

Richard de Calveley

In July 1308 a case arose in which Ralph le Sumpter of Hapsford, William son of Robert, Elias Faber (Smith) of Hapsford and Richard son of Hugh accused Richard de Calveley for having levied 2 marks (26s 8d) from them when the king's bailiff in Eddisbury hundred, but having kept the same. In August it was said that Richard had been bailiff under Robert de Brescy, a previous sheriff. Richard failed to appear, so the now sheriff was ordered to distrain him, but the sheriff said that Richard had no goods or lands by which he might be distrained. This was challenged, and the sheriff afterwards distrained Richard in 12d; but Richard still would not appear.

Robert de Brescy came to court, and confirmed that Richard de Calveley had been his under-bailiff, and had raised the 2 marks, but not passed the money on to him. The justice therefore ordered Brescy to pay the 2 marks plus damages, and amerced him for a sum then pardoned.

20:609: 9 July 1308

p^r e'

M'.ij.s'.

distr'

Rad'us le Su'pter de Hapisford. Will's fil' Rob'ti. Elias faber de eadem. & Ric'us fil' Hugon' de eadem. op. se. u'sus Ric'm de Caluilegh. de pl'ito q'd acq'et et eos uersus d'nm Rege' de duab^s Marcis quas leuauit de p'd'cis Rad'o. Will'mo Elia & Ric'o. Ad opus d'ni Reg^s tempe quo fuit ball's d'ni R^s in Hundred' de Edesbury. & eos no' dum acq'et aut. &c'. Et ip'e no' venit. Et fuit atachiat^s. p Will'm de Caluilegh' +xij.d'+ & Will'm Hardyng +xij.d'+. I'o ip'i in m'ia. Et nich'o^s p'ceptu' est vic' q'd distr' eu' p om'es t'ras. &c'. Et q'd de exit' &c' Et q'd h'eat corpus eius hic ad px'm Com' &c'.

20:720: 20 August 1308

Preceptu' fuit vic' q'd dist'ngeret Ric'm de Caluilegh q'd esset hic ad h'nc Com' ad aquietand' Rad'm le Su'pter de Hapisford Will'm fil' Roberti Eliam Fabru' de ead'm & Ric'm fil' Hug' uersus d'nm Regem de duab^s Marcis quas leuauit de p'd'cis Rad'o Will'o Elia & Ric'o ad opus d'ni Reg^s de tempe quo fuit balliu^s eiusd'm & eos inde no'du' acquietauit &c' Et ip'e. non ven' Et vic' modo mand' q'd p'd'cus Ric'us nichil h't in balli'a sua p quod potest dist'ngi Et testatu' est q'd sat^s h'et p quod &c' Et q'd fuit subballiuus Rob'i de Brescy tu' vic' Cestr' ad cui^s op^s d'cos den' leuauit Et qa p'd'cus Rob'us fuit p'sens in Com' p'fix^s est ei dies ad p'hend' p'd'cm Ric'm subballiuu' suu' ad px' Com' si sibi vid'it expedire &c' Et nichilomin^s p'c' est vic' q'd distr' eu' p o'es terr' & cat' &c' Et q'd de Exit' &c' Ita q'd h'eat corpus eius ad px' Com' &c'.

21:76: 8 October 1308

Cat' for

xij.d'.

M'. cdo^r

Rad'us Le Sumpter de Hapisford. Will's fil' Rob'ti. Elias Fab' de eadem & Ric'us fil' Hugon'. op. se u'sus Ric'm de Caluilegh'. de pl'ito q'd acquietet eos uersus d'nm Rege' de duab^s Marcis quas de ip'is leuauit ad opus d'ni Reg' de tempe quo fuit s'balliuus Rob'i de Brescy. nup vic' Cestris'. & eos no' dum acq'et aut. &c' Et ip'e no' venit. Et p'ceptum fuit vic' q'd dist'ng'et eum p om'es t'ras &c'. Et q'd de exit'. &c'. Et q'd h'eret corp^s eius ad

hunc Com'. &c'. Et vic' modo mand'. q'd p'd'cus Ric'us distr' est p' catall' ad valenc'. duodecim denar'. I'o cat' for Et no' manucap^s qa no' fuit inuentus &c' — Et quia Rob'us de Brescy nup' vic' cuius ball's. p'd'cus Ric'us extiterat. h'uit diem ex p'fixone ad h'end' p'd'cm Ric'm hic ad hunc Com'. ad respondend' inde p'd'cis Rad'o & aliis de pl'ito p'd'co, alioq'n ip'e respond'et p' p'd'co Ric'o s'uiante suo, Et quia ip'm no' h'uit d'cm fuit ei q'd p'd'cis Rad'o, Will'o. Elie & Ric'o +fil' Hugon'+ p' p'd'co Ric'o s'uiante suo respondeat. Et p'd'cus Rob'us no' potest dedic'e q'n p'd'cus Ric'us de Caluilegh' fuit s'bball's suus. & q'd leuauit p'd'cos denar' de p'd'cus Rad'o & aliis, & eos no' du' acq'etauit &c' I'o consid'atu' est q'd p'd'cus. Rad'us, Will's. Elias. & Ric'us fil' Hug' recupent u'sus p'd'cm Rob'm p'd'cas duas M^arcas & dampna sua &c'. que ei remittu't. & p'd'cus Rob'us in m'ia. condon' p' Justic'. Et p' assensu' p'ciu' h't die' ad solue'd' ad .ij. Com' Et idem Rob'us h'eat suu' recupare u's^s p'd'cm Hug³ si sibi viderit expedire

The following February, Robert de Brescy, late sheriff, sued Robert de Manley, Henry de Tarporley, Matthew de Hulgreave and Robert de Wordhull for 26s 8d due to the king. He claimed that in 33 Edward I (1304-5) he had let the bailiwick of Eddisbury hundred to Richard de Calveley; Manley, Tarporley, Hulgreave and Wordhull had mainperned Calveley to serve the office faithfully and to pay the proceeds due to the Crown to Brescy. Calveley had raised 26s 8d from Sumpter, William son of Robert, Faber and Richard son of Hugh, as above, but had not passed on the money to Brescy.

The defendants said that Calveley had been Brescy's bailiff for two years when Brescy was sheriff of Cheshire; that at the end of the first year Calveley had rendered an account of all moneys due from the hundred to the sheriff, paying everything due. They had mainperned Calveley for that first year only; that the amounts paid by Sumpter &c. were not in that first year. The case went through adjournments, and then disappears from the record.

21:354: 4 February 1309

Rob'us de Mannelegh'. Henr' de Torperlegh'. Math's de Huleg'ue. & Rob'us de Wordehull. sum' fu'nt ad respondend'. Rob'to de Brescy. +nup' vic' Cestris'.+ de pl'ito. q'd. acq'etent eu' u'sus d'nm Regem. de viginti sex solidis & octo denar'. &c'. Et vnde idem Rob'us queritur q'd cum ip'e tempe quo fuit vic' Cestris'. Anno Regni Reg^s. E. p'ris Reg^s nu'c. tricesimo tercio.⁴ dimisisset balli'am Hundredi de ~~Broxen~~ +Edesbury+ cuidam Ricardo de Caluilegh' ad faciend'. & excercend' in Hundredo p'd'co. om'ia que ad balli'am illa' ptine't. & ad leuand' om'ia & om'imoda debita d'ni Reg^s sibi in extractis lib'ata. & ad acquietand' p'd'cm Rob'm de Brescy u'sus d'nm. Rege'. & vers' quoscu'q'. de om'ib^s que Leuauerit. r'one balli'e sue p'd'ce. p' quo Ricardo. +p'd'ci+ Rob'us de Mannelegh'. & alii manucepunt. q'd ip'e fidel'r in offic'o balli'e p'd'ce deseruiet. & ip'm Rob'm de Brescy. u'sus d'nm. Rege' & alios quoscu'q' de denariis q'o's p' p'ceptu'. d'ni Regis leuau'it; acquietaret; Idem Ric'us. eodem anno. leuauit de. Rad'o Le Sumpter de Happesford'. Will'mo fil' Rob'i. Elia Fabro & Ric' fil' Hugon'. viginti sex solidos & octo denarios. ad opus d'ni Reg^s. & eos inde no' acq'etauit. Ita q'd p'd'ci Rad's. & alii p' defectu no' acq'etancie p'd'ci Ric'i recupau'nt u'sus p'd'cm Rob'm de Brescy. p'd'cos denarios. &

³ sic

⁴ 20 November 1304–19 November 1305

dampna sua. &c'. vnde dicit. q'd def'iorat^s est & da'pnu' h'et ad valenc' quadraginta solid'. & inde pducit secta'. &c'.

Et p'd'ci Rob'us de Mannelegh'. & alii ven'. & defend' vim & iniur' q'n. Et dicu't q'd p'd'cus. Ric'us de Caluilegh' fuit ball's ip'ius Rob'ti de Brescy de Hundred' p'd'co tempe quo idem Rob'us de Brescy fuit vic' Cestris' videl't p duos annos, p quo quidem Ric'o. ip'i manucepu't u'sus p'd'cm Rob'tum de Bresci vic' +q'd+ in Offic'o balli'e Hundr' p'd'ci bene & fidel'r +sibi+ deseruiet. p vnu' annu. ad finem cuius anni p'd'cus Ric'us reddidit p'd'co Rob'to de Bresci vic' compotu' suu' de om'ib^s exitib^s Hundred' p'd'ci. de quib^s ip'm. onerare potuisse de illo anno, Ita q'd in n'llo denario eidem Rob'to tu'c tenebat'. et +q'd+ de om'ib^s denar' sibi in ext^actis lib'atis leuand' ad opus d'ni Reg^s. u'sus d'nm Regem ip'm. acq'ietasset, post quem co'potu' sic redditu' p'd'cs Ric'us recessit inde q'etus. Et q'd p'd'cs Ric'us illo p'mo anno p quo ip'i manucepu't. &c'. no' leuauit p'd'cos denar' de p'd'cis Rad'o & aliis. sicut p'd'cus Rob'us de Brescy eis imponu't⁵. Et de hoc ponu't se sup p'riam. Et p'd'cs Rob's de Brescy simil'r. I'o p'ceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c'. p quos &c'.

21:554: 29 April 1309

Jur^a int' Rob'm de Brescy quer', & Rob'm de Manlegh'. Henr' de Torplegh'. Math'm de Huleg'ue & Rob'm de Wordhul de pl'ito debiti. pon' in respect' vsq' ad px'm Com' p defectu Jur' qa n'lls &c'. I'o vic' h'eat corpa &c'.

21:643: 10 June 1309

p'.

Jur' int' Rob'm de Brescy quer' & Rob'm de Manlegh' Henr' de Torplegh' Math'm de Huleg'ue & Rob'tum de Wordhull de pl'ito debiti ponit' in resp^ctu' vsq' ad px'm Com'. p def'cu Jur'. &c'. I'o vic' h'eat corpa. &c'.

21:727: 20 August 1309

p' e'

Jur^a int' Rob'm de Brescy quer'. & Rob'm de Manlegh' Henr' de Torplegh'. Math'm de Hulegreue & Rob'm de Wordhull de pl'ito deb'i ponit' in respectu' vsq' ad px'm Com'. p def'tu Jur' qa n'lls &c' I'o p'ceptu' est vic' q'd h'eat corpa &c'

⁵ sic, for imponit