

Ranulph de Shavington

Emma daughter of William de Tattenhall sued Richard Spendlove and John le Herdman for having seized a cow of hers in Newton juxta Kingsley in the house of Walter Capellanus (Chaplain) of that township, and kept it until it was released by the royal bailiff.

Richard and John said that they had acted on behalf of their mother Margery, whose cow it was.

7:203: 14 April 1293

p^r.

Ric'us Spendeloue & Joh'nes le Hurdeman. attach' fuerunt ad respondend' Emme fil' Will'i de Tatenhal de pl'ito detenc'onis vni^s vacce Et vnde querit' q'd d'ci Ric'us & Joh'nes cepunt q^amdam vaccam suam +in Neuton' iux^a Kyngesl'+ in domo Walt'i Cap'lli eiusdem ville & eam iniuste detinueru't cont^a vad'm & pleg' quousq' delib'ata fu'it p Ball'm Reg^s Jur', vnde dicit q'd def'ior' est & dampnu' h't ad valenc' ij'.s'. & inde duc^t sectam.

Et Ric'us & Joh'nes ven' & defend' vim & iniur' q'n &c'. & iuste aduocant d'cam capc'oem tanq^am Ball'i & assignati cui^sdam Marg'ie M'ris d'cor Ric'i & Joh'is, cui^s pp'um catallu' p'd'ca vacca extitit, ita q'd d'cis die & anno capc'onis eiusdem vacce, p'd'ca Emma nichil Juris u'l calumpn' h'uit u'l h're potuit in eadem & hoc petit q'd Inquir'

Richard de Oldton (Oulton) sued Thomas de Crewe, William Latepriest and William brother of Michael de Weston for having seized a mare of his on Sunday 8 March 1293 in le Lowe in a place called Brownsfield, and led it to Thomas's house in Crewe, until it was released by Ranulph de Shavington, the royal bailiff.

Thomas de Crewe said that Richard was in arrear for rent for the past five years, amounting to five shillings, and so he had distrained him. One Lawrence de Mainwaring had held that tenement from him at that same rent. Richard said that he was only in arrear for the last three terms, and that he had offered Thomas that money but Thomas had refused it. He also disputed the mode of tenure: he said that Lawrence had enfeoffed Ranulph de Oldeton, and Ranulph had then enfeoffed himself and Thomas, with him paying Thomas the rent.

7:312: 7 July 1293

p^r Lex

Thomas de Crue. Will'ms Lateprest & Will'ms frat' Mich'is de Weston' attach' fuerunt ad respond' Ric'o de Oldeton' de pl'ito capc'onis vni^s Iumentu. Et vnde querit' q'd p'd'ci Thomas, Will'ms & Will'ms die d'nica px' post festum s'ci Cedde Ep'i hoc anno²⁰² in Le Lowe in quodam loco qui vocat' Brounesfeuld p'd'cm Ium'tum cepunt & eum dux'unt vsq' domu' Thome Crue in Crue & ibi eu' detinueru't cont^a vadiu' & pleg' a p'd'co die d'nica vsq' diem s'ci Gregorii px' sequente²⁰³. quousq' delib'at' fuit p Ran' de Shauigton' Ball'm d'ni Reg^s Jur' ad dampnu' suu' d'j. marce & inde ducit sectam.

²⁰² Sunday 8 March 1293

²⁰³ Thursday 12 March 1293

Et p^rd'ci Thom', Will'ms & Will'ms veniunt & defendunt vim & iniur' &c'. & p^rd'cus Thom' aduocat capc'onem d'ci Ium'ti bonam & iustam p^r quinq solid', qui ei aret^o fuit de quodam annuo redditu xij.d' quinq' annis elapsis de p^rd'co ten'. quod quidam Laur' de Menwarin de eo tenuit p^r idem s^ruiciu'. Et p^rd'cus Ric'us dicit q'd nichil est eidem Thome aret^o de p^rd'co ten' nisi de trib^s vltimis t^rminis quod quidem ei optulit & p^rd'cus Thom' illud recusauit, & hoc pat^s est verificare, Et p^rd'cus Thom' +dicit q'd+ non cognouit p^rd'cm Ric'm esse tenente' suu', nec aliquē redditu' p^r manu' d'ci Ric'i a^dmitt'e de p^rd'co ten' nec vnq^am admisit et hoc pat^s est verificare. Et p^rd'cus Ric'us dicit q'd p^rd'cus Laur' feoffauit Ranulphu' de Oldeton', & idem Ran' p^rd'cm Ric'm & Thom', faciendo p^rd'cm s^ruicium, p^rfato Thom', & vnde idem Thom' fuit in seis^a p^r manus eordem & hoc pat^s est verificari, I'o &c'.

Et quo ad veritu' Idem Thom' est ad legem. Pleg' Rob'tus de Brescy

7:405: 18 August 1293

Dies datus est Ric'o de Oldeton' quer' & Thome de Crue & aliis in br'i de pl'ito capt' au^rior vsq' &c' p^rce p^rtiu'.