

Unnamed

Thomas de Hokenhull sued William son of Richard de Overton and David Dudd for having on Tuesday 6 October 1299 seized a horse of his and kept it until it was released by the royal bailiff.

William and David came to court and said that were acting as serjeants of Urian de Sancto Petro and seized the horse for serjeants' puture in arrear for two years.

12:115: 26 January 1300

p^r.

Thom' de Hokenhull. op. se. u^s, Will'm fil' Ric'i de Ou^rton', & David Dudde de pl'ito detenc'ois vni^s equi, & ip'i no' veniu't, & h'u'u't diem p^r esson' suos essendi hic ad hu'c diem, Ideo p^rcept' est vic' q'd dist'ngat eos p^r om'es t^ras &c' & de exitib^s &c' & q'd hic &c', ad respondend' &c'.

12:121: 8 March 1300

p^r

Will'ms filius Ric'i de Ou^rton' & David Dudde, attach' fu^runt, ad respond' Thome de Hokenhull de pl'ito capc'onis vni^s equi, vnde quer' q'd p^rd'ci Will'ms & David, equu' ip'ius Thome apud Hokenhull +iniuste+ cepunt, die Martis p^ra p^st f'm Omniu' s'cor, anno, regni Regis Edwardo vicesimo septimo¹³³ & illu' detinueru't, con^a vadiu' & pleg', vsq' diem sab'ti sequ't', quousq' delibac'o fuit p^r ball'm d'ni Regis ad dampnu' ip'ius Thome. di'.m', & inde ducit sectam &c'.

Et p^rd'ci Will'ms & David ven' & defend' vim & iniur' q'n &c', quicquid &c', & dicu't q'd ip'i sunt s'uiantes Vriani de s'co Petro de pace & q'd distrinx'unt p^rd'cm Thom' p^rd'cm equu', p^r putura +s'uient'+, p^r duos annos are^o existente de eod'm ten', vbi districc'o f'ca fuit, Et qa tangit ius & hereditate' p^rd'ci Vriani, sine quo, pl'm illud no' possunt deduc'e in Jud'm, Ideo sumon' q'd sit &c' ad respond' sim'l cu' p^rd'cis Will'mo & David &c'.

12:214: 21 May 1300

Dies dat^s est Thom' de Hokenhull quer' & Will'mo fil' Ric'i de Ou^rton' & David Dudde de pl'ito capc'ois vnius equi p^rce p^rciu' usq' &c'.

¹³³ Tuesday 6 October 1299