

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

**THE LOSTOCK SHARE OF WYCH MALBANC
BARONY**

Richard de Wilbraham of Radnor served as sheriff of Cheshire in 1269. He died 2 Edward I [1273-1274]: his son Richard died about 6 Edward I [1277-1278], leaving the share of the barony to be split between his sisters, Matilda and Agnes.

3 October 1278 Macclesfield

Fine Roll 6 Edward I m.5 [100]

Order to Guncelin de Badelesmere, justice of Chester, to take into the king's hand the lands late of Richard son of Richard de Wilburham, [deceased], tenant in chief.

23 October 1278 Windsor

Fine Roll 6 Edward I m.5 [101]

Order to Guncelin de Badelesmere, justice of Chester, to deliver to Robert de Wininton and Maud his wife, daughter and one of the heirs of Richard de Wilburham, tenant in chief, and to Richard son of Richard de Lostok, and Agnes his wife, daughter and other heir of the said deceased, the pourparties severally falling to them of the lands late of the said deceased, and to send the partition made by him to be enrolled in Chancery; the said Robert having done homage, and the king having given the said Richard a day to do homage at the king's next coming to Chester.

Richard Lostock had granted Richard his son his lands in Plumley and Lach Dennis in 1260:

1:81: 27 January 1260

Memorand' quod die martis proxima p^s conu'sione' s'ci Pauli Ap'li Anno regni Regis H. xliiij^{to161} in pleno Com' recitata fuit hec carta &c'

Sciant om's tam p^rsentes q^am futur' quod ego Ric's de Lostok dedi concessi & hac p^rsenti carta mea confirmaui. Ric'o filio meo p homagio & s^ruicio suo totam t^ram meam in uilla de Plumleg' cu' boscis p^atis & aquis & cu' om'ibus p^tinenciis suis. Et totam t^ram meam in villa de Lache Deneys cu' om'ibus p^tinenciis suis. H'nd' & tenend' sⁱ & h'edibus suis de me & h'edibus meis lib'e. quiete. & in bona pace cu' om'ibus lib'tatibus. exitibus & appbiam'tis d'cis villis de Plumleg'. & Lache p^tinentibus. Reddendo inde annuatim mⁱ & h'edibus meis de se & h'edibus suis. vnu' par albaru' cirotecaru' ad Nat' s'ci Joh'is Bapt'. p om'i s^ruicio & sec'lari demanda. saluo forinseco s^ruicio. Et ego Ric's de Lostok & h'edes mei. has t^ras p^rd'cas cu' om'ibus p^tinen' suis d'co Ric'o filio meo & h'edibus suis contra om's ho'ies & mulieres warantzabimus in ppetuu' Et ut h' mea donacio concessio & carte mee confirmacio segura & stabilis pmaneat, huic sc^pto sigillu' meu' apposui Hiis testibus. D'no Fulcone de Orrebi tu'c Justic' Cestr'. D'no Will'o de Mascy. Rad'o de Wetinhale.

¹⁶¹ Tuesday 27 January 1260

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Steph' de M'ton'. Hamone Le Bret. Rob'to de Wyninton'. Rand'o de M'ton' cl'ico. & Multis aliis.

From December 1286 William de Stafford, Roger de Littlebury, Robert de Winnington and Matilda his wife and Richard de Lostock and Agnes his wife sued out several cases as heirs of Warin de Vernon.

A third action was brought by the heirs at the same time against Robert de Winnington and Matilda his wife; a fourth action against Ralph de Vernon, and a fifth against Emma who was wife of Richard de Lostock, and a sixth against Ranulph de Thornton and Katherine his wife.

Agnes and Matilda (née Wilbraham) are revealed as the wives of Richard de Lostock and Robert de Winnington respectively.

3:24: 17 December 1286

Dies datus Will'o de Staff' & aliis in br'i pet', & Rob'to de Winigton' & Matill' vx' eius +de pl'o t're+, vs' &c'. p'ce ptiu'.

Idem dies dat^s est eisd' petent', & Rad'o de Vernun de pl'o t're vs' &c' p'ce ptiu'.

Id' dies datus eisd', & Emme q' fuit vxor Ric'i de Lostok, de pl'o t're vs' &c'. p'ce ptiu'

m'ia. [xl.d']

Will's de Staff', Rog'us de Lyttelbur', Rob's de Winigton', Matill' vxor ei^s, Ric'us de Lostok & Agnes vxor eius q' tuleru't br'e .u^s. Ran' de Thornton' & Kat'inam vx' eius de pl'o terre, veniu't & petu't licenc' quer' melius br'e. Id'o ip'i in m'ia ppl' p'd'cor Rob'ti, & Ric'i.

3:75: 11 March 1287

Ass^a ven' recogn', si id'm Warinus auunc'ls p'd'cor Will'i & alior in p'd'ca ass^a fuit seis' de t'ib^s bouatis t're & medietate vnus bouate t're & sexta pte vnus Molend'i aq^atici cum ptin' in Piketon', die quo &c'. Et si &c. Et vnde Will' de Staff' & alij quer'ntes in pxi'o pl'o querunt' q'd Ran' de Thornton' & Kat'ina vx' eius eis deforc'. Qui ven' & respondent eod'm m'o sic' p'd'cm est, vnde h'[ent diem] inde ad iudiciu' in forma p'd'ca.

3:105: 22 April 1287

Jud'm int' p'd'cos Will'm & alios pete'tes, & Ran' de Thornton' & Kat'inam vx' eius de pl'o t're ponit' i' respectu &c'

3:198: 3 June 1287

Jud'm int' eosdem pet'ntes & Ran' de Thornton' & Kat'inam vx' eius pon' in r^m vs' &c'

3:30: 17 December 1286

m'ia. [xl.d']

Iid'm qui tuleru't br'e .u^s. Joh'em de Wetenhal ven' & petu't lic' quer' melius br'e. Id'o ip'i in m'ia.

3:104: 22 April 1287

Jud'm int' Will'm de Staff' & alios i' br'i petentes, & Joh'em de Wetenhal +de pl'o t're+ ponit' in respectu vs' ad px' Com'

3:196: 3 June 1287

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Jud'm int' p'd'cus Will' & alios in br'i petentes & Joh'em de Wetenhale poni' in r^m vs' &c'

The Cheshire inquest of service of 1288 shows Richard de Lostock holding half a knight's fee for Wych Malbanc fee, another half of a knight's fee for Shipbrook fee and a sixth part of a knight's fee for Cranage fee.

4:364: 11 May 1288

Inquisic'o ap'd Cestr' die Martis px' post Assenco'nem d'ni A r' r' E .xvj.¹⁶² coram d'no Regn' de Grey tunc Justic' Cestr'. in pleno Com' ibid' que s' uicia debent' d'no Reg' in toto Com' p'd'co te'pe guerre in Wall', videl't p subscriptos d'nos Will's de venables Rad' de vernon. Pat'cium de Haselwell Hug' de Dutton' Joh'm de s'co Petro Regin' Doumville. Joh'm de Orreby. Milites. Alex' Baumuile. Will' de Brexin. Joh'm de Wetenale Will' Gerad. Rog'm Throstel. Will' de Bonnebur'. Rob'm de Breto & Joh'm de Mott^m. qui d'nt p sacr' suum q'd

...

Rob's de Winintton' ten' medietatem & sexta ptem vni^s feodi Milit' de feodo Wici Malbani & sextam ptem vni^s feod' Milit' de feod' de Siphpbroc p dictum s'.

Ric^s de Lostock ten' medietatem feod' vnius Milit' de feodo Wici Walbani & aliam medietatem de feodo de Schipbroc & sextam ptem feodi vni^s Milit' de feodo de Craunache p d'cm s'.

Joh'es de Wetenale ten' vnu' feod' Milit' & octauam ptem & sextam ptem sexte ptis vnius feod' p dictum. s'.

Ric's de Sutton' ten' t'a feod' Milit' p dictum. s'. q' Will's Pat'ck p'us tenebat [Joh'] de s'co Petro ten' vnu' feod' & dim' p dictum s'

On 28 June 1289 William de Toft, Beatrix his mother, William Faber (Smith), Richard de Sladehurst and Letitia his wife sued Robert son of Letitia de Tabley for one messuage and an eighth of an acre of woodland in Plumley. Richard and Letitia de Sladehurst separately sued them for 2 acres of land and half a messuage in Plumley; and Ralph de Lostock accused Robert son of Letitia de Tabley of having disseised him of a quarter of an acre of woodland; and Richard son of Letitia sued them for a plot of land 40 feet by 20 in Plumley.

5:191: 28 June 1289

M'ia.....

Dampn'.ij.d'

Ass^a ven' recogn'. si Rob's fil' Letic' de Tabbeleg'h' iniuste &c' disseis' Will'm de Toft, Beat'ce' matre' ei^s, Will'm Fabru', Ric'm de Sladhurst & Leticia' vx' eius de lib'o ten' suo in Plumbeleg'h', vnde querunt' q'd disseis' eos de vno Mes' & octaua pte vni^s acre bosci & t're in ead'm villa, postq^a &c'. Et p'd'cus Rob's ven' & defendet vim &c' q^ando &c'. Et dicit q'd ing'ssus est ten' p'd'cm p feoffamentu' p'd'ci Will'i Fabri p quem sei'am inde

¹⁶² Tuesday 11 May 1288

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

optentam continuauit. Et q'd n'llam fec' iniuria' v'l dissei'am. pon' se sup ass^am. Et p'd'ci Will's & alij dicu't. q'd p'd'cus Rob's p'f'cm suu' pp'u' & dissei'am ing'diebat' ten' p'd'ca & no' p seis^am sic' alleg', Et de hoc ponit se sup ass^am s'. I'o capiat' ass^a.

Jur' d'nt sup sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cus Rob's iniuste &c' diss' p'd'cos Will'm & alios de p'd'cis Mes' & octaua pte p'd'ca vni^s acre t're, I'o p'd'ci Will's & alij recup' inde sei'am suam p visum recogn', Et p'd'cus Rob's in m'ia ppl' [blank]

5:192: 28 June 1289

[m'ia]

Ass^a ven' recog' si Rob's fil' Letic' de Tabbel', iniuste &c' diss' Ric'm de Sladehurst & Letic' vx' eius de lib'o ten' suo in Plumbeleg', vnde quer' q'd diss' eos de duab^s ac's t're & med' vnus Mes' cum ptin' in ead'm villa. postq^am &c'

[Et Rob's ven' &] defend' vim & iniuria' &c' q^ando &c'. Et dicit q'd ing'rsus est d'ca ten' p feoffament' Et q'd n'llam fec' iniuria' nec dissei'am, ponit se sup ass^am. Et p'd'cs Ric' [d'it q'd p'fat^s Rob's ex] f'co suo iniurioso & dissei'a & no' p feoffamenti' p'd'[cm, Et de hoc pon' se sup ass^am s'] I'o cap' ass'.

Jur' d'nt sup sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cus Rob's no' disseis' p'fatum Ric'm de ten' p'd'cis qa
.....

Id'o id'm Ric' de Sladehurst & Letic' vx' eius n'l cap' p br'e istud set [sint in m'ia]
..... *Pleg' suu' Will's Faber*

5:193: 28 June 1289

[M'ia]

Ass^a ven' recogn' si Rob's fil' Letic' de Tab[bel' iniuste &c' diss' [Rad'm de Lostok]
..... *[vnde] quer' q'd diss' eu' de q^arta pte vni^s acre bosci cu' [ptin' in]*
..... *[Et Rob's ven' & defend' vim] & iniuria' &c' q^ando &c'. Et d'it q'd quidam*
..... *inde sup hoc optent' p'd'cus Ric'us d'it q'd d'cus*
Rob's ip'm diss..... p'd'cm Et de hoc pon' se sup ass^am s' I'o ca[p' assi'a]
Jur' d'nt sup sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cus Ric's diss Pred'cs Ric's de Lostok
recup' inde sei^am

5:211: 28 June 1289

M'ia.xl.d' Dampn'.ij.d'.

Ass^a ven' +rec'+ si Rob's +fil' Letic'+ de Tabbele iniuste &c'. disseis' Ric'm fil' Letic' de lib'o ten' suo in Plumbeleg', vnde quer' q'd disseis' eum de vno Mes', & vna placea t're contin' in Long' viginti pticas, & in latit' q^atuor ped' postq^a &c'. Et p'd'cus Ric' d'it q'd p'fat^s Rob's ex f'co suo iniurioso & dissei'a int'uit ten' p'd'ca, & no' p feoffam'tu' p'd'cm, Et de hoc pon' se sup ass^am s' I'o cap' ass'.

Jur' d'nt sup sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cus Ric' fil' Letic' diss' est de ten' in visu suo po'itis p p'd'cm Rob'm, I'o cons' est q'd p'd'cs Ric' ret' inde sei'am sua' p visum recogn' & damp^a. Et d'cs Rob's in m'ia.

5:240: 9 August 1289

M'ia.xij.d'.

Rob's fil' Letic' de Tabell' qui tulit br'e de c'tificac'oe in m'ia pro falsa quer' quia iud'm fuit inde redd' ante inpet^ac'om istius br'is ppl' [blank].

An agreement was made between Richard de Lostock and William de Toft in 1293.

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

7:221: 14 April 1293

[m'ia]

D Will'o de Toft p lic' concordandi cu' Ric'o de Lostok de pl'o conuenc'ois & h'nt Cyrog^affu'

The abbot of Vale Royal sued Richard de Lostock for Robert son and heir of Robert le Grosvenor, whose ward and marriage belonged to him because his father held 4 bovates of land in Lostock from the abbey by service of a quarter of a knight's fee plus scutage of half a mark (6s 8d) whenever the scutage runs.

Lostock said that Graham de Lostock his ancestor enfeoffed Richard le Grosvenor, grandfather of Robert the minor, with 6 bovates of land in Lostock by service of a quarter of a knight's fee and scutage of half a mark (6s 8d) whenever scutage runs.

Afterwards it was agreed that the abbot should have the ward and marriage of the young Robert Grosvenor; but then Henry de Lacy the earl of Lincoln intervened, by William de Codnor his bailiff, saying that Richard de Lostock held the lands in question from him.

7:333: 7 July 1293

p^re'

Abbas de Valle Regali petit u^s. Ric'm. de Lostok. Rob'm fil' & h'edem Rob'i le Grouen^r. cui custodia ad ip'm p^tinet eo q'd p^rd'cus Rob's le Grouen^r terram suam tenuit. de p^rd'co Abb'e p^r s'uiciu' militare. Et vnde quer' q'd vbi p^rfat^s Rob's le Grouen^r. obiit seysitus i' Homagio ip'ius Abb'is. de. sex bouatis t're cu' p^tin' In Lostok q^as de eo tenuit p^r s'uiciu'. q^arte p^tis feodi vni^s militis faciendo ei scutagiu'. videl'. di'. m^ar. q^ando scutu' currit ad .xl.s'. quod quide' s'uiciu' p^rstat custodiam; p^rfat^s Ric's custodiam illa' sibi deforciat &c'. Et vnde def'iorat^s est &c'. Et p^rfat' Ric'us venit & defendet &c'. Et dicit q'd Grallam^s de Lostok auitus suus feofauit Ric'm le Grouen^r auu' istius Rob'i inf^a etatem existentis. de. q^atuor bouatis t're cu' p^tin' In Lostok Gralam p^r s'uiciu' militare faciendo ei Homagiu' & scutagiu' &c'. Et q'd ip'e Gralan^s obiit seysitus de Homagio et s'uicio p^rd'ci Ric'i le Grouenour. & ip'e Ric'us de Lostok & Ric'us pat^r eius de Homagio & s'uic'o hui^smodi inde p^r manus Rob'ti le Grouen^r nup defuncti. Et ita allegat custodiam p^rd'cam ad ip'm p^tin'e r'one prioris feoffamenti & s'uicii militaris optentor. Et p^rd'cus Abbas dicit q'd talis prioritas allegata p^rfato Ric'o valere no' debet, qa dicit q'd p^rfatus Rob'tus no' obiit in Homagio & S'uic'o p^rfati Ric'i p^r tenem'tis p^rd'cis put idem Ric'us asseruit. & hoc petit inquiri p^r patriam Et p^rd'cus Ric'us simil'r. I'o fiat inde Inquis'. Et p^rceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac' .xij. &c'.

7:409: 18 August 1293

m'ia xij.d'.

D Ric'o de Lostok p lic' concord' cu' Abb'e de Valle Regali de pl'o qd reddat eidem Abb'i Rob'm fil' & heredem Rob'i le Grosuen' qui ad ip'm p^tinet eo q'd p^rd'cs Rob' le Grosuenur t'ram suam tenuit de p^rd'co Abb'e p^r s'uiciu' Militar' vt dicit p^rleg' ip'ius Abb'is

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Et posta conuenit q'd p'd'cs Ric^s cognouit custod' & maritag' p'd'ci heredis esse ius p'fati Abb'is & eiusd' loci conuent^s & ecc'e b'[te Mar'] de Valle Regali. inppetuum & ip'm heredem eis reddit &c' Et sup hoc Henr' de Lascy Com' Lincoln' p Ball'm suu' Will'm [de Code]nore apponit clamiu' suu' allega's q'd d'cs Ric's de Lostock ten' de eo t'ras & ten' que s'i prestant custod' cu' aciderint & [pred'cs] Rob' le Grosuen' tenuit de p'fato Ric'o cui^s heres si infra etate' fu'it ac heres +fore+ p'fati Rob'i sic s'i accideret custod' de Et petit clamiu' suu' s'i saluar' inpost'um &c'

In 1296 William de Codnor sued Richard de Lostock for £9 5s 6d, claiming that at Michaelmas 1294 for crops sold him at Wallerscote.

8:268: 3 July 1296

p^r.

Will'ms de Codenou'e p attornat' suu'. op. se v's^s Rog'm Throstell de pl'o debiti p duo br'ia. Et ip'e no venit. Et vic' testificauit ad vltimu' Com' q'd fuit sumon'. p quod ip'e Rog's ess'. I'o cons' est q'd ponat' p vad' &c'. Et p'c' est vic' q'd ponat eu'. &c'

9:21: 25 September 1296

p^r.

Will'ms de Codenou'e p attorn' suu' optulit se v's^s Rog'm Throstell de pl'o q'd reddat ei sexdecim m^arcas p vnu' br'e. Et v's^s eund'm Rog'm de pl'o q'd reddat ei viginti libr'. Et v's^s Ric'm de Lostok de pl'o q'd reddat ei noue' libr' q'inq' sol' & sex den', quos ei deb'nt &c'. Et p'd'ci Rog's & Ric'us no' ven'. Et vic' testat' q'd fu'u't sum'. I'o p'cept' est vic' q'd ponat eos p vad' &c'. q'd sint &c'. ad respondd' p'fato Will'mo de pl'o p'd'co

9:85: 18 December 1296

Dies datus est Will'mo de Codenou'e quer', & ~~Rog'o Throstell'~~ +Ric'o de Lostok+ de pl'ito debiti, sine esson', vsq' ad px'm Com', p'ce ptiu'. p dua br'ia.

9:86: 18 December 1296

p^r.

Will'ms de Codenoure, op. se. u^s. Rog'm Thorstell de pl'o debiti p duo br'ia. Et ip'e no' venit, & h'uit die' p esson' suu' essendi hic ad hunc die', I'o p'ceptu' est vic' q'd distringate eum p om's t'ras &c'. & de exitib^s respondeat &c'. Ita q'd h'eat p'fatum Rog'm hic ad px'm Com' ad respondd' p'fato Will'mo de pl'o p'd'co.

9:119: 29 January 1297

Dies datus est Will'o de Codenou' quer', & Rog'o Trhrostell, de pl'ito debito, ad duo br'ia. vsq' ad px' Com', p' p sine esson'.

9:120: 29 January 1297

Dies datus est eid' Will'o quer', & Ric'o de Lostok, de pl'ito debiti, vsq' ad px'm Com', p'ce pciu', sine esson'.

9:136: 12 March 1297

Recogn'

m'ia.xl.d'.

Ric'us de Lostok sumon' fuit ad respondd' Will'mo de Codenou'e de pl'o q'd reddat ei noue' libras q'inq' solid' & sex denar' quos ei debet &c'. vnde dicit q'd cum ip'e ad f'm

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

s'ci Mich' anno regni Regis .E.xxij^o.¹⁶³ p^rd'co Ric'o diu's gen'a blador apd Walrescote vendidesset p^rd'ca pecunia soluend' eid'm Will'mo ad c^rtos dies int' ip'os inde assignatos; p^rd'cus Ric'us p^rd'cm debitum ei solu'e distulit vnde dic' q'd def'iorat^s est & dampnu' h't &c' & ducit sectam. Et p^rd'cus Ric'us venit & defendit vim & iniuriam q'n &c'. & petit q'd +p^rd'cus Will's+ ostendat si aliquod f'cm manuale h'eat de ip'o p^r q'd debet obligari ad p^rd'cm debitum, Et p^rd'cus Will's dicit q'd ip'e habet sectam, quod sufficit p^r ip'o ad faciend' ip'm responsalem ad acc'om u's^s +ip'm+ po'itam. Et p^rd'cus Ric'us dicit q'd illa secta quam p^rd'cus Will'ms ducit, no' sufficit ad acc'om sua' recupand'. quia attornatus suus in pl'o illo que' ducit &c'. rep'sentat p'sona' ip'ius Will'i petentis &c'. Et Henr' de Sutton' & Rad's Sampson simil'r ducti &c'. sunt sustentator' & defendentes pl'm istud p^r ip'o Will'mo. &c'. Et p^stea concord' sunt p^r licenc' &c'. ~~Et est concordia tal' q'd p^rd'cus Ric'us recognouit se debere p^rfato Will'mo sex m^areas soluend' vnam vid'lt med' ad px'm Com' & aliam medietate' ad f'm Natiutaf^s s'ci Joh' Bapt'e¹⁶⁴ sine vlt'iori dil'one. Et si contingat ip'm defic'e in sol'one p^rd'ca vid' ad px'm Com' vult & concedit q'd vic' Cestris fieri fac' totam p^rd'cam pecu'iam de t^rris & catall' suis &c'.~~

9:137: 12 March 1297

Will's de Coddenou'e p^r attorn' suu' op. se, u's. Rog'm Throstel de pl'o q'd reddat ei viginti libr' quas ei debet &c' Et p^rd'cs Rog's no' venit, et ad vltim' Com' h'uit die' p^rce ptu' essendi hic ad hunc die'. I'o p^rcept' est vic' q'd distr' eu' p^r om's t^rras &c' Et de exit' &c'. Ita q'd eu' h'beat &c' ad respondend' &c'.

Idem Will's p^r attorn' suu' op. se, u's. eund'm Rog'm de pl'o q'd reddat ei sexdecim m^arcas quas ei deb' &c' [Et p^rd'cs] Rog's no' venit, et ad vltim' Com' h'uit diem p^rce p'ciu' essendi hic ad hunc die'. I'o p^rceptu' est vic' q'd distr' [eu' p^r om's] t^rras &c' Et q'd de exit' &c'. Ita q'd eu' h'eat &c'. Ad respondend' &c'.

p^r Will'o de Codenou'e

9:164: 23 April 1297

Iud'm

M'ia rel' p^r Justic'.

Rog's Throstell sum' fuit ad respond' Will'mo de Codenou'e de pl'o debiti, vnde quer' q'd vbi p^rd'cus Will'ms vendidit +apd Cestr'+ p^rd'co R[og'o] duos equos p^r viginti libr' soluend' eid'm Will'mo in domo Mag'ri Ric'i Ingen' ap'd Cestr' die s'ci Pet' ad vinc'la anno regni [R^s] .E. vicesimo s'cdo.¹⁶⁵ ad que' p^rd'cus Will's sol'oem, p^rd'cam exp^ctauit, nec p^rd'cus Rog's soluit, s' solu'e cont^adicit ad dampn' [ip'ius] Will'i decem librar_. & ~~ducit secta~~. +sup hoc p^rf't sc^rptu' p^rd'ci Rog'i. + &c' Et p^rd'cus Rog's venit & defend' vim &c'. q'n &c', & dic' q'd ad tale' [re]monst^ac'om no' debet respondere, & p^r eo q'd in p^rd'co sc^rpto continet' q'd p^rd'cus cont^actus f'cus fuit ap'd Hawardin. & non est f'cm suu' v'l dedicat: Et j' h'nt die' de audiend' iud'm suu' ad px'm Com'. Et ad Com' die M^artis in c^asti'o s'ce scolastice v'gi's [anno] regni R^s .E. xxvj^o.¹⁶⁶ p^rd'cus Will' optulit se u'sus p^rd'cm Rog'm. &c'. Et petiit iud'm &c'. Et cons' fuit q'd p^rd'cus Will' nich' cap^r [p] br'e suu' s' esset in m'ia p^r falso clam'.

Jud'm.

¹⁶³ Wednesday 29 September 1294

¹⁶⁴ Monday 24 June 1297

¹⁶⁵ Sunday 1 August 1294

¹⁶⁶ Tuesday 11 February 1298

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

M'ia rel' p Justic'.

Rog's Throstell sumon' fuit ad respondd' Will'mo de Codenou're de pl'o q'd reddat ei sexdecim m^arcas, q^as ei debet & iniuste [detinet] vnde querit' q'd vbi p^rd'cus Will'ms vendidit p^rd'co Rog'o duos equos p^rd'ca pecunia sⁱ soluend' +apd Cestr'+ ad f'm s'ci M^artini anno d'ni M. CC[ix]. t^rcio¹⁶⁷ sine vlt'iori dil'one ad quem diem p^rd'cus Will'ms. solo'em p^rd'cam exp^ctauit & p^rd'cus Rog's no' soluit, & adhuc solu'e [dedicit] vnde dicit q'd def'iorat^s est & dampn' h't ad valencia' centu' solid', & p^rf't quoddam scⁱptu' ip'ius Rog'i &c'.

Et p^rd'cus Rog's venit & defend' vim &c', q'n & dic' q'd ad talem narraco'm no' debet respondere p^r eo q'd in ead'm [re]monst^rc'om suam de quodam co't^rctu f'co anno d'ni .M^o. ij^c. Nonogen' t^rcio. Et desicut in ista Cur' laica date de anno regni in q^alibet querela sunt pponend', & ad data de incarnac'one d'ni no' admittu' in foro isto, pet' iud'm &c'.

Et p^rd'cus Will'ms p^r attorn' suu' petit q'd p^rd'cus Rog's recognoscat f'cm suu' v'l dedicat. Et h'nt die' ad px'm Com'

Et cons' est q'd p^rd'cus Will' nich' capiat p^r br'e suu' s' sit in m'ia p^rfalso clam'.

9:235: 27 August 1297

p^r.

Will'ms de Codenou'e p^r attornatum suu' op. se u^rsus Rog'm Throstell de pl'o debiti p^r duo br'ia. Et ip'e no' venit, & h'uit die' p^r essoniatore' suu' essendi hic ad hunc die'. I'o p^rceptu' est vic' q'd distringat ip'm Rog'm p^r om's t^rras &c'. & de exitib^s &c'. 10:99: 14 January 1298

Jud'm

Jud'm int' Will'm de Coddenou'e & Rog'm Throstell in pl'o debiti pon' in r^u. vsq' &c'.

In 1300 Ranulph son of Ranulph de Marton agreed with Richard de Lostock in an assize of mort d'ancestor about 3 acres of land in Lostock Gram.

12:213: 31 May 1300

M'ia. xl.d'.

D Ran'o fil' Ran'i de M^rton' p^r licenc' concordd' cu' Ric'o de Lostok in pl'ito assi'e mortis antecessor' de t^rb^s acⁱs t^rre cu' p^rtin' in Gralames Losto^k p^rpl' ip'ius Ric'i.

Ralph son of Ralph de Vernon sued Richard de Lostock to abide by a covenant made between them about the manor of Lostock Gram, which he holds and of which he has a charter. Richard appeared and they were agreed.

18:74: 20 December 1306

Finis. dj'.M^ar'.

Rad'us fil' Rad'i de Vernon'. op. se. u^s Ric'm de Lostok de pl'ito q'd teneat ei co'uenc'om int' eos f'cam de Man'io de Lostok Gram quod tenet & de eo tener' clam' &

¹⁶⁷ Wednesday 11 November 1293

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

*vn̄de cartam sua' h'et Et p^rd'cus Ric'us venit & concordati sunt Et p^rd'cus Rad'us dat p^u lic'
concord' & petit q'd finis inde leuet^r.*