

Unnamed

William son of Richard Steynulf sued Richard Turvile, William le Hunt and Hugh de Bickerton serjeant of Rotheric son of Griffin, for having seized a bullock of his in Northbury township and having kept it until it was released by the royal bailiff. Turvile avowed the caption, saying that the distraint was made there upon one Kenwric son of David as his tenant, for four shillings per annum that Hamon, Richard's grandfather (and whose heir he is) received from Kenwric's father David, but had fallen into arrear for the last twenty years. Steynulf said that he held that land from one Richard son of Richard de Norbury for a term of years, and for which the rent was not in arrear. It was decided that Norbury attend to verify this; but the following February, Steynulf abandoned the case, and was amerced two shillings.

13:50: 15 November 1300

Ric'us Turuile, Will's le Hunte, & Hugo de Bikerton' s'uiens Roth'ici fil' Griffini attach' fu'unt respons' Will'o fil' Ric'i Steynulf de pl'ito capc'onis vni^s bouetti, Et vnde quer' q'd vbi h'uit que'dam bouettu' suu' in villa de Northbur', ibi ven'u't p'd'ci Ric'us, Will's, & Hugo vigil' Assumpc' b'e Mar' hoc anno²¹⁰ & d'cm bouettu' cepu't & iniuste detinu'u't cont^a vad' &c' q'ousq' delib'at^s fuit p ball'm d'ni R^s. ad dampnu' suu' viginti solid'. Et pducit sectam — Et p'd'ci Ric'us Will's & Hugo ven' & defend' vim &c' q'ando &c', Et p'd'cus Ric's p se & aliis aduocat capc'om illam iuste esse f'cam in loco p'd'co, sup Ken' fil' Daudid tamq^a sup tenente' suu' p fidelitate & s'uic' q'atuor sol' p annu', de quib^s quidam Hamo auus p'd'co Ric' + cui^s h'es ip'e est+ fuit seis' p manus cui^sdam Daudid p'ris p'd'ci Ken' & ita p p'd'cis fidelitate & s'uic' sibi aref^o existent' p viginti annos elapsos, & sic aduocat capc'om illam esse iustam & in feodo suo &c'. — Et p'd'cus Will's fil' Ric' Steynulf dicit q'd ip'e tenet p'd'ca ten' de quoda' Ric' fil' Ric' de Northbur' ad t'm annor, Ita q'd nich' iur' clamat in p'd'cis ten' nisi +du'modo+ t'm annor qui no'dum pre't'it. p q'd hui^smodi s'uic' lib'm ten' p'd'ci +Ric'+ fil' Ric' tangencia sine p'd'co Ric'o no' potest duc'e in iud'm Et petit auxiliu' de p'd'co Ric'o fil' Ric' ~~Et concessum est ei~~ Ideo cons' est ei q'd h'eat &c'.

13:96: 7 February 1301

m'ia ijs' p'.

Ric'us de Turuill, op. se. u'sus Will'm fil' Ric'i Steynulf, de pl'ito detenc'ois vni^s bouetti, Et ip'e no' ven' & fuit quer' Ideo ip'e & plegii sui de ps' in m'ia, vid'lt Rob's de Northbur' & Thom' fil' Ric'i de Burton'. Et p'd'cus Ric's h'eat ref^onu' p'd'ci bouetti &c'

Richard Brown sued Richard Turvile and William le Hunt for taking a cow of his at Norbury and keeping it until it was released by the earl's bailiff. Turvile stated that this was a just distraint from a certain Alice Blundspore, his tenant, because Henry Blundspore her father had held from Hamon de Turvile his father three messuages and 4 bovates of land in Norbury at 4 shillings per annum, which rent had fallen into arrear. Brown said that the land on which the distraint had been taken was rented by him at will from Robert de Norbury,

²¹⁰ Sunday 14 August 1300

whom he called to testify. Robert de Norbury stated that he held the land in question from William de Bulkeley. Bulkeley, being present in court, said that the land had once been held by Hamon de Turvile, who enfeoffed Bulkeley's father Robert with it at 12d per annum. Geoffrey, Hamon's son and heir, gave the rent and service to Urian de Sancto Petro. Bulkeley said that neither Richard de Turvile nor Hamon his father had ever held that land. Eventually Brown dropped the case and was amerced two shillings.

14:53: 13 June 1301

Ric'us Turuile & Will'ms le Hunte attach' fu'unt ad respondend' Ric'o Broun de pl'ito detenc' vnus vacce, vnde querit' q'd p'd'ci Ric'us & Will's cepunt q'mdam vaccam suam apud Northburi — die [blank] & eam ibi iniuste detinu'unt cont' vadiu' & pleg' quousq' delib'ata fuit p ball'm d'ni Comit' ad dampnu' ip'ius Ric' viginti solid', & inde ducit sectam. Et p'dicti Ric'us & Will'ms veniunt & p'd'cus Ric's p se & p d'co Will'o aduocat p'd'cam capc'om est iustam u'sus q'mdam Aliciam Blundspore tamq'm u's' tenente' suu' eo q'd quidam Petrus +Henr'+ Blundspore pater ip'ius Alicie tenuit de Hamone de Turuile p're ip'ius Ric' t'a Mes' & q'tuor bouat' terre cum ptinenc' in Norbur' p fidelitatem & s'uiciu' q'tuor solid' p annu' & quia fidelitas & redditus p'd'cor q'tuor solid' aretro fu'u't, distri'xit ip'o in p'd'cis ten' +et cepit+ p p'd'cam vaccam, & ita aduocat p'd'cam capc'om esse iustam u'sus p'd'cam Alic' tamq'm u'sus tenente' suu' &c', Et p'd'cus Ric's +Broun+ dicit q'd ip'e tenet p'd'ca ten' vbi district'o f'ca fuit ad voluntatem Rob'i de Northbur' sine quo no' pot' d'ca ten' on'are nec exon'ar' de hui'smodi s'uiciis & petit auxil' de p'd'co Rob'to. H'eat &c'. Et p'cept' est vic' q'd venire faciat &c' p'd'cm Rob'm ad respond' &c'. Postea ad Com' die Mart' p' post festu' Epiph'ie d'ni²¹¹ p'd'cs Ric's querens Et p'd'cs Ric's & Will's vener' Et quia Rob's de Northbury in respons' faciend' ad capc'onem ista' co'iugi debuit p'd'co Ric'o, venit similiter p sum', & dicit q'd tenet p'd'ca ten' de quod' Will'o de Bulkeleie capitali d'no suo qui quide' W[ill's] p'sens in Cur' venit & g'at'is se co'iu'xit simul cu' p'd'co Rob'to ad respond' &c' Et dicit q'd p'd'ca t'a Mes' & q'tuor bouat' t're aliq'ndo fu[er] in seis' Hamonis de Turvyle qui inde feofauit quemda' Rob'm de Bulkeleye pat'm p'd'ci Will'i p s'uic' .xij.d' p ann' et p fidelitate' de q'o s'uic' d'cs Hamo seisit' fuit toto te'pe suo p man' p'd'ci Rob'ti Et q'd Galfr' filius & her' d'ci Hamonis qui eid' successit dedit p'd'cm s'uiciu' cu' ptinenc' Vriano de S'co Pet'o p quod quidem donu' d'cs Rob's pat' d'ci Will'i assignatus fuit d'co Vriano de p'd'co s'uic'o cu' ptinenciis toto te'pe suo & q'd p'd'cus Will's fil' p'd'ci Rob'ti intendens fuit & responde's d'co Vriano de s'uic'o p'd'co cu' ptin' & q'd post morte' d'ci Vriani Idem Will's intende's fuit & adhuc intende's est Vriano fil' Joh'is fil' p'd'ci Vriani cui's heres ip'e est de p'd'co s'uic'o cu' ptin'. vnde p'd'cs Will's de Bulkele dicit q'd post donu' & feofamentu' d'ci Hamonis de Turuyle p s'uiciu' .xij.d' p an'm & fidelitate' d'co Rob'to de Bulkele inde factu', n' post assignac'onem d'ci Galfr' fil' & her' d'ci Hamonis d'co Vriano de p'd'co s'uic'o inde factam no' fuit p'd'cs Ric's de Turuyle nec d'cs Galfr' pat' suus sesit' de p'd'cis .iiij.s' Reddit' annui de d'cis t'ris & ten' exeuntibus p man' alicui's tenentis eordem & hoc pat' est verifcar' si alii hoc dedic'e velint vel co'cedere. & si hoc no' dedicant; petit q'd Cur' hoc h'eat p concessio. Et p'd'cs Ric's dicit q'd no' h'et necesse hoc dedic'e uel co'ceder' p eo q'd vbi ip'e alias in Cur' ista fecit q'mdam aduocac'onem u'sus p'd'cm Ric'm Broun de capc'oe iusta &c' p quod s'uic'o .iiij.s'. p an'm qui sibi aret'o fueru't u'sus quemdam tenente' suu' Aliciam p'd'cam her' cuiusdam Henr' pat's ei's d'm p cui's man' &c'. Et q'd quia idem Will's de Bulkele de toto est ext' p'd'ce Alicie u'sus q' aduocat capc'one' p'd'cam iuste factam tanq' u'sus tenente' suam nec p't dat' ing'essus sit p

²¹¹ Tuesday 9 January 1302

formam statuto in d'ca ten' no' intendit q'd d'cs Will's de Bulkele qui no' est tene's suos admitti debet ad responsione' istam n^c ip'm possit repeler' ad aduocac'one p^rd'ca s.... capc'one p^rd'ca & de hoc sunt ad iudiciu', q'd defertit^r vsq' ad p^x^m Com'.

14:157: 5 September 1301

Jur^a int^r Ric'm Broun' quer' & Ran' de Turuile & Will'm le Hunte in pl'ito detenc' vni^s vacce ponit^r in r' vsq' ad p^x Com' eo q'd dissensio est in Com' vtru' Inquis' illa capi debeat s'cdm p^cessu' pl'i, nec ne. Et p^rcept' est vic' q'd venire faciat p^rd'cam Juratam, &c'.

15:261: 26 June 1302

m'ia.ij.s'.

D Ric'o Brun, qa no' est p^s. u^s. Ric'm de Turuile & al' in br'i. de pl'ito. cap. au^r. ppl' Rob'ti de Northbur' & Will'mo de Coten'.

William son of William de Weston sued Geoffrey Griffin and Ralph his serjeant for having on Sunday 10 January 1305 seized a horse at his house in Barthomley and driven it to Batherton, where he kept it until it was recovered by the earl's bailiff.

Geoffrey justified the seizure by saying that the horse had been taken in a place called Mickle Field: William held a messuage, 1 bovat and 1 acre of land from him by homage and service of 25½d per annum, which had been paid by William's father William, but on the date of the seizure had fallen into arrear. Weston said that Griffin had not proved that he was his father's heir, and Griffin was amerced one shilling, Weston being awarded a shilling damages.

16:26: 27 April 1305

Will's fil' Will'i de Weston'. op. se. u^s. Galfr' Griffyn & Rad'm s'uiante' eius, de pl'ito capc' vnius equi. Et h'ueru't hic diem p^cesson' suos postqam p^rd'cus Galfr' attach' fuit p Rog'um Russel & Rob'm Twoyerold Et p^rd'cus Rad'us attach' fuit p Galfr' Griff' & Rob'm Twoyerhold. I'o p^rd'ci manucaptor' in m'ia. Et prec' est vic' q'd distr' p^rd'cos Galfr' & Rad'm p om's terr' & catal' &c'. Et q'd de exit' &c'. Et q'd h'eat eos ad p^x Com' ad r' p^rd'co Will'o de pl'ito p^rd'co. Et q'd sum' p bonos sum'. Rob'm vic' eccl'ie de Wybunbur' q'd sit h' ad r' Will'o de Westo' de pl'ito deb' & detenc' cat'

16:82: 1 June 1305

Da'pna. xij.d'. T. C. M'ia. xij.d'

Galfridus Gryffyn attachiatus fuit ad respondend' Will'o fil' Will'i de Weston' de pl'ito capt' vnius equi & vnde idem Will's quer^r q'd p^rd'cus Galfridus simul cu' Rad'o s'uiante suo die d'nica p^x^a post Epiph' d'ni anno r'.r'.E.xxxij^o.²¹² ad domu' ip'ius Will'i de Weston' in Bertumlegh' cepit quemdam equum suu', & illu' fugauit apud Bertherton' & ibidem in p^co detinuit vsq' diem d'nicam p^x^am ante f'm s'ce Agn²¹³. quo die deliberatus fuit p Ball'm d'ni Com' vnde dicit q'd deter' est & dampna h'et ad valenc' dj' m^ar & inde pducit sectam. Et p^rd'cus Galfr' venit & defendit vim & iniur' q'n &c' & b'n aduocauit p^rd'cam districio'm esse iustam in loco qui vocat^r Mukelfeld. dicit enim q'd p^rd'cus Will' de eo tenet vnu' mesuag' vnam bouatam & vna' acra' t're p homag' & s'uiciu' viginti quinq' den' & vni^s ob' p annu' de quo quide' redditu idem Galfr' seis' est p man^s p^rd'ci Will'i & de quo homag' Galfr' p'r ip'ius Galfridi seis' fuit p man^s cuiusd' Will'i p'ris p^rd'ci Will'i & qa homag' p^rd'cm sibi aretro fuit die capc'ois p^rd'ce cepit ip'e districc'om p^rd'cam sicut ei b'n licuit Et

²¹² Sunday 10 January 1305

²¹³ Sunday 17 January 1305

p^rd'cus Will'ns dicit q'd +cu'+ idem Galfridus intendit recupare homag' p^rd'cm de seis' alt'ius hoc no' potest f'i nisi p^r successione' hereditar' vnde petit iud'm ex quo no' f....t sibi titulu' de homagio p^rd'co r'one successio'is hereditar' n^c alio modo si ad for^am aduocar' p^rd'ce responderi debeat in hac pte. Et qa p^rd'cus Will' no' asseruit ip'm esse heredem p'ris sui sup'ius in aduocar' sua cons' est q'd p^rd'cus Will' h'eat delib'ac'om p^rd'ci equi u^s p^rd'cm Galfr' & da'pna sua que p^r eosde' taxant' ad .xij.d'. & p^rd'cus Griffinus in m'ia.

M'ia. xii.d'

D Galfr' Griffyn +vi.d'+ & Rob'to Twoyerhold +vi.d'+ qa no' h'uerunt Rad'm s'uiante' d'ci Galfr'i ad r' p^rd'co Will' de pl'ito p^rd'co

Richard de Moston sued Agnes de Mainwaring and Richard Molleson for having on Thursday 22 April 1305 seized a mare of his at his home in Moston and driven it to Agnes's park in Warmingham where it was kept until recovered by the earl's bailiff.

Agnes said that she held in dower a third part of the manor of Warmingham plus the remaining two-thirds for life by demise from William Trussel junior and Matilda his wife. An immemorial custom within that manor was that all the tenants of Moston should grind their corn at Warmingham mill, paying a sixteenth. However, on 29 September 1304 Moston ground his corn at some other mill. The visnes of Moston and Warmingham were summoned to inquire.

16:225: 22 June 1305

p^r.

Ric'us de Moston' op. se. u^s. Agn' de Meynwaryn & Ric'm Mollesone de pl'ito capc' .au^r. Et ip'i no' ven'. I'o .p^r. est vic' q'd ponat eos p^r vad' & saluos pleg' q'd sint ad px' Com' ad r' p^rd'co Ric'o de pl'ito p^rd'co

16:204: 3 August 1305

p^r.

Agnes de Meinwaryng & Ric'us Mollesone atach' fuerunt ad respond' Ric'o de Moston' de pl'ito capc' vni^s Jumentu' & vnde idem Ric'us quer' q'd p^rd'ca Agnes & Ric'us Mollesone die Jouis in septimana Pasche anno r'.r'.E.xxxiiij.²¹⁴ apud Moston' in domo ip'ius Ric'i cepunt p^rd'cm Jumentu' suu' & illud fugauerunt ad parcum ip'ius Agne^s apud Wermyngh^am & ibidem im^pcatum detinuerunt cont^a vad' & c'. quousq' delib'atum fuit p^r Ball' d'ni Com' vnde dicit q'd deter' est & dampna h'et ad valenc' .C. sol' & inde p^rducit sectam. Et p^rd'ca Agnes +& Ric's+ venit & defend' vim & iniur' & +& ead' Agn' p^r se & eod' Ric'o+ b'n aduoc' p^rd'cam capc' esse i^sta' dicit enim q'd ip'a tenet in dotem t'ciam ptem Man'ii de Wermyngh^am & duas ptes eiusd' Man'ii ad t'minu' vite sue ex dimissione Will'i Trussel iunioris & Matill' vx'is eius & q'd qued' cons' inf^e d'nium eiusdem Man'ii a tempe quo no' extat memoria vsitata est & optenta scil't q'd om's tenentes de Moston' qui sunt de eodem dominio facient sectam ad Molend' de Wermyngh^am & ibid' molere deb't blada sua om'ioda p^r sustentac'one sua p'stando ibid' tollon' ad sextumdecimu' vas & q'd d'ni eiusd'm Man'ii consueu'nt distring'e p^r huiusmodi secta subtracta & distric'oes ill' retin'e quousq' inde satisfactu' fuisset de quib^s quide' consuetud' om's d'ni eiusdem Man'ii & d'ns Rex qui nu'c est & om's Com' Cestr' qui p^r tempe fuerunt quando Man'ium illud no'ie custodie r'one minor^s etatis alicui^s hered' tenuerunt & ip'a tempe suo pacifice seis' fuer' tam p^r ip'm Ric'm

²¹⁴ Thursday 22 April 1305

& alios tenentes de Moston' q^am p^r antec' suos quousq' idem Ric'us iam de nouo post f'm s'ci Mich' p^rx' p^rter'²¹⁵ blada sua molere fecit ad alia Molendina ad exheredac'om ip'ius Agn', Et qa ide' Ric'us sub^axit se de hi^s secta ad p^rd'c'm Molend' de Wermyngh^am vt p^rmittit^r consueta n^c ibidem molere curauit q^am q^am ex p^rte ip'ius Agn' fuisset pl'ies requis' cepit ip'a districc'om ip'ius Ric'i in feodo suo sicut ei b'n licuit Et p^rd'cus Ric'us dicit q'd ip'e n^c antecessor' sui nu'q^am fecerunt sectam ad p^rd'cm Molend' nisi p^r libito voluntatis sue, Ita q'd secta illa fuit om'ino voluntar' & q'd ip'e & antec' sui molere potuer' blada sua vbicumq' voluerunt, & q'd p^rd'ca secta ad p^rd'cm Molend' de Wermyngh^am non est debita n^c consueta put p^rd'ca Agnes supius asserit +& hoc+ petit q'd inquir' & p^rd'ca Agnes similit^r. I'o. p^r. est vic' q'd tam de visn' de Moston' q^am de visn' de Wermyngh^am venire faciat ad p^rx' Com' .xij. &c'. qui n^c &c'.

²¹⁵ Tuesday 29 September 1304