

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

**THE WINNINGTON SHARE OF WYCH MALBANC
BARONY**

Richard de Wilbraham of Radnor served as sheriff of Cheshire in 1269. He died 2 Edward I [1273-1274]: his son Richard died in 1278, leaving the share of the barony to be split between his sisters, Matilda and Agnes.

3 October 1278 Macclesfield

Fine Roll 6 Edward I m.5 [100]

Order to Guncelin de Badelesmere, justice of Chester, to take into the king's hand the lands late of Richard son of Richard de Wilburham, [deceased], tenant in chief.

9 October 1278

Inq. p. m. ii 251 [146]: Richard son of Richard de Wylburham alias de Wilberham

*Writ to Guncelin de Badelesmere, justice of Chester, 1 Oct. 6 Edw. I.*¹⁴⁴

*Chester. Inq. 9 Oct. 6 Edw. I.*¹⁴⁵

Leftetewych. The manor, with demesne, garden and park.

Sybbroc Major and Minor, Syrlache and Badeford, Stanthurl, Hurdleston, Acton and Nantwich (Wyco Maubanc), many parcels of land, the whole worth 15l. 9s. 8½d. yearly, held of the king in chief by service of 1½ and 1/6 knight's fee.

Davenham. Land worth 17«. yearly, held of William de Bostock, rendering 16½d

Maud and Agnes, his daughters, are his next heirs, and of full age.

C. Edw. I. File 18. (14.)

23 October 1278 Windsor

Fine Roll 6 Edward I m.5 [101]

Order to Guncelin de Badelesmere, justice of Chester, to deliver to Robert de Wininton and Maud his wife, daughter and one of the heirs of Richard de Wilburham, tenant in chief, and to Richard son of Richard de Lostok, and Agnes his wife, daughter and other heir of the said deceased, the pourparties severally falling to them of the lands late of the said deceased, and to send the partition made by him to be enrolled in Chancery; the said Robert having done homage, and the king having given the said Richard a day to do homage at the king's next coming to Chester.

From December 1286 William de Stafford, Roger de Littlebury, Robert de Winnington and Matilda his wife and Richard de Lostock and Agnes his wife sued out several cases as heirs of Warin de Vernon: see further sub Shipbrook barony.

3:24: 17 December 1286

¹⁴⁴ Saturday 1 October 1278

¹⁴⁵ Sunday 9 October 1278

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Dies datus Will'o de Staff' & aliis in br'i pet', & Rob'to de Winigton' & Matill' vx' eius +de pl'o t're+, vs' &c'. p^rce p^tiu'.

Idem dies dat^s est eisd' petent', & Rad'o de Vernun de pl'o t're vs' &c' p^rce p^tiu'.

Id' dies datus eisd', & Emme q' fuit vxor Ric'i de Lostok, de pl'o t're vs' &c'. p^rce p^tiu'

m'ia. [xl.d']

Will's de Staff', Rog^rus de Lyttelbur', Rob's de Winigton', Matill' vxor ei^s, Ric'us de Lostok & Agnes vxor eius qⁱ tuleru't br'e .u^s. Ran' de Thornton' & Kat'inam vx^r eius de pl'o terre, veniu't & petu't licenc' quer' melius br'e. Id'o ip'i in m'ia ppl' p^rd'cor Rob'ti, & Ric'i.

3:28: 17 December 1286

m'ia. xl.d'

Idem Will's & alij qⁱ tuleru't br'e .u^s. Vrianu' de S'co ¹⁴⁶ & Margareta' vx' eius de pl'o t're, ven' & petu't lic' quer' melius br'e Id'o ip'i in m'ia ppl' p^rd'cor Rob'ti & Ric'i

3:29: 17 December 1286

m'ia. [xl.d']

Iid'm. qui tulerunt br'e .u^s. Ran' de Oldeton' de pl'o t're ven'. & petu't lic' quer' melius br'e. Id'o ip'i in m'ia

3:30: 17 December 1286

m'ia. [xl.d']

Iid'm qui tuleru't br'e .u^s. Joh'em de Wetenhal ven' & petu't lic' quer' melius br'e. Id'o ip'i in m'ia.

3:73: 11 March 1287

Ad Jud'm

H'nt die' vs' ad px' Com'.

Ass^a venit recogn', si Warinus le Vernoun, Auunc'ls ¹⁴⁷ +Will'i de Stafford & Rog^ri de Litlebur'+ & Matill' & Agnet' filiar^r Ric'i de Wilburh^m fuit seis' in d'nico suo &c' die quo &c'. +de vno Mes'. septe' bouatis t're & sexta pte vni^s molend'i aquatici cu' p^tin' in Piketon'+. Et si obiit postq^a &c'. Et si p^rd'ci Will's, Rog^rus, Matill' & Agnes p^pinquiores h'edes eius sint. Et vnde Will's de Staff', Rog^rus de Littelbur', Rob's de Wynigton' Matill' vxor eius, Ric'us de Lostok & Agnes vxor ei^s q' runt^r q'd Vrianus de s'co Petro & Margareta vxor eius eis deforc' ten' p^rd'.

Et p^rd'ci Vrianus & Margareta p attorn' suu' ven' & dicu't q'd assi'a no' debet inde pced'e, Dicu't eni' q'd p^rd'ci Rog^r & alij alias in Cur' ista tulerunt quodda' br'e de ingr'u u^rsus p^rd'cos Vrianu' & Margareta' de p^rd'cis tenem'tis, Quod quide' br'e est de altiori natura & tangit ip'm ius & petu't iud'm si ad br'e assi'e mortis antec' quod est de ip'a poss'oe possint resortire, desicut br'e istud est inferioris nature q^am aliud br'e pⁱus impet^atum.

Et p^rd'ci Will's & alij d'nt q'd ass^a debet inde pced'e no' obstante eo q'd alias se impet^arunt p aliud br'e, & si br'e illud fuit br'e altioris nat'e, dicu't eni' q'd br'e de poss'one posterius impet^atum, pced'e debet in natura sua, eo q'd in alio br'i prius impet^ato no' fuit aliquis exitus placiti, nec visus exactus nec iud'm in pendulo sup ip'o iure, n^c iud'm exspectaru't, s'cdm natura' pⁱoris br'is de ingr'u, +nec fuit petie'o in alio br'i de t^ens~~.....~~

¹⁴⁶ omitted: Petro

¹⁴⁷ words erased

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

~~iur~~ inde s' de+ quia q^amcito circu'specti extit' ant q'd pⁱmu' br'e in casu suo Fuit eis min^s idoneu' an impet^acione illa recesseru't. Et petu't q'd ass' inde pcedat

Et p^rd'ci Vrianus & Margareta d'nt q'd Will's, Rog^rus & alij pⁱmu' breue de ingr'u impet^atum in Cur' ista p^sent' fueru't, & de seisina p^rd'ci Warini antec' sui narraunt vt de feodo & iure, ita q'd s'cdm forma' meri iuris in curia ista solempnit' pⁿu'ciati sectam sua' manifeste fec'unt u'sus p^rd'cos Vrianu' & Margar' de ten' p^rd'cis, n'lla variac'oe graduu' f'co medio t'pre, & petit iud'm si ass^a debeat inde pced'e. 3:74: 11 March 1287

Ass^a ven' recogn', si +p^rd'cs+ Warinus p^rd'cus auunc'ls p^rd'cor Will'i &c', fuit seis' in d'nico suo vt de feodo, de duab^s bouatis t're, viginti & noue' ac's bosci cu' p^tin', excepta vna ac^a t're in Monishull iux^a Wermingh^am die quo &c'. Et vnde p^rd'ci Will' de Staff', Rog's de Littelbur', Rob's de Wynington' Matill' vx' eius, Ric's de Lostoke, & Agn' vx' eius querunt', q'd Ran' de Oaldeton' eis deforc'. Et ip'e ven' & respondit eod'm m'o sic' fec'unt p^rd'ci Vrianus & Margareta & pet' iudiciu' simil'r. Et p^rd'ci Will's & alij d'nt sic' p^rdix'unt in pxi'o pl'o, & pet' q'd ass^a capiat'. vnde ad iud'm eod'm m'o quo pⁱus. Et p^sea ad Com' die M^art^s p^xa p^s f'm s'ci Barth'i¹⁴⁸ testific' e' q'd vna de p^cenar' p^rd'cis .s. Matill' q' fuit vx' Rob'i de Wynington mortua est, I'o p^rd'cs Ran' inde s'n die. Et p^rd'ci Will' & alij, p^quirant s' nouu' br'e si volu'int.

3:75: 11 March 1287

Ass^a ven' recogn', si id'm Warinus auunc'ls p^rd'cor Will'i & alior in p^rd'ca ass^a fuit seis' de t^bs bouatis t're & medietate vnus bouate t're & sexta pte vnus Molend'i aq^atici cum p^tin' in Piketon', die quo &c'. Et si &c. Et vnde Will' de Staff' & alij quer'ntes in pxi'o pl'o querunt' q'd Ran' de Thornton' & Kat'ina vx' eius eis deforc'. Qui ven' & respondent eod'm m'o sic' p^rd'cm est, vnde h'[ent diem] inde ad iudiciu' in forma p^rd'ca.

3:104: 22 April 1287

Jud'm int' Will'm de Staff' & alios i' br'i petentes, & Joh'em de Wetenhal +de pl'o t're+ ponit' in respectu vs' ad p^x' Com'

3:105: 22 April 1287

Jud'm int' p^rd'cos Will'm & alios pete'tes, & Ran' de Thornton' & Kat'inam vx' eius de pl'o t're ponit' i' respectu &c'

3:106: 22 April 1287

Jud'm int' p^rd'cos Will'm & alios pet', & Ran' de Oldeton', de pl'o terre ponit' in respectu vs' &c'.

3:107: 22 April 1287

Jud'm int' p^rd'cos Will'm & alios pet', & Vrianu' de s'co Petro & Margar' vx' eius de pl'o t're ponit' i' respectu vs' &c'.

3:195: 3 June 1287

Jud'm int' Will'm de Staff' & alios in br'i petentes & Vrianu' de s'co Pet^o & Margaretam vx' eius po^r in r^m vs' &c'.

3:196: 3 June 1287

Jud'm int' p^rd'cus Will' & alios in br'i petentes & Joh'em de Wetenhale poni' in r^m vs' &c'

3:197: 3 June 1287

Jud'm int' eosdem pet'ntes & Ran' de Oaldeton' ponit' in r^m vs' &c'

¹⁴⁸ Tuesday 26 August 1287

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

3:198: 3 June 1287

Jud'm int' eosdem pet'ntes & Ran' de Thornton' & Kat'inam vx' eius pon' in r^m vs' &c'

The Cheshire inquest of service of 1288 shows Robert de Winnington holding a sixth part of a knight's fee for Shipbrook fee; Richard de Lostock holding half a knight's fee for Wych Malbanc fee, another half of a knight's fee for Shipbrook fee and a sixth part of a knight's fee for Cranage fee; and John de Wetenhall a knight's fee, an eighth and a sixth part of a knight's fee.

4:364: 11 May 1288

Inquisic'o ap'd Cestr' die Martis px' post Assenco'nem d'ni A r' r' E .xvj.¹⁴⁹ coram d'no Regn' de Grey tunc Justic' Cestr'. in pleno Com' ibid' que s'uicia debent' d'no Reg' in toto Com' p'd'co te'pe guerre in Wall', videl't p subscriptos d'nos Will's de venables Rad' de vernon. Pat'cium de Haselwell Hug' de Dutton' Joh'm de s'co Petro Regin' Doumville. Joh'm de Orreby. Milites. Alex' Baumuile. Will' de Brexin. Joh'm de Wetenale Will' Gerad. Rog'm Throstel. Will' de Bonnebur'. Rob'm de Breto & Joh'm de Mott^m. qui d'nt p sacr' suum q'd

...

Rob's de Winintton' ten' medietatem & sexta ptem vni^s feodi Milit' de feodo Wici Malbani & sextam ptem vni^s feod' Milit^s de feod' de Siphbroc p dictum s'.

Ric^s de Lostock ten' medietatem feod' vnius Milit^s de feodo Wici Walbani & aliam medietatem de feodo de Schipbroc & sextam ptem feodi vni^s Milit' de feodo de Craunache p d'cm s'.

Joh'es de Wetenale ten' vnu' feod' Milit^s & octauam ptem & sextam ptem sexte ptis vnius feod' p dictum. s'.

Ric's de Sutton' ten' t'a feod' Milit' p dictum. s'. q' Will's Pat'ck p'us tenebat [Joh'] de s'co Petro ten' vnu' feod' & dim' p dictum s'

...

In 1289 we find Robert making an agreement with Robert his son as to some land:

5:246: 9 August 1289

m'ia.xl.d'

D Rob'to de Wynyngton' p licent' concord' cu' Rob'to fil' eius de pl'o t're ppl' Ric'i de Bradewall

In 1292-3, in the Staffordshire Assize Roll of 21 Edward I (m. 34: Salt 6a 226), the coparceners appear, possessing free warren, fair, market and waif in Alstonefield.

¹⁴⁹ Tuesday 11 May 1288

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Nicholas de Audelegh, Hugh le Despencer, William de Stafford, Roger de Littleburi, Robert de Wymynton (Wilbraham), David de Haselwell, Margaret de Hogeleghe, and Robert le Grant Venur (Grosvenor), were summoned to show by what warrant they claimed free warren, fair, market, and wayf in Alstanefeld. The defendants all appeared except Robert de Wymynton, who was dead, and they stated that they held the said manor with all its liberties conjointly with Richard the son and heir of the said Robert de Wymynton, who was under age, and without whom they cannot answer. The suit is therefore to remain.

[In 1294 Nicholas de Audley produced this charter of Henry III to his ancestor James de Audley as evidence of free warren in Alstonefield:

Coram Rege Trinity 22 Edward I m. 19d: Salt vii 16-17

Staff.

In the suit of quo warranto of the king versus Nicholas de Aldithele, the claim of Nicholas to free warren in Endon, Aldithele, Rettele, Tunstal, Chesterton, Horton, and Alstanefeld, is allowed, Nicholas having produced a charter of king Henry granting free warren to his ancestor James de Aldithele in those manors; and he claimed view of frankpledge, amends of the assize of bread and beer, and infangenthef in Enedon, Aldithele, and Bettele by prescription, and appealed to a jury, and the jury stated that Nicholas and his ancestors had held those franchises in those manors before the time of king Richard.¹⁵⁰ They are therefore allowed; and as regards the manor of Horton, he claimed view of frankpledge, amends of the assize of bread and beer, and infangenthef, and stated that the manor had formerly been in the seisin of one Henry (sic, Hervey) de Stafford, who in his time held them, and that in the seventeenth year of King Henry¹⁵¹ a fine was levied between Henry de Aldithele his ancestor, and the said Hervey, by which Hervey acknowledged the said manor to be the right of the said Henry, and for which Henry gave him certain other tenements, and he produced the fine, and he called to warranty Edmund son of Nicholas de Stafford, the heir of the said Hervey, who is under age; and Hugh de Louthre the king's attorney objected, stating that the liberties in question belonged to the Crown, and did not appertain to a tenement, and there was no express mention of them in the fine; and he also pleaded that the said Hervey never possessed the franchises in that manor, and appealed to a jury; which found that Hervey possessed those franchises during his time. The suit is therefore to remain till the heir comes of age; and as regards the manor of Tunstall, Nicholas stated he claimed view of frankpledge, assize of bread and beer, and wayf, and that Engenulf de Grasele and Elena his wife, whose right the manor was formerly, gave it to one Adam de Aldithele his ancestor, and Engenulph and Elena from time out of memory had held those liberties in that manor, and he appealed to a jury, which found in his favour. And as regards the manor of Bettelegh, he stated he claimed to have in it a fair and market by a charter of king Henry, which he produced, and which testified that the king had given to Henry de Aldithele his ancestor that he and his heirs should have in perpetuity a weekly market on Thursdays, and a fair of three days on the vigil, the feast, and the morrow of St Margaret in the manor of Bettelegh. These franchises are therefore allowed to him. And as regards the manor of Chesterton, he stated that Ela formerly wife of James de Audele held it in dower, and that he claimed none of the above franchises in the manors of Chesterton and Alstanefeld; and as regards wayf, the king's attorney pleaded it was a grossum de coronâ, which could not be separated from the Crown without a special grant from the king; and a day was given to the parties to hear judgment on this point on the morrow of the Ascension, and which was adjourned from term to term till the octaves of Trinity¹⁵² in this year, when Nicholas did not appear, and it was adjudged to the King by his default. A postscript states that afterwards, in the octaves of St. Michael,

¹⁵⁰ 1189-1199

¹⁵¹ 1232-1233

¹⁵² Sunday 20 June 1294

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

22 E. I.,¹⁵³ the king sent a writ stating that whereas he understood from the said Nicholas that the king had recovered the franchise of wayf against the said Nicholas in his manors of Enedon, Tunstal, Alditheleie, Horton, Chesterton, Betteleie, and Alstanefeld, through default of appearance of Nicholas, whilst he was in the service of Edmund the king's brother in Gascony, he was to be put into the same position as regards the question as before his default. The sheriff was therefore ordered to put Nicholas again into seisin of the said liberty of wayf, saving the king's rights, etc.]

Robert de Winnington died in 1295: the share acquired by marriage to Matilda de Wilbraham was inherited by his son Richard.

18 February 1295

Inq. p. m. iii 244 [154]: Robert de Wynington alias de Wyninton

Writ to the escheator of co. Chester, 22 Jan. 23 Edw. I.¹⁵⁴

Chester. Inq. Friday after St. Valentine, 23 Edw. I.¹⁵⁵

Wyninton. The manor, whereof a moiety held of the abbot of Chester for homage and 10s. yearly, and the other moiety held by the courtesy of England after the death of Margery his wife of the heirs of sir Warin de Meynwarding for 1/6 knight's fee.

Robert, son of the said Robert and Margery, aged 30, is heir of this manor.

After the death of the said Margery the said Robert married Maud daughter of Richard de Wilburham and heir of Richard her brother, who held of the king in chief a moiety of the manor of Leftewych, and many divers parcels in Schibbrock major, Schyrlach, Bradeford, Hurdlyston, Acton and Nantwich (Wicus Mauban), and a plot of land in Davenaham; all which he held of the king for ½ and 1/6 knight's fee after the death of the said Maud by the courtesy of England.

Richard, son of the said Robert and Maud, aged 21 at the feast of SS. Peter and Paul last, is next heir of the same Maud.

C. Edw. I. File 70. (23.)

16 March 1295 Conway

Fine Roll 23 Edward I m.17 [351]

Order to Reynold de Grey, justice of Chester, or his lieutenant, to deliver to Richard, son and heir of Maud daughter of Richard de Wylburham, tenant in chief, the lands late of his said mother, he having done fealty. By p.s

Richard de Harley and Burgia his wife were sued by John de Orreby to acquit him of the custom and service that Ralph son of Ralph de Vernon and Richard son of Robert de Winnington were exacted from him for a tenement that he held from Richard and Burgia in Walgherton, Church Coppenhall and Shavington. Richard and Burgia appeared and granted that they would acquit him of the services due to Winnington.

¹⁵³ Wednesday 6 October 1294

¹⁵⁴ Friday 22 January 1294

¹⁵⁵ Friday 19 February 1294

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

12:110: 26 January 1300

p^r.

Joh'nes de Orreby. op. se. u^s. Ric'm de Harleg' & Burgiam vxore' eius de placito q'd acq'etent ip'm de cons' & s'uic' que Rad'us fil' Rad'i de Vernon & +Ric' fil'+ Rob'ti de Wynigton' ab eo exigunt de lib'o tenem'to quod de p'd'cis Ric'o & Burgia tenet in Walyrton' Chirche copinhale, & Schauington' iux^a le Hogh', Et p'd'ci Ric'us & Burgia no' ven', & sum' testat^r, Ideo p'cept' est vic' q'd attach' eos q'd sint &c', ad respondend', &c'

12:163: 19 April 1300

p^r.

Joh'nes de Orriby. op. se. u^s. Ric'm de Harlegh' & Burgiam vx'em eius de pl'ito q'd acq'etent eum de s'uiiciis que Rad's fil' Rad'i le Vernon. & Ric's fil' Rob'ti de Wynigton' ab eo exigunt de lib'o ten' quod de p'd'cis Ric'o & Burgia tenet in Walherton' Chirchecoponale & Schauyngton' — Et p'd'ci Ric's & Burgia no' veniu't, Et p'ceptu' fuit vic' q'd attach' eos q'd essent hic &c' ad respondend' &c', qui uenit, & testat^r q'd nich' h't in balli'a sua p quod attach' p't nisi siccu' redditu' quem posuit in defensu' d'ni. R^s. & de quo redditu' t'minus est ad festum Ascens' d'ni pximo &c'. videl't quatuor Marc', p quas p'ceptu' est p'd'cos Ric'm & Burgiam dist'ng'e Et p'cept' est vic' q'd dist'ngat &c'

12:209: 31 May 1300

M'ia. dj' m'

Ric'us de Harlegh & Burgia vx^r eius sumon' fu^runt ad respondd' Joh' de Orreby de pl'ito q'd acquietent eum de s'uiiciis & consuetudinib^s que Rad's fil' Rad'i de Vernu' & Ric'us fil' Rob'ti de Wynington' ab eo exigunt, de lib'o ten' quod de p'd'cis Ric'o & Burgia tenet in Walherton', Chirchecopenhale, & Shauington' iux^a le Hogh', vnde iid'm Ric'us & Burgia, qui medij sunt int^r eos eum inde acquietare deb'nt &c'. Et p'd'cus Ric'us de Harlegh' venit & p se & Burgia vx^r sua venit & co'cessit acquietare p'd'cm Joh'em de s'uic' &c' que p'd'ci Rad's & Ric'us ab eo exigunt de lib'o ten' in vill' p'd'cis. Et sup hoc p'd'cus Ric'us salua s' ppte sua s'uic'or p'd'cor co'cessit p'd'co Rad'o homag' p'd'ci Ric'i de Harlegh' qui p^rsens fuit in Cur' & homag' illd eid' Rad'o fecit &c'. Et p'd'cus Joh' de Orreby dat p licenc' &c'. ppl' [blank]

Robert Ellis and Margaret his wife sued Robert son of Robert de Winnington for a saltpit in Northwich, as well as for the charter by which they were entitled to it, which Margaret had entrusted Winnington with on Friday 7 May 1294: that they had been disseised of the saltpit by Robert de Winnington the father. Robert the defendant said that he and Annora his wife had been granted four saltpits in Northwich by Robert his father by a charter of feoffment, which he produced. He said that the saltpit in question was one of these four. Eventually the Ellises abandoned their suit.

13:40: 15 November 1300

p^r.

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Rob's Elys & Margareta vx^r eius petunt. u^rsus Rob'm fil' Rob'i de Wynigton' vnam salinam cu' p^rtin' in Northwico vt ius suu' p^r br'e de ingressu — Et p^rd'cus Rob's filius Rob'to venit & petit inde visum &c'. Et p^r. e'. vic' q'd hab'e fac'. &c'.

p^r.

Iid'm Rob'tus & Margareta. op. se. u^s. Rob'm filiu' Rob'ti de Wynigton', de pl'ito detenc'ois vni^s carte, Et ip'e no' venit. Et sum' testat^r. Ideo p^r. e'. vic', q'd attach' eu' &c', q'd sit &c'.

13:67: 10 January 1301

p^r.

Rob'tus Elys & Margar' vxor eius petunt uers^s Rob'm fil' Rob'ti de Wynigton' q^amdam cartam continentem vnam salinam +cu' p^rtin'+ in Northwico, vnde quer' q'd die ven'is p^rx^a p^st f'm s'ce Elene, anno R'. R'. E. xxij.¹⁵⁶ d'cam cartam p^rfato Rob'to in custodia p^r manus d'ce Marger' quousq' indig^rent tradidissent; ac pl'ies requis' q'd carta illa t^aderetur eis d'm, illam h're non potu'ut, quia p^rd'cs Rob'tus eam detinuit, & adhuc detinet, ad dampnu' p^rd'cor Rob'ti & Margarete, Centu' solid', & offeru't sectam &c', Et p^rd'cus Rob'tus venit & dicit q'd p^rd'ca Margar' nullam carta' sibi t^adidit custodiend' nec aliq^am eis iniuste detinet & hoc petit q'd inquir', Et p^rd'ci Rob'tus & Margar' simil'r Ideo fiat inde inquis' &c'. Et. p^r. e' vic' q'd venire fac' .xij. &c'.

13:87: 10 January 1301

Rob'us Elys & Margar' vx^r eius petunt u^s Rob'm fil' Rob'ti de Wynigton' vnam salinam cu' p^rtin' in Northwico quam clam' esse ius & hered' ip'ius Margar'. & de qua ead'm Margar' fuit seisita in d'nico suo vt de feodo tempe pacis & tempe Reg^s E. nu'c capiend' inde explecia. vt in locac'oe saline, & aliis expletiis, ad valenc' d'j m^ar & amplius Et in quam id'm Rob'us no' h'et ingressum nisi post diss^am quam Rob'us Wyninton' pat^r p^rd'ci Rob'i fil' Rob'i inde fecit p^rfate Margarete Postq^a .J. Scoti^s. &c' Et q'd tale sit ius suu' petunt &c'. Et p^rd'cus Rob'us fil' Rob'ti venit &c' Et dicit q'd ip'e tenet d'cam salinam co'iunctim cu' Annora vx^r sua p^r quamda' cartam feoffame'to quam p^rfert & que testat^r q'd quid' Rob'us de Wynigton' dedit p^rd'cis Rob'to & Annora quatuor salinas in villa de Northwico, int^r quas d'ca salina continet^r. Et petit Jud'm si ad istud br'e &c' sine d'ca Annora &c' Et p^rd'ci Rob'tus & Margar' d'nt q'd illa salina quam petunt u^rsus eund'm Rob'm fil' Rob'ti no' est contenta. in carta p^rd'ca. Et hoc offer't &c' Et d'cus Rob'us fil' Rob'i dicit q'd illa salina quam d'ci Rob'us & Margar' petunt vers^s eum continet^r in carta p^rd'ca. int^r quatuor salinas p^rd'cas. et hoc petit &c' Et d'ci Rob'us & Margar' similit^r I'o p^r est vic' q'd venire fac' .xij. &c' ad p^rx'm Com'

13:95: 7 February 1301

Dies datus est Rob'to Elys & Margar' vx^r eius quer', & Rob'to de Winigton', de pl'ito t're vsq' ad p^rx'm Com' p^rce p^rciu'; p

Dies datus est d'cis Rob'to & Margar', quer', & d'co Rob'to. de Winigton', de pl'ito co'uenc'ois, vsq' ad p^rx'm Com'. p^rce p^rciu'.

13:138: 21 March 1301

Jur' int^r Rob'm Elis, & Margar' vx^rem eius, & Rob'm fil' Rob'ti de Winington', ponit^r in r' qa infra passionem &c'. in pl'ito detenc'onis vni^s carte.

Jur' int^r eosd'm de pl'ito detenc'onis vni^s saline ponit^r in respectu vsq' &c' p^r eodem

¹⁵⁶ Friday 7 May 1294

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

14:8: 2 May 1301

Dies datus e' Rob'to Elys & Margarete vx'i eius, quer', & Rob'to fil' Rob'i de Wynigton', de pl'ito t're, & detenc'ois vni^s carte p duo br'ia, vsq' ad px'm Com' p'ce pciu';

14:34: 13 June 1301

Jur^a int' Rob'm Elis & Margaretam vx'em eius quer' & Rob'm fil' Rob'ti de Wynigton' de pl'ito terre po' in r^u.vsq' &c' p def'cu

14:48: 13 June 1301

m'ia .xl.d'.

Rob'us Elis & Margar' vxor eius, qui tulerunt br'e de detenc'oe vni^s carte. u's^s Rob'm fil' Rob'ti de Wynigton', non est ps'. Ideo ip'e & Will'ms de Tofte & Will'ms de Coton', plegij sui de ps' in m'ia

14:177: 5 September 1301

M'ie dj' m'

Rob'us Elys & Margareta vxor eius qui tulerunt br'e de ingressu u'sus Rob'm fil' Rob'ti de Wynigton' non sunt ps' I'o ip'i & Will's de Tofte & Will'ms de Coton' plegii sui de ps' in m'ia

William de Codnor sued Robert de Winnington, Annora his wife and John de Winnington for having disseised him of 15 acres in Winnington. John says that he entered into a plot of land 50 feet square, part of the 15 acres, by gift and feoffment of Robert and Annora. Codnor withdrew the suit.

14:22: 13 June 1301

Ass^a venit recogn' si Rob'tus de Wynigton' Annora vxor eius & Joh'nes de Wynigton'. iniuste &c' diss' Will'm de Codenou'e de lib'o ten' suo in Wynigton' &c' Et vnde querit' q'd diss' eum de quindecim acris terre cum ptin' &c' postq^am &c' Et p'dicti Rob'tus, Annora & Joh'nes veniunt, & p'd'cus Joh'nes tamq^am tenen's de quadam placea p'd'car q'ndecim acr' terre co'tinente q'nq'ginta pedes in longitudine & quinq'ginta pedes in latitud' dicit q'd ip'e int'uit p'd'cam placeam terre p donu' & feoffam'tum p'd'ci Rob'ti & non p iniur' nec dissei'am & de hoc vocat p'd'cm Rob'm ad warant' &c' qui p'sens est & ei warant' tam de p'd'ca placea terre s' q^am de residuo p'd'car q'ndecim acr' terre ijdem Rob'us & Annora d'nt q'd quidam Rob'us de Wynigton' +p'tr+ p'd'ci Rob'ti dedit p'dicta ten' p'fatis Rob'to & Annore & h'edib^s de corpib^s eord'm exeuntib^s, p quod donu' ip'i fu'unt in plena & pacifica seisinā & ead'm ten' tradiderunt p'd'co Rob'to pat' p'd'ci Rob'ti tenenda ad t'm vite sue p quedam sc'pta que pferunt & que hoc testant' vnde d'nt q'd p'd'cus Will'ms numq^am fuit seisit' de p'd'cis ten' vt de lib'o ten' suo p quod potuit diss' &c', & hoc petunt q'd inquir' &c' Et p'd'cus Will'ms dicit q'd ip'e fuit in plena & pacifica seis' de p'd'cis ten' vt de lib'o ten' suo, quousq' p'dicti Rob's, Annor' & alij ip'm iniuste &c' diss', & hoc petit q'd inquir'. Et p'dicti Rob's & alij simil'r, Ideo capiar' ass^a, que difert' vsq' ad px'm Com' p defectu Jur'.

14:149: 25 July 1301

m'ia ijs'

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Will'ms de Codenou're qui tulit br'e no. dis. u'sus Rob'm de Wynigton' & Annora' vx' eius & Joh'em de Wynigton' no' est ps'. Ideo Hugo de Caluelegh' & Thom' de Cestre plegii sui de ps in m'ia

m'ia ijs'

D Ric'o fil' Thom' de Kelsall qa no' venit ad ass^am, int' p^rd'cm Will'm de Codenou'e & Rob' de Wynigton'

Robert de Winnington and Annora his wife sued John son of Robert de Winnington clerk for having disseised them of 1½ acres 1 rod of land in Winnington. John said that he found his wife Letitia seised of an acre on the day of their wedding: however, the court found against him. Robert and Annora also sued him for 14 acres of (arable) land and 4 acres of meadow in Winnington. John said that Robert had made a quitclaim to him of these and all other lands that their father had given him: and he produced a deed of quitclaim under Robert's name. Robert denied that this was valid, and said that the witnesses should be called.

14:37: 13 June 1301

[m'ia]d'

Joh'es fil' Rob'ti de Wynigton' qui tulit br'e de warant' carte. u^s. Rob'm fil' Rob'ti de Wynigton' non +est+ ps'. ideo ip'e & Ric'us [de] Wynigton' & Ric' de Aketon' pleg' sui +de+ ps' in m'ia.

14:67: 25 July 1301

Ass^a venit recogn' si Joh'es fil' Rob'ti de Winigton' Cl'icus iniuste &c' diss' Rob'tum de Wynigton' & Annoram vx'em eius de lib'o ten' suo in Wynigton', vnde querunt q'd diss' eos, de vna acra t're & dimid' & vna roda cum p'tinenc' &c' postq^am [&c'] Et p^rd'cus Joh'nes venit, & quoad d'cam dimid' ac^am t're dicit q'd illa dimid' ac^a est bruera & non t'ra arabil' [& si con]uincat' p^r ass', q'd illa dimid' ac^a sit t'ra arabil'; dicit q'd int^auit p^rd'cam dimid' ac^am p^r d'cm Rob'm & [inde] +non+ [fecit] dissei'am, & hoc petit q'd inquir', Et quoad p^rd'cam ac^am & vnam rodam terre, dicit, q'd ip'e inuenit q^amdam Leticiam vx' sua' +inde+ seisitam die quo ip'am desponsauit, sine qua non potest d'ca ten' deduc'e in iud'm, & que non no'iat' in [br'i] & petit iud'm, Et si conuincat' p^r ass', q'd ip'e non inuenit p^rd'cam Leticiam [sei't'] &c' dicit q'd ip'e int^auit p^rd'ca [ten'] p^r donu' & feoffame'tum cui^sdam Rob'ti p'ris sui, & no' p^r diss', & si conuin[cat' p^r ass', dic' q] 'd p^rd'ci Rob's & Alianora no' fu'unt co'iunctim seisiti de p^rd'cis ten' vt de lib'o ten' suo, p^r quod potu[unt ei don'e] hoc ponit q'd inquir' p^r ass' Et p^rd'ci Rob's & Annora similit', I'o inquir', Jur' d'nt sup' sacr'm suu' q'd p^rd'cus Joh'nes diss' p^rd'cos Rob' & Annoram de ten' in visu suo positus, Ideo cons' est q'd p^rd'ci Rob's & Annora recupauit seis' suam de ten' p^rd'[cis] p^r vis' recognitor & dampna sua que taxant', ad .ij.s'. Et p^rd'cus Joh'nes in m'ia ppl' [blank]

Dampna — ij.s'. T. C.

14:153: 5 September 1301

p^r.

Ass^a venit recogn' si Joh'es fil' Rob'ti de Wynigton' Cl'icus iniuste &c' diss' Rob'm de Wynigton' & Annora' vx'em eius de lib'o ten' suo in Wynigton', postq^am &c' Vnde quer'

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

q'd diss' eos de xiiij acris t're & .iiij. acr' p^ati cu' p^tinenciis &c' Et p^rd'cus Joh'nes venit & dicit q'd ass^a non debet inde int' eos fieri eo q'd idem Rob's remisit & quiet' clam' p^rd'co Joh'i & h'edib^s suis totu' ius & clam' quod h'uit vel aliquo modo h're potuit in p^rd'cis ten' & in aliis, que Rob's de Wynigton' pat' p^rd'ci Rob'ti dedit p^rfato Joh'ni & h'edib^s suis & sup hoc p^rfert quoddam scⁱptum quiete clamancie sub no'ie ip'ius Rob'ti quod hoc testat' &c', Et p^rd'cus Rob'us dicit q'd aliud scⁱptu' quod p^rfert, nu'q^am fuit f^cm suu', & hec patus est u^rificare p^r testes in d'co scⁱpto no'iatos, videl't p^r Rad'm de Vernon, Hug' de Venables, Milites, Will'm de Tofte, Adam de Tabbeleg', Henr' Cam'ar' de Frodesh^am, Rob'm Elis, Will'm de Horton', Thom' de Wynigton', I'o p^rc' est vic' q'd venir' faciat p^rd'cos testes & pret' illos, alios .xij. &c', qui nec &c'

Unresolved cases continued between members of the family until December:

14:184: 5 September 1301

Dies datus est Rob'to filio Rob'i de Wynigton' & Annore vx'i eius quer' & Ranulpho fil' Rob'ti de Wynigton' de pl'ito t're vsq' ad p^rxim' Com' p^rce p^rciu'.

14:186: 5 September 1301

Ass^a. no. dis. int' Ranulphum fil' Rob'ti de Wynigton' quer' & Rob'm de Wynigton' seniore' & Annora' vx' eius de lib'o ten' in Wynigton' ponit' in resp^ctum vsq' ad p^rxim' Com'

15:26: 17 October 1301

m'ia .xl.d'.

Rob's de Wynigton' & Annora vx' eius qui tuleru't br'e ass' no. dis. u^rsus Joh'em fil' Rob'i de Wynigton', no' su't ps', Ideo ip'i & Matheus de Hulgreue cl'icus, pleg' de ps' in m'ia.

15:27: 17 October 1301

Ass^a. no. dis. int' Ranulph' fil' Rob'i de Wynigton' quer' & Rob'm de Wynigton' & al' ponit' in r^m vsq' ad p^rx'm Com' p^rce p^rciu'

15:31: 17 October 1301

Dies datus est Rob'to fil' Rob'i de Wynigton' & Annore vx'i eius quer' & Ran' fil' Rob'i de Wynigton' de pl'ito t're vsq' ad p^rx' Com' p^rce p^rciu'

15:47: 5 December 1301

m'ia .xij.d'

Rob'ts filius Rob'ti de Wynigton' qui tulit br'e de ingr'u u^rsus Ranulph' fil' Rob'i de Wynigton' petit licenc' quer' melius br'e & h't ppl' Joh'is de Wynigton' cl'ic'

Robert de Winnington was killed during the disturbances in Northwich in 1309. An inquest held at Northwich on Saturday 18 November 1309 found that on Monday 13 October, Robert de Winnington, William and John his sons, Clement le Tailor, Hugh de Leighton and Robert son of Bulkeley cobbler waylaid Hugh son of Bate, a butcher, in the middle of the town, wanting to attack him but he fled unharmed. William de Winnington then waylaid Henry son of Stephen, town bailiff, and struck him with a lance. Henry fled and the

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

townsfolk raised a hue and cry. Henry Peacock, answering the hue, came up and Clement shot him in the stomach: he died immediately. The gang then made their way through the town and across the bridge over the river Weaver, shooting arrows. Hearing the disturbance, Alan de Acton came out of his house and warned Robert de Winnington, but Robert with the help of the womenfolk and others took hold of Alan and threw him to the ground. Alan escaped with difficulty and went back into his house. Alan then came back out with a stick in his hand, together with John de Acton, Hugh de Moulton and William le Shepherd, and warned the gang to keep the peace. They took no heed, so John son of Walter de Acton shot Robert de Winnington in the chest, from which he died. Alan de Acton assisted in killing Robert by striking him on the neck with his stick; and Hugh de Moulton gave Robert such a blow on the back that it would have killed him even if the other injuries had not been fatal. Hugh de Leighton, Roger son of Bulkeley and Clement shot Moulton with four arrows, from which he immediately died. Either Clement or Leighton also shot an arrow into William Priest in the chest, whereby he was grievously wounded. The surviving members of the gang then fled and were nowhere to be found.

Alan de Acton, John son of Walter de Acton and William le Shepherd went back into Alan's house and spent the night there, but on the morrow John and William fled. Annora, Robert de Winnington's widow, complained to the serjeants of the peace, who came to Alan de Acton's house and arrested him and took him to Chester castle. When the matter was brought before the county court, Annora's case was rejected and she was gaoled; Alan was freed. (See, more fully, sub Conspiracy).

22:115: 7 October 1309

Inquis' pro Roberto de Wynigton'

Inquis' capt' apud Norwic' die sabb'i in festo s'ci Luc' Ewang' anno .r'.r'.E. t'co¹⁵⁷ cora' Gilb' de Schingelto' tenente locu' Justic'. & Joh' de Coton' Coronatore' Hundr' de Norwic'. eo q'd id'm Joh' Coronator fr' Rob' de Wynigto' int'fecti suspectus fuit p' sacr'm .xij. iur' de Hundr' de Norwico .xij. de Hundr'o de Buckelowe & .xij. de Hundr' de Edesbur' & si'lr p' sacr'm pxi'ar villat' adiacent' videl't Dutton', Noua aula. Dauenh^am. Qwatecroft. Lostoc. Herforde, Oldecassel, Wymigh^am. Pykem'e & M'ston'. qui dicu't s'r sacr'm suu' q'd die Lun' px' p's f'm S'ci Wilfrid' a^o sup^ad'co¹⁵⁸ vener't Rob' de Wynigto' Will' & Joh' fil' ei's Clem' le Tayllour Hug' de Leghto' & Rog' fil' Bulkyl' sutor p' mediu' ville de Norwic' & obuiau'erunt cu' quoda' Hug' fil' Bate Carnifice & in eu' v'bis co'tumelios' insultu' fec'unt & ip'm pcussisse voluer't qui ab eis sine dampno euasit. Et Will' de Wynigto' obuians Henr' fil' Steph'i ball'o ville de Norwico & pcussit eu' cu' quodam enso, qui quide' Henr' fil' Steph' fugit & ho'ies eiusd' ville clam' fecer't & Hutes'. Et p'd'cs Clem' sagittauit q'nda' Henr'

¹⁵⁷ Saturday 18 October 1309

¹⁵⁸ Monday 13 October 1309

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Pecok venient' ad clam' cu' q^adam sagitta in ventre vnde statim obiit. Et p^s f^{cm} illud o'es de societate illa iueru't sagittand' p^u mediu' ville vlt^a ponte' de Weuer'; Alanus de Acton' ball's p^rd'ce ville audie's Hutes' leuat' exiuit domu' sua' & obuiauuit Rob' de Wynigton' & ammonit p^rd'cm Rob' ne sustinere p^rd'cos malef'cores. & ide' Rob' si'l cu' mulierib^s & aliis cepit d'cm Alanu' & eum ad t'ram pstuit & eu' p^cussit & calcauit, ita q'd vix ab eis euasit. Et p^rd'cs Alan^s reu'sus ad domu' sua' [exinde] exiuit armat^s cum quodam baculo in manu sua, Et cu' eo Joh' de Acton'. Hug' de Mulneton' & Will' le [Shepe]herde & ammonit p^rd'cos malef'cores q'd se ad pacem d'ni R^s. reddere't, qui nolu'unt p^u ip'o set vo..... Rusticu'. Et Joh' fil' Walt'i de Acton' p^cussit Rob'm de Wynigto' cu' quada' sagitta in corpe sub ma..... vlner' h'uit morte'. & Alan^s de Acton' p^cutiebat p^rd'cm Rob'tum in collo cu' quoda' bac'lo & sic fuit in [auxilio] eiusd'm mortis. Et Hug' de Mulneton' p^cutiebat p^rd'cm Rob' cu' quodam bac'lo in dorso de quo ictu h'u[er]it mortem] si aliud v'ln^s no' h'uisset. Et Hug' de Leghto' & Rog' fil' Bulkyl de Wico & Clem' sagittau'ut Hug' de Mul[neton'] cu' q^atuor sagittis de quib^s v'ln^serib^s statim obiit. Et p^rd'ci Clem' Hug' de Leghton' sagittau'unt cu' q^adam sagitt' in pectore, ita q'd de vita ei^s dispabat' s' quis eor^u eu' p^cussit ignorant. Et m..... Et Alanus statim in domo sua capt^s est p^u s'uiantes pacis ad sectam Annor' vx^r p^rd'ci Rob' de Wynigton' & duct^s ad Castr' Cestr'. Et p^rd'ci Clem'. Joh'. & Will' fil' p^rd'ci Rob'ti. Hug' de Leghton' & Rog' fil' Bulkil statim p^s f^{cm} fuger't nec alicubi receptati sunt. Cat' p^rd'ci Joh' fil' Walt'i. Idem Joh' h'uit liberam t'ram in feodo, videl't vnam bouat' t're in Aketon' & valet p^u annu' .iiij.s'. blada in g^angia p^rc' ix^s'.¹⁵⁹ de aliis inquirend' est de eor^u catall'.

22:212: 25 November 1309

Apell'

Annora que fuit vx^r Rob't i de Wynington' app'llat Alanu' de Acton' de morte Rob'ti de Wynington' q^andam viri sui pleg' de ps' Joh'es de Wynington' & Ranulph' de Merbury

Vacat qa alibi

Idem Alanus op se u'sus Annora' q' fuit vx^r Rob'ti de Wynington' de pl'ito app'lli de morte Rob'ti de Wynington'. q^andam viri sui. &c'. Et p^rd'ca Annora venit. & retraxit se de apello suo Ideo consideratu' est q'd p^rd'ca Annora co'mittatur Gaiole. & pleg' sui de ps'. in m'ia. scil't Joh'es de Wynington' & Ranulph' de Merbury. Et p^rd'cs Alanus quo ad sectam p^rd'ce Annore inde quietus impp'm.

Coronator Hundr' de Northwicho & si'lr villat' de Dutton', Noua aula, Dauenh^am Whatecroft Lostok & Herteford p^rsentant q'd die lune pxi'a p^tf'm s'ci Wilfridi Ep'i Anno Regus nu'c t'cio¹⁶⁰ Rob'tus de Wynynnton', Will' & Joh'es filii eiusd' Rob'ti, Clemens le Taillour, Hugo de Leghton' & Rog's fil' Bulkylegh' sutoris venientes p^u med' ~~vieu~~ ville de Northwicho obuiauueru't cuid' Hug' fil' Bate carnifice & in eum insultu' feceru't & p^cussisse volueru't s' sine da'pno ab eis euasit. Et p^rd'cs Will' fil' Rob'ti obuians Henr' fil' Steph'i Balli'o d'ni R^s ville de Northwicho p^cussit eum cu' q^ad' gladio ext^acto vn' ip'e fugiebat & ip'e simul cu' hom'ib^s eiusd' ville clam' & hutes' feceru't vnde pl'es eiusd' ville ad hutes' illud veneru't. Et p^rd'cus Cleme's le Tailleir quemd' Henr' Perot veniente' ad clam' illum sagittauit in ventre cu' quad' sagitta. vnde statim obiit. Et p^st f^{cm} illud om'es de societate illa iueru't sagittando p^u med' ville vlt^a ponte' de Weure. Et Alanus de Acton' Ball's eiusd' ville audito Hutes' illo venit & obuians Rob'to de Wynynnton' ip'm a'monuit ne p^rd'cos

¹⁵⁹ ?

¹⁶⁰ Monday 13 October 1309

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

malef'cores in malef'cis suis sustineret qui quide' Rob' simul cu' mulierib^s & aliis in comitiua sua existentib^s d'cm Alanu' cep' & ad t'ram pstuit p^cussit & calcauit ita q'd vix ab eis euasit et ad domu' reu'sus stati' p^stea exiuit armatus cu' quod' baculo in manu sua & c' eo Joh' de Acton'. Hugo de Mulneton' & Will' le Shepeherde & a'monuit ip'os malef'cores vt eos ad pace' d'ni R^s reddere't Qui nolueru't, vnde Joh' fil' Walteri de Acton' p^cussit Rob'm de Wynnyngton' c' q'd' sagitta in cor^e sub mamilla vnde h'uit morte'. Et Alan^s de Acton' p^cussiebat p'd'cm Rob'm in collo cu' q'd' baculo. Et Hugo de Mulneton' p^cussit eu' cu' q'd' bac'lo in dorso de quo ictu obiisset si aliud wln^s n' h'uisset. Et Hugo de Leghton' Et p'd'cs Rog's fil' Bulkileg' & Cleme's +& Hugo de Leghton'+ sagittauer't Hug' de Mulneton' cu' [q^ot]uor sagittis vnde stati' obiit. Et id' Cleme's & Hugo p^cusseru't Will' Prest c' q'd' sagitta i' p'tore [sed] quis eor' ignora't. Et pstf'cm illud Alan^s iuit ad domu' sua' & cu' eo Joh' fil' Walt'i & Will' le [Shep]eherde & ibid' pnoctaueru't & mane fuger't. Et Alan^s p^suientes pacis in domo sua capt^s est ad secta' Annore vx'is p'd'ci Rob'i de Wynyn[gton' &] duct^s ad Castr' Cestr'. Et p'd'ci Cleme's. Will' & Joh' filii p'd'ci [Rob'ti.] Hugo de Leghton' & Rog' fil' Bulkylegh' stati' pstf'cm fu[ge]ru't n^c alicubi receptati su't. Et male c'dunt'. I'o ip'i [ex]igant' &c'. Et inq'rend' e' de eor' cat' &c'.

In August 1310 Richard Starky, keeper of the Constable's avowries (q.v.) claimed Annora who was wife of Robert de Winnington, John de Winnington and others indicted and taken and imprisoned for various felonies. At the same court, surprisingly, Annora and John were allowed to mainpern Anota wife of Adam de Kirkham, indicted for the theft of a sheep and for sheltering robbers.

22:972 [4 August 1310]

Lib't^s Constab' de x.

Custos ven'. respons' de M^org'ia fil' Henr' fil' Will'i. qⁱ. h'et viru' Thom' de Hareg'ue Annor' que fuit vx^r Rob' de Wyninton', +finis. + Joh' de Wyninton', Rog' fil' Rob' de Wyninton', Steph' fil' Marger' vx^s eius, Matild' soror p'd'ee Marger' +finis+, Ric' de Hareg'ue, ~~Hug' el'ie^s~~ +finis+ Ric^s fil' felic', & Marger' fil' Henr' fil' Will'i de diu'sis felon' indict' & capt' & in p'sona existent', Et sup hoc ven' Ric^s Starky [custos ad]uocar' Henr' de Lascy Com' Lincoln' & constabul' Cestr', & petit p'd'cos Annor' & alios in aduoc[aria d'ni] sui existent' ad lib'tate' d'ni sui & ei libera't' ad h'end' corpa eor' ad p^xm Com' sub pena qua d[ecet]

22:990 [4 August 1310]

[Man']

Anot' vx' Ad' de Kirh^am +finis+ indict' de furto vni^s Multon' & Recept' latronu' & capt', manuc' p Joh'em de Wynnynton' & Anor' que fuit vx' Rob'ti de Wynnynton' usq' ad p^xm Com' corp^s p corpe sub pena vni^s marc' Et dat p man' h'nd' put pat' in rot'lis de fin'.

Richard de Leftwich's widow Johanna sued Ralph son of Ralph de Vernon for dower of 24 acres of woodland in Wych Malbanc, and Hugh de Winnington for dower of a messuage and 6 acres of land in Bradford juxta Shipbrook. Vernon called to warrant Richard son and heir of Matilda de Wilbraham, who was a minor in the ward of William de Wilbraham, Henry de

BARONIES
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Leftwich chaplain, James de Weaverham and Richard de Davenham, part of the land being in the custody of Robert de Winnington: and he produced a charter in the name of Richard son of Matilda de Wilbraham which granted to Ralph son of sir Ralph de Vernon all his part of Cowel wood.

22:577: 12 May 1310

p^r.e'

Johanna que fuit vx' Ric'i de Leftewyk. op. se uersus Rad'm fil' Rad'i de Vernoun de pl'ito t'cie ptis [blank] cu' ptin' in Wyco Malbano +vt dot'+ &c' Et uersus Hug' de Wynngton' Capell'm de pl'ito t'cie ptis [blank] cu' ptin' in Bradeford vt dote' &c' vn' nich' h't &c'. Et ip'i no' ven' Et prec' fuit vic' q'd sum' eos q'd essent hic ad hu'c Com' &c', Et vic' modo mandat, q'd br'e adeo tarde venit &c' I'o p'cept' est vic' sicut alias q'd sum' eos q'd sint hic ad px'm Com' &c'.

22:920: 4 August 1310

p^r.e'

Johanna que fuit vx^r. Ric'. de Leftewiche petit. u^rsus Hugon' de Wynington' cap'llm tercia' ptem vnius Mesuag' & sex acrar' t're cum ptinenc' in Bradeford iux^a Schipbrok vt dotem &c'. vnde nich' h'et &c'.

Et Hugo venit. Et petit inde visum. &c'. — Et p'd'ca Joha'na dicit q'd +visu' inde h'ere no' debet. eo q'd+ p' statutu' ordinatum est q'd vbi aliqua mulier petit dote'. uersus aliquem de ten' vnde h'uit ing'ssum p' virum suu'. si hi^s tene's visu' petat in hoc casu ei no' est concedend'. &c'. eo q'd de f'co suo pp'o ignorare no' debuit. &c'. Et qa p'd'cs Hugo p'd'ca ten' vnde petit dote'. &c'. p' p'd'cm Ric'm q'ndam viru' suu' fuit ing'ssus &c'. visu' de p'd'cis ten' h'ere no' debet. vn' petit Jud'm &c'. Et p'd'cs Hugo dicit. q'd ip'e no' h'uit ing'ssum in p'd'cis ten' p' p'd'cm Ric'm viru' suu', Immo p' quemda' Rob'tum de Wynington'. & de hoc ponit se sup' p'riam. Et p'd'ca Joha'na simil'r. I'o p'ceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij &c'. p' quos. &c'. Et qui nec. &c'. Quia. tam. &c'.

22:921: 4 August 1310

p^r.e'

Eadem Johanna petit u^rsus Rad'm fil' Rad'i de Vernon' terciam ptem. viginti q^atuor acrar' bosci cu' ptin' in Wico Malbano vt dotem &c'. vnde nich' h'et. &c'.

Et Rad'us venit. Et voc' inde ad war'. p' auxil' Cur'. Ric'm fil' & heredem Ric'i fil' Matill' de Wilburh^am, qui infra etate' est. & cuius corpus est in custodia Will'i de Coton'. & qued' pars t'rar' in custod' Will'i de Wilburh^am. Henr' de Leftewich' cap'lli. Jacobi de Weu'h^am. & Ric'i de Dauenh^am. & qued' ps t'rar' in custod' Rob'i de Wynington'. Et pfert qua'dam carta' sub no'ie. Ric'i fil' Matill' de Wilburh^am. p'ris p'd'ci Ric'i fil' Ric'. que testatur. q'd Ric'us fil' & heres Matill' de Wilburh^am dedit concessit Rad'o fil' d'ni Rad'i de Vernon' tota' pte' sua' de nemore de Cowel cu' ptin'. Habend' & tene'd' sibi & hered' suis. &c'. Et. obligauit se & hered' suos ad war'. &c'. I'o p'd'ci custodes sum'. q'd sint ad px'm Com'. &c'.