

Unnamed

Robert Short sued Gilbert de Lymm and Anabilla his wife for having on Saturday 28 February 1293 seized a heifer of his at Lymm, keeping it until the following Monday, when the royal bailiff released it. Gilbert and Anabilla said that Robert Short was in arrear of two shillings to them for two years' rent, and for that reason they had distrained him. They had been granted that rent by Peter de Marine, from whom and whose ancestors Robert and his ancestors held two bovates of land in Lymm at two shillings per annum.

Robert said that he held that land not by a money rent but by paying one pig a year, for which Gilbert and Anabilla had received two shillings in cash. The jury found that that was true, but Robert was still in arrears, and he was amerced two shillings for bringing a false claim.

7:296: 26 May 1293

Gilb'ts de Lymme & Anabill' vx' eius attach' fu'unt ad respondd' Rob'to Short de pl'o capc'ois au'ior. vnde querit' die sabb'i p^xa ante f'm s'ci Cedde hoc anno¹¹² quamdam iuencam suam ap'd Lymne cepunt ad domum ip'ius Rob'i. & iumentam illam fuga'runt ad domu' ip'or Gilb'i & Anabill' ap'd Lymme, & illam detinuerunt cont^a vad' &c'. vsq' die lun' sequ¹¹³ q'd delib' fuit p quemdam Ball'm Juratum &c'. vnde dicit q'd det'iorat^s et & dampn' h't ad ualenciam &c'. Et ducit secta'.

Et p'd'ci Gilb' & Anabill' ven'. & defend' vim &c' q'n. &c' Et b'n aduoca't p'd'cam capc'om iuste f'cam p arrer' duor sol' reddit^s de duob^s annis ante diem capc'ois elapsis, de q'b^s. ip'i Gilb'ts & Anabill', extit'ant seis' p manus ip'ius Rob'i p donu' & assignac'om Pet' de Marine & de quo & de quib^s antecessorib^s, p'd'cs Rob'ts & antecessor' sui vna' bouatam t're in Lymme tenuerunt p s'uiciu' duor sol' p annu'. & de quo s'uic'o, idem Petr' seis' fuit p manus ip'ius Rob'i, q' ex^a seis'a^m suam ip'os de p'd'co redditu feoffauit p quod ip'i inde sic. seis', aduocant p'd'cam capc'om iustam Et p'd'cs Rob'ts dicit q'd ip'e tenet p'd'ca ten' p c'to s'uic'o vnus porci p annu'. in carta feoffamenti contento. vnde di't q'd si p'd'cs Petr' seu p'd'ci Gilb' & Anabill' duos sol' aliquo t'pe inde re+ce+punt, hoc fuit p voluntate ip'ius Rob'i & antecessor suor in redimendo porcum p'd'cm Et no' p c'to s'uic'o duor sol'. s' p p'cio porci p'd'ci. Et hoc petit q'd inq'rat'. Et p'd'ci Gilb'ts & Anabill' s'r I'o p'c' est vic' q'd venire fac' .xij. &c'. Jur' d'nt sup sac^ament' suu' q'd q'dam Gilb'ts de Cherington' antecessor p'd'ci Rob't q'onda' tene's p'd'ca ten' de q'odam Hug' de Lymme p s'uiciu' redd' vnu' porcum p annu'. Qui q'dem Gilb's ad t'nu' reddic'ois illius reddit^s reddidit quendam porcum p'fato Hug' de Lymme. que' q'dem porcum ip'e mactauit. & illum porcum inuenit infirmu'. & calumpn' redd' illum &t & petiit a p'fato Hug' si mallet recipe p annu' inde duos sol' p redd' p'd'co, & ip'e dicit q'd sic. Et extunc in redimendo porcum p'd'cm. antecessor' p'd'ci Rob'i q'olibet anno .ij. sol'. Et quia co'ptum est p inq's' q'd p'd'ci Gilb' Anabell' & antecessores sui seis' de p'd'cis duob^s sol' p redempco'e porci p'd'ci p man^s p'd'co Rob'ti & antecessor suor ten' p'd'ca tenement' Cons' est q'd p'd'ci Gilb's & Anabill' h'eant retorn' &c' Et p'd'cs Rob' in m'ia ppl' [blank]

7:329: 7 July 1293

m'ia .ij.s'.

¹¹² Saturday 28 February 1293

¹¹³ Monday 2 March 1293

Rob's Schort p falso clamore de +pl'o+ capc'ois au'ior u's^s Gilb'm de Lymme. ppl'. Alan' de Lymme. & Hug' del Brom.

Geoffrey Starky sued William Hesketh, William Daniel, Alexander de Churchley and Henry Bailey, for having on Sunday 12 April 1299 seized a bullock and a heifer of his and kept them until they were released by the royal bailiff. Eventually Geoffrey withdrew and was amerced a shilling.

11:187: 26 May 1299

esson'. p^r.

Galfr'us Starky, op. se. u^s. Will'm de Heskeyt, Will'm Daniel, Alex^r de Chirchel', & Henr' Baly. de pl'o cap. au'ior +p duo br'ia+. Et p^rd'cs Will' Danyel, & Alex^r, sunt, esson'. Et h'nt diem. &c'. Et p^rd'ci Will'ms, de Heskeit & Henr' Baly, no' ven'. Et vic' testat^r. q'd no' su't inuenti, &c'. n^c aliquid, &c'. Ideo p^r. est ei, q'd attach' eos, q'd sint ad px'm Com'. &c'. ad respond' p^rd'co Galfr'o de pl'to p^rd'co.

11:282: 7 July 1299

Will'ms Daniel & Alex¹¹⁴ de Chircheleg' attach' fu'unt ad respond' Galfr'o Starky de pl'to detenc'ois au'ior, Et vnde quer', q'd ip'i sim'l cu' Will'mo de Heskeyt & Will'mo¹¹⁵ Baly cepunt quendam bouettu' suu'. & quendam Iuuenca' sua', in quodam c'to loco iux^a gardinu' suu' in Berthington' +die d'nica in festo Ramis Palmar hoc anno¹¹⁶+ & au'ia illa detinueru't cont^a vadiu' & plegios, quousq' delib' fu'unt p balliu' d'ni Regis; ad dampnu' suu', C s', & inde ducit sectam &c' — Et postea cepunt diem vsq' ad px'm Com' p^rce pciu'. p duo br'ia

11:335: 11 August 1299

Dies datus est eid' Galfr'o, & Will'o de Heskeit & aliis in br'i, de placito cap. au'ior. vsq' ad px'm Com'. p^rce ptiu'

11:387: 22 September 1299

Dies datus est Galfr'o Starky, quer', & Will'mo Heskett & al' in br'i, vsq' ad px'n Com' p^rce ptiu', p duo br'ia. &c'.

12:70: 15 December 1299

m'ia .xl d'

D Galfr'o Starki p lic' quer' melius br'e u^s Henr' de Lascy Com' Linc' & alios in br'i de pl'o cap. au'ior ppl'm Will'i de Venables & Hug' de Calueleg'

12:71: 15 December 1299

m'ia. xij.d'

D eodem Galfr'o p eodem u^s Will'm de Heskett, Will'm Daniel & alios in br'i de eodem p pleg' p^rd'cm

Richard son of Roger del Heath sued Hamon de Cheadle and Robert son of Richard son of Avery, for having on Sunday 29 November 1304 taken a dish from Heath's house in Ashley and taken it to Hamon's house in Ashley, keeping it until the earl's bailiff recovered it.

¹¹⁴ sic

¹¹⁵ sic

¹¹⁶ Sunday 12 April 1299

Hamon said that Heath's house and other property were held by him by homage and fealty and 12½d a year for sheriffstooth from Hugh son of Hugh de Ryecroft and all his tenants; the payments were now two years in arrear, so he had distrained. Ryecroft and Heath denied that any such payments were due. The jury agreed, and awarded Heath sixpence damages, and amerced Hamon in a shilling.

16:32: 27 April 1305

p^r. Da'pna. vj.d'. M'ia. alibi

Hamo de Chedle Rob'us fil' Ric'i fil' Auerey attach' fueru't ad r' Ric'o fil' Rog'i del Heth de pl'ito detenc'ois catall'. Et vnde id'm Ric'us querit' q'd p'd'ci Hamo & Rob'us die d'nica p^xa post f'm s'ci Clementis anno r'. r'. E. Tricesimo t'cio.¹¹⁷ ad domu' ip'ius Ric'i in villa de Asshel' cepu't quamdam patellam suam & illam ad domu' ip'ius Hamo'is de Chedle in ead'm villa asportauer't & ibid'm cont^a vad' & pleg' detinuer't quousq' delib'ata fuit p Ball' d'ni Com', vnde dicit q'd deter' est & dampna h'et ad val' viginti s' & inde pducit sectam. Et p'd'ci Hamo & Rob'us ven' & defendu't vim & iniur' q'n &c'. Et p'd'cus Hamo p se & p'd'co Rob'to aduocauit p'd'cam capc'om esse iustam dicit u' q'd p'd'cm ten' vbi p'd'ca districc'o capta fuit simul cu' quib^sda' aliis ten' de eo tenent' p homagi' & fidelit' & s'uiciu' .xij. den'. & vni^s ob', solu' illud ob' ad f'm s'ci Martini p shirreuestoth de quib^s s'uiciis seis' fuit p man^s + cui^sd' + Hug' fil' Hug' de Ruyecroft ta' p o'i tenentis sui. Et qa p'd'cm ob' p'solu' p schirreuestuth sibi a retro fuit p duos annos an' die' capc' p'd'ce, aduoc' illa' distr' sup p'd'cm Hugone' sicut ei b'n licuit. Et +p'd'cs Hugo cu' p'd'co Ric'o + p'd'cus Ric'us dicit q'd p'd'cus Hamo nu'q'm fuit seis' de p'd'co ob' reddit^s put id'm Hamo supⁱs asserit, & hoc petit q'd inquir' & p'd'cus Hamo simil'r. I'o prec' est vic' q'd de visn' de Asshelegh' ven' fac' ad p^x Com' .xij. &c' p quos &c'. qui n^c &c'. Postea ad Com' die M^art^s p^xa an' f'm Nat' s'ci Joh' Bapt' p^x seque's¹¹⁸ ven' p'd'ci Ric'us & Hamo & simil'r .xij. qui dic't sup sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cus Hamo nu'q'm fuit seis' de p'd'co ob' reddit^s p man^s Hug' fil' Hug' de Ruyecroft. I'o cons' est q'd p'd'cus Ric'us recuperet p'd'cam patellam suam & dampna sua que p eosd' taxant' ad. sex den'. et p'd'cus Hamo p iniusta capc' in m'ia.

16:94: 1 June 1305

Jur^a p^r.

Jur^a int' Rog'm fil' Rog'i del Heth +quer'+ & Hamone' de Chedle Rob'm fil' Ric'i fil' Amey de pl'ito detenc' catall' ponit' in respectu p defectu iurator qa nullus &c'. I'o vic' h'eat corpora eor ad p'fatum diem

16:138: 22 June 1305

M'ia.xii.d'

D Hamone de Chedle p iniusta detenc'oe cuiusdam patell' v^rsus Ric'm fil' Rog'i del Heth

Thomas son of Thomas de Appleton sued Roger de Twembrooks juxta Thelwall for having on Monday 29 August 1306 seized four heifers of his on the highway at a place called 'by Twembrooks' and kept them until they were recovered by the earl's bailiff.

¹¹⁷ Sunday 29 November 1304

¹¹⁸ Tuesday 22 June 1305

Roger replied that they were not taken at Twembrooks but in a place called Over Field.

18:25: 29 November 1306

Rog^rus de Twynebrokes iux^a Thelewell sum' fuit ad respond' Thom' fil' Thom' de Apilton' in pl'ito capc'ois au^rior. Et vnde id'm Th' quer' q'd p^rd'cus Rog^rus die Lun' px' post f'm S'ci Barth'i anno. r'. r'. E. xxxiiij^{o119} ap^d Gropenhale in Regali via in quod' loco vocato by Twynebrokes cepit qtuor Juuencas ip'ius Thom' & illas cont^a vad' & pleg' inpcat' detinuit quousq' delib'at' fueru't p Ball'm d'ni Comit^s Cestr' vnde dic' q'd def'iorat^s est & dampn' h't ad valenc' Centu' solidor & inde pduc' sectam. Et p^rd'cs Rog^r. ve. & def. vim & iniur' q'n &c'. Et bene aduocauit p^rd'cam distr' esse iusta' p s'uic' & cons' sibi existentib^s in quoda' qui voc' Ou'feld & no' in Regia strata put d'cus Thom' qr' Et p^rd'cus Thom' dic'q'd p^rd'cus Rog^r cepit au'ia p^rd'ca in loco vbi qr' supius & no' in pred'co loco vocato Ou'feld, Et hoc petit q'd inquirat', Et p^rd'cus Rog^rus si'lr. Id'o. p^r. e'. vic' q'd venir' fac' ad px' .xij. &c'. p quos rei v'itas melis inde sciri pot'it

¹¹⁹ Monday 29 August 1306