' se sup' p'riam. Et p'd'cs Hugo simil'r I'o p' e' vic' q'd ve'ire fac' xij. &c'. p' quos &c'. q'd sint hic &c'. ad recogn'. &c'. — Jur'. dicu't sup' sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cus Thomas nullu' rescussu' fecit p'd'co Hugo'i n^c. aliq's alius p' eu'. — I'o consid' est q'd p'd'cs Thomas eat inde s'n die

20:248: 12 December 1307

m'ia iij.s'

Joh'es de Croxton' +xij.d'+. Ad' de Clayton' +xij.d'+. & Thom' de Croselegh' +xij.d'+. qa no' ven' in Inq'sic'oe int' d'nm Reg' & Thom' de Lostok in m'ia &c'

Richard de Mobberley, the lord king's bailiff for the hundred of Bucklow, presented that when he went with Adam Peacock, his under-bailiff, to take a horse to levy a debt due to the king, Henry le Norris, Alan his son, Richard Smolt and John de Hayteley attacked Peacock and rescued the horse. Henry le Norris appears previously from time to time in the pleas, but mostly as a juror.¹²⁰

21:642 [10 June 1309]

p^r

¹²⁰ 4:326 355; 5:42 170; 7:153 371; 8:147 204; 9:17; 12:292; 17:669 682; 19:184; 21:642

Presentatu' fuit p Ric'm de Modburlegh ball'm d'ni Reg^s Hundred' de Buckelowe q'd cum Adam Pecok s'ball's suus cepisse quemdam equu' apud [blank] p debito d'ni Reg' leuand', Henr' Le Norreys. Alan^s fil' eiusdem Henr' Ric's Smolt & Joh'es de Haytelegh'. in ip'm Ad' vi & armis insultu' fec'unt & equu' p'd'cm rescusseru't. in co'temptu' d'ni Regis Et p'd'ci Alan^s. Ric'us. & Joh'es. no' ven'. nec su't attach'ti. I'o p'ceptu' est vic' q'd attach' eos. q'd sint ad px'm Com'. &c'. Et p'd'cs Henr' inuent^s in Cur' attach' est p Math'm de Becheton' & Ric'm le Roter. & h'et die' vsq' ad px'm Com' &c'

Adam Peacock had previously been embroiled in a case when he and William de Glazebrook were accused of having appropriated moneys raised in performing their office.

16:19 [27 April 1305]

p^r.

Prec' est vic' q'd ven' faciat ad px'm Com' Will' de Glasebrok & Adam Pecok ad respondend' Rog'o fil' Ric'i de Lacheford de pl'ito q'd aquiete't eu' de .v. sol' quos r'one officii sui fieri fecit de terr' & catall' suis pleg' de ps' Will' Dayners & Joh'es de Ringestede. Et p'd'cus Rog'us po. lo suo. Joh' de Ri'gsted Rob'us fil' Will'i de Huxl'

16:48 [27 April 1305]

Joh'es de Ringestede op. se. u^s. Will' de Glasebrok & Adam Pecok de pl'ito q'd aquiete't eu' de .xij.d' Et ip'i no' ven'. Et p^r. fuit vic' q'd ven' fac'et eos q'd fuissent hic &c'. Et vic' mand' q'd attach' eos p manuc' &c' quer' no'ia manuc' &c'. Et p^r est vic' q'd distr' p'd'cos Will' & Ada' p om's terr' &c' Et q'd de exit' &c' Et q'd h'eat corpora eor^u ad r' p'd'co Joh'i de pl'ito p'd'co.

16:87 [1 June 1305]

M'ia.vj.d'.

D Joh'ne de Ryngestede qa no' est ps' u^s Will' de Glasebrok & Adam Pecok de pl'ito q'd aquiete't eu' de .xij.d'

Later Adam Peacock served as a bailiff for Hugh de Fowlshurst, keeper of the king's avowries (q.v.). In March 1309 Fowlshurst claimed that on 17 December 1308 at Mobberley, William de Mobberley and Henry de Mobberley, sword in hand, had attacked Adam Peacock and retrieved a horse which Adam, as sub-bailiff to Foulsworth, had seized as heriot after the death of William del Hull. Hugh claimed £100 damages. William and Henry denied any such 'rescue', and this was affirmed by a jury.

21:449 [18 March 1309]

Will's de Modburlegh' — attach' fuit ad respond'. Hugon' de Fouleshurst qui sequit^r p d'no Rege quare cu' idem Hugo custos aduocar' d'ni Reg^s in Com' Cestr'. p Ad' Pekoc s'uiiente' +suu'.+ quemdam equu' p decem solid' de quodam p'ncipali p morte' Will'i del +Hull+ d'no Regi aretro existent' capi fecisset; p'd'cs Will's sim'l cu' +Henr' de Modburlegh'+ die Lune px^a post festu' s'ci Nich'i anno R' nu'c. s'cdo ¹²¹ apud Modburlegh'.

¹²¹ Monday 9 December 1308

vi & armis. scil't gladiis. &c'. in ip'm. Adam & sbball'm ip'ius Hugon' insultu' fec'unt. & ip'm v'rb'au'nt. & male tractau'nt. & alia enormia &c'. & equu' p'r'd'cm ab eo rescusserunt in co'temptu' d'ni Regis manifestu'. ad da'pnu' ip'ius d'ni Reg's. Centu' libr'. Et hoc v'ifare offert p d'no Rege &c' Et p'r'd'ci Will's & Henr' ven' & defend' vim & iniur'. & co'te'ptu'. q'n. &c'. Et dicu't q'd ip'i nullu' rescussu' ei fec'unt de p'r'd'co eq^o. nec aliqua' t^ansgr'. & de hoc ponu't se sup p^ariam. &c'. Et p'r'd'cs Hugo si'lr. Ideo p'r'ceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'. Et qⁱ nec. &c'. Postea ad Com' die Martis px^a an' festu' S'ci Barnabe Ap'li.¹²² ven' tam p'r'd'cs Hugo. q^am p'r'd'cs Will's. & simil'r .xij. &c' — Jur' dicu't sup sacr'm suu' q'd p'r'd'cus Will's n'llm rescussum nec aliqua' t^ansgression' fecit p'r'd'co Ad'. I'o ip'e inde quietus &c'.

21:550 [29 April 1309]

p^r e'

Jurata int' Hug' de Fouleshurst qui sequit' p d'no Rege & Will'm de Modburley & Henr' de Modburlegh' de pl'ito t^ans ponit' in resp'cu vsq' ad px'm Com' p def'cu iurator qa null' &c' Id'o p^r est vic' q'd h'eat corpa eor ad px' Com' & p't' illos tot & tales &c

¹²² Tuesday 10 June 1309

Bailiffs of Buerton iuxta Aldford

Hugh de Pulford died in or before 1290 possessed of the manor of Buerton, which descended to his son, Robert.

27 May 1290

Inq. p. m. ii 782 [478-479]: Hugh de Pulford

*Writ of plenius certiorari on the complaint of Robert son and heir of the said Hugh, that the escheator at the procuration of certain rivals of his, asserting that they were held of the king in chief, had taken into the king's hand lands, Ac. which the said Hugh held of Simon, son of Ralph de Ormesby, 8 Feb. 18 Edw. I.*¹²³

Chester. Inq. Saturday after St. Augustine, 18 Edw. I.

...

Bureton. The manor, held of sir Peter de Ardern' immediately by homage and service of finding a footman in time of war for guarding his castle of Aldeford at the costs of the said Peter, and doing suit at his court at Aldeford.

...

Robert his son, aged 17½, is his next heir.

C. Edw. I. File 57. (10.)

In 1306 Robert brought a suit against one Ralph de Heath, claiming that Ralph had acted as his bailiff of Buerton manor for a year, but had never presented his account: Robert claimed £20 damages. At the next court Ralph royal presented letters of protection till the following Easter because he was serving under Thomas earl of Lancaster in Scotland. On Ralph's return the matter was agreed.

17:523: 6 September 1306

Rad'us de Heth [att]ach' fuit ad r'. Rob'to de Pulford de pl'ito comp'. Et vnde idem Rob'us p' attornatu' suu' dicit q'd cum id[em] Rad'us extitit ball'us eius curam h'ns & administ^ac'om de om'ib^s exitib^s de Man'io de B[ue]rton' iuxta A[l]deford + a festo Nat' d'ni Anno .r'.r'. E x.... vsq' ide' f'm in vnu' annu' px' seque's+ ppt' quod idem Rad'us tenet' ad comp' inde reddend'; Idem Rad'us comp'um suu' inde min^s rite redd'e cont^a dicit Vnde dic' q'd deter' est & dampna h'et ad valenc' viginti librar' & inde pduc' sect'. Et p'd'cus Rad'us venit & def' vim & iniur' q'n &c' & b'n cogn' q'd ip'e fuit ta'q'm [ball'us] p'd'ci Rob'o tene[nd'] Cur' sua' p'd'ci Man' a festo Pasch' anno sup'd'co vsq' ad f'm Nat' d'ni px' sequ's [sed dicit] q'd nu'q'm h'uit exitus eiusde' Man'ii puenientiu' n^c receptor denar' suor' extitit q'd q'd inquirat' & p'd'cus Rob'is similit'. I'o. p^r. est vic' venire fac' ibide' p' quos &c' qui n^c &c'.

17:596: 27 September 1306

Rad'us del Heth +defendens+ op. se. u^s. Rob'm de Pulford de pl'ito comp'. Et ip'e no' venit. Et insup d'ns Princ' Wall' mandauit br'e suu' in hec verba.

Edwardus illustr' Reg^s Angl' fil' Princeps Wall' Comes Cestr' Pontuii & Mont' Trollii om'ib^s Ball'is & fidelib^s suis ad quos p'sentes l're puen'nt sal'tn. Sciat^s q'd suscepimus in ptecc'om & defensione' n'ram dil'cm nob' Rob'm de Pulford Militem qui in comita' dil'ci consanguinei n'ri d'ni Thome Comit^s Lancastr' in obsequio d'ni Reg^s p'ris n'ri & n'ro mora'

¹²³ 8 February 1290