

BAILIFFS
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Ivo de Sulton

In November 1306 Ivo de Sutton held an inquisition as to whether Matilda wife of William de Dutton, daughter and one of the heirs of Richard de Stockport deceased, was of full age (see Ravishments), and as to her estates.

18:28: 29 November 1306

Preceptu' fuit Iuon' de Sulton' ball'o de Macclesf' q'd p' sacr'm tam Militu' q'm alior' pbor' & leg' ho'um de ball'ia sua diligent' inquireret, si Matild' vx' Will'i de Dutton' filia & vna heredu' Ric'i de Stokeport defu'ct' plene etatis existat Et q'ntu' de d'no Com' Cestr' teneat in capite, & q'ntu' de aliis, & q'ntu' t're sue valeat p' annu' in om'ib^s exitib^s. Et q'd inquis' illam sine dil'oe mitt'et &c'. Et p'd'cus ball's mandauit contingentem inde fec' inquis', Que dic' q'd p'd'ca Matild' fuit etatis q'tuordecim annor' die s'ci Swithini vlti'o p't'it' annoq'. R^s. E. xxxiiij^o.¹⁶⁷ Et q'd ead'm Matild' nich' tenet de d'no Com' in capite Et q'd d'ca Matild' tenet Mediet' Man'ij de Stokeport de Hugon' le Despenser Et valet p' annu' sex libr' & dim'. Et q'd ten' Man'iu' de Tet'hil de Hamon' de Mascy & valet p' annu' dece' libr' Et q'd tene' vna' carrucat' in Schareston' de d'no Joh' de Arden' & valet p' an'm dece' solid'. Et q'd tene' de d'no de Chedele viginti acr' t're in Echeles & valent p' annu'. dece' sol' Et q'd tene' medietat' Man'ij de Bredbur' de Hamon' de Mascy & valet p' annu' q'nquaginta sol'. Et q'd ten' med' Man'ij de Pouynton' de heredib^s de Poutrell & val' p' an'm duodecim libr' In quo quide' Man'io Com' Cestr' ingressus est p' defalt' heredu' de Poutrell. Et qa p' inquis' p'd'cam sufficient' constat Cur' q'd nichil tenet de Com' Cestr' in capite & q'd defalt' her' de Putrell no' est ita p'iudical' ad forisf'cur' seu p'dic'om lib'i ten' seu iuris in ex'nea p'sona existentis maxi'e cu' ten' illa apta su't ad dist'cto'm fac' p' s'uic' & cons' capit' d'nis illius feodo aretro existentib^s, Consid'at' est q'd p'd'ca Matild' reh'eat seis' sua' de med' p'd'ci Man'ij de Pouynton' cu' o'ib^s exit' medio tempe p'ceptis inde puenientib^s saluo iure d'ci Com' de s'uic' & cons' sibi debet' & aliis quibuscu'q' ad ip'm spectantib^s

Thomas son of Mariota de Eaton sued William Horn that 2 April 1307 he took a mare of his at his house in Eaton, and drove it to the king's park at Macclesfield. He said that one Richard de Withington, bailiff of Macclesfield, could on 7 April 1307 have attached Horn because at that time Horn was in his service, and he could have distrained him. Withington said that Horn was not in his service either then or ever thereafter.

On 5 March 1308 Horn appeared and said that he was an under-bailiff of Ivo de Sulton, the king's bailiff of Macclesfield; that 6 February 1307 it had been presented in Macclesfield hundred court that on four occasions a hue had been raised in Eaton, and had not been presented in the hundred court, so that the township of Eaton was amerced in 8s; and Horn had been sent to distrain for that sum.

On 5 February 1309 a jury found that every township in Macclesfield hundred used to present hues raised and similar things once a year at the eyre in

¹⁶⁷ Friday 15 July 1306

BAILIFFS
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Macclesfield, until about 16 years before Reginald Grey, justice of Chester, ordered one Thomas de Macclesfield, bailiff of the hundred, that he should take such presentments in the hundred court, and townships not presenting should be punished, after which several townships indeed made presentments in the hundred court. A hue was raised in Eaton in the time of both Reginald Grey and Thomas de Macclesfield, and not presented in the hundred court, and the township was amerced, but Grey then pardoned the amercement. Thereafter no presentment was made by Eaton in the hundred, but only in the eyre. The jury concluded that as Eaton had not anciently presented hues in the hundred court, the amercement in this case was invalid, and Eaton should have return of the mare.

A similar action was then brought, by John son of Richard de Sutton and Ranulph de Sutton, against Ivo de Sulton and William Horn for the detention of a mare, so a visne was summoned from Eaton to give evidence. The sheriff stated that he, according to the custom of Cheshire, had delivered the panel to Thomas son of Gilbert de Withington and Hugh son of Richard son of Walter de Somerford, by [blank] his bailiff, enjoining them to summon the members of the visne to the county court, but they had not done so.

19:11 [16 May 1307]

p^r.

[blank] *Esson' Thom' fil' Mariote de Yeyton' op. se. u^rsus Will'm Horn de pl'ito detenc'ois vni^s Jum[^ti] Et ip'e no' ven' Et p^rceptu' fuit vic' q'd attach' eu' Et vic' nich' inde fecit set mandauit q'd [p^rcepat ball'is] lib'tatis de Macclisfeld qui nich' inde fec^runt, I'o p^rceptu' est vic' q'd no' omittat ppt^r p^rd'cam lib'tate' [q'n attach'] eu' q'd sit hic ad px'm Com' &c'. Et vnde &c'*

19:99: 27 June 1307

pr'

Joh'nes filius Mariote de Yeton' op se. u^s. Will'm Horn de pl'ito detenc'onis vnus Jum't' Et ip'e no' ven' & p^rceptu' fuit vic' q'd no' omitteret ppt^r lib'tate' de Macclisfeld quin attach' eum Et vic' nich' inde fecit set mand' q'd nich' h'et p quod &c' Et testatu' est &c' [I'o sicut prius prec' est vic'] q'd no' om[itteret] &c' quin attach' eum q'd sit hic ad px'm Com' [&c']

19:149: 8 August 1307

p^r.

Thom' filius Mariote de Yeiton' op. se. u^rsus Will'm Horn de pl'ito quare cepit qdd' ium'tu' suu' & iniuste detinuit &c'. Et ip'e no' ven' Et p^r. fuit vic' q'd no' omitt^ret ppt^r lib'tate' ville de Macclisfeld q'n attach' p^rd'cm Will'm &c' Et ip'e nich' inde fecit set Mand' q'd p^rd'cus Will's nich' h'et p quod potest attach' &c' Et testatu' est &c' q'd sat^s h'et &c' I'o sicut pⁱus p^r est vic' q'd no' omittat &c' q'n attach' eu' q'd sit hic ad px'm Com' &c'

20:32: 19 September 1307

p^r. e'

BAILIFFS
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Thom' fil' Mariot' de Yeyton' op. se. u^s. Will'm Horn de pl'o capc'oīs .J. Jumentī. Et ip'e no' ven'. Et p^rcept' fuit vic' q no' omitt^ret ppt^r lib'tat' de Maklesfeld q'n attach' p^rd'cm Will'm q e'et hic ad hu'c die' ad respond' &c'. Et vic' nⁱ inde fec'. I'o ip'e &c'. Et p^r. est vic' sicut alias q attach' eu' q sit ad px' Com' &c' ad respond' &c'

20:81: 19 September 1307

Will's de Leyk atorn' Thom' fil' Maret' de Yeyton' u^s Will'm Horn de pl'o detenc' cui^sd' Iumentī

.Ad px' Com' — Aff'

20:175: 7 November 1307

p^r. Esson' Thom' fil' Mariot' de Yeiton' op. se u^s Will'm Horn'. de pl'ito capc'oīs vnīus Jume'ti. Et ip'e no' ven'. Et p^rceptu' fuit vic' q'd no' omitt^ret ppt^r Lib'tate' de Maklesfeld q'n attach' p^rd'cm Will'm q'd e'et hic ad hunc diem Et vic' nich' inde fecit. Set mand'. q'd nll'm inde h'uit p^rceptu'. I'o sic' alias p^rcept' est vic' q'd no' omittat ppt^r p^rd'cam lib'tate' q'n attach' eu' q'd sit ad px'm Com'. &c'.

20:243: 12 December 1307

Thomas fil' Mariote de Yeyton'. op. se u^s Will'm Horn'. de pl'ito capc'oīs vnīus Jumentī. Et ip'e no' ven'. Et p^rcept' fuit vic' sicut alias q'd no' omitt^ret ppt^r lib'tate' de Makelesfeld, q'n attach'. eu'. q'd esset hic ad hu'c Com' &c'. Et vic' nich' inde fecit set mand'. q'd p^rd'cus Will's nich' h'et, p quod potest attach'. Et testat^s est q'd satis h't. p quod &c'. I'o p^rceptu' est vic' sicut plur' q'd no' omittat ppt^r p^rd'cam lib'tate'. q'n attach' eu'. q'd sit ad px'm Com'. &c'.

20:293: 23 January 1308

Thom' fil' Thom'¹⁶⁸ de Yeiton' op. se u^s Will'm Horn' de pl'ito capc'oīs cuiusdam Jumentī. Et ip'e no' venit. Et p^rceptu' fuit vic' q'd no' omitt^ret ppt^r lib'tate' de Macclesfeld. q'n attach' eu' &c'. Et vic' modo mandat q'd d'cus Will's no' est inuent^s nec aliquid h'et p quod. &c'. Et sup hoc d'cus Thom' fil' Thom' qⁱ seq^r p Rege dicit, q'd Ric'us de Wythinton' ball's de Macclesfeld tempe p^rcepto d'ni Reg^s sibi lib'ati +vid' septimo die Ap'l'. vlti'o p^rt'ito¹⁶⁹+ potuit d'cm Will'm attachiasse, eo q'd tu'c tempis fuit cu' ip'o Ric'o sibi deseruiens & ad districc'oem sua', Ita q'd p^rceptu' d'ni Reg^s de d'co Will'o attachiand'. bene exequi potuit & no' fecit, in co'temptu' d'ni Reg^s & retardac'oem secte ip'ius Thom' — Et p^rd'cus Ric'us ball's. p^rsens dicit, q'd +ide' Will's+ tempe p^rd'ci p^rcepti +....+ lib'ati de p^rd'co Will'o attachiand'. nec vn'cqa^a postea no' fuit in s^ruic'o suo, nec aliq'd attachiame'tu' seu aliq^a distric'om sup d'cm Will'm hucusq' potuit inuenire. & de hoc ponit se sup p^rriam. Et p^rd'cus Thom' qⁱ seq^r p Rege. similit'. I'o p^rceptu' est vic'. q'd venire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c' p quos. &c'. Et qui nec &c'. ad recogn' &c'. Quia tam &c'.

20:377: 5 March 1308

Will's Hoorn sum' fuit ad respondend' Thom' fil' Mariote de Yeyton' de pl'ito detenc'oīs cuiusdam Jumentī &c' Et vnde idem Thom' quer^r q'd p^rd'cus Will's. die d'nica in festo clause Pasch'. anno. r' r'. E. p^rris R' nu'c. xxxv¹⁰.¹⁷⁰ in villa de Yeyton'. ad domu' ip'ius Thom'. cepit quoddam Jumentu' suu'. & illud fugauit vsq' ad villam de Macklesfeld. & ibi in pco d'ni Reg^s impcauit. & impcatu' detinuit quousq' deliberatu' fuit p br'e d'ni Reg^s vnde dicit q'd def'ioratus est & dampnu' h'et ad valenc' di' marc'. Et inde pducit sectam. &c'.

¹⁶⁸ sic, for Mariote

¹⁶⁹ Friday 7 April 1307

¹⁷⁰ Sunday 2 April 1307

BAILIFFS
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Et p^rd'cus Will's venit & defend'. vim & iniur'. q'n. &c'. Et tamq^a subball's. Iuonis de Shulton'. Ball'i d'ni Reg^s de Macklesfeld. cognouit capc'oem p^rd'ci Jume'to p^rceptu' p^rd'ci Iuon', Et dicit q'd cora' p^rd'co Iuone in Hundredo de Macklesfeld tento die Lune p^x^a post Purificac'ois +anno r' r'. E p^ris R' nu'c. xxxv. +¹⁷¹ p^rsentatu' fuit. q'd. Hutesiu' Leuatu' fuit p^r quater vices in villa de Yeyton'. & p^r p^rd'cis Hutesiis leuatis. & p^r p^rd'cam villata'. ad Hundred' no' p^rsentatis de qua p^rsentac'oe. d'ns Rex & an'cessores sui +& ecia' Com'. tempe Com'. + semp acten^s seisiti fu'nt, p^rd'ca villa am'ciata fuit ad octo solid' quos idem Iuo sibi lib'auit. in extract^s leuand' ad opus d'ni. Reg^s. & ea occasione tamq^a s'bball's p^rd'ci Iuon'. cognoscit. capc'oem p^rd'cam. sine quo &c'. I'o p^rd'cus. Iuo sum' q'd sit hic ad p^xm Com'. ad respond' simul &c'. alioq'n &c' Postea ad Com'. die M^artis p^x^a post T^anslac'oem S'ci Thom' Cantuar Archiep'.¹⁷² ven' t^am Will's Horn q^am p^rd'cs Thom' Et p^rd'cs Iuo p^r attornat' suu' ven'. Et no'ie d'ni Reg^s. acceptat aduocac'oem sup^ad'cam f'cam p^r p^rceptu' suu' & no'ie d'ni R' &c'. Et sup hoc venit. Ric'us de Sutton'. & tamq^a d'ns p^rd'ce ville iu'xit se eidem Thom' ta'q^a tene'ti suo in respondendo &c'. Et dicit q'd ip'e tenet p^rd'cam villa' p^r lege' Angl' de h'editate Joh'is de Sutton' filii sui. In cuius p^rsona feodu' & Jus remanet, sine quo &c'. I'o p^rd'cus Joh'es sum' q'd sit ad p^xm Com'. alioq'n. &c'. Ad que' Com' Idem Joh'es p^r attorn' suu' ven'. & Iu'xit se eidem Ric'o in respondend'. Et dicit. q'd p^rd'ca villata de Yeyton' tempe Regu' n^c [eci]a' tempe Com' a te'pe q^o no' extat memoria ad aliqu^am p^rsentac'oem p^r Hutesio leuato in eade' villa seu in aliqua alia ad hundr' p^rd'cm faciend' no' venit, nec ve'ire co'sueuit, nisi tantu' semel in anno cora' Justic' Cestr' in [itinere] apud Macclesfeld Et de hoc ponit se sup p^rriam Et p^rd'cs. Iuo similit'. I'o p^rceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac' [ad p^xm] Com' .xij. &c'. p^r quos &c'. Et qⁱ nec &c'. ad faciend' Jur^am illam. Postea continuato p^rcessu vsq' ad Com' die Martis p^x^a post festu' Purif' b'e Marie p^xi'o sequ's ¹⁷³ ven' p^rtes & simil'r .xij. &c'. Qui dicu't sup sacr'm suu'. q'd om'es villat' de Hundr' de Macclesfeld a tempe quo no' extat memoria tempe Com'. & ecia' te'pe Regu' p^rsentat[uerunt] de Hutesio leuato & consimilib^s semel p^r annu' in Itin'e Justic' Cestr' apud Macclesfeld fac'e consueu'nt. q^ousq' q'dam Reginaldus Grey q^ondam Justic' Cestr' circit' sexdecim annis elaps' p^rcepit cuidam Thom' de Macclesfeld tu'c balli'o Hundr' p^rd'ci q'd in Hundr'o suo capet hi^s p^rsentac'oes & om'es villatas p^r hutesiis leuatis p^rsentac'oes ibidem no' facientes punire'. p^rtextu cui^s p^rcepti plures villate fec'unt hi^s p^rsentac'oes ad Hundr'. Et qa p^rtea quoddam Hutesiu' leuatu' fuit in p^rd'ca villa te'pe p^rd'ci Reginald' Justic' & ecia' p^rd'ci Thom' balli'i ad Hundr' illu' no' p^rsentat'; p^rd'ca villat' p^r p^rd'cm ball'm p^r hutesio leuato ad Hundr' no' p^rsentato am'ciata fuit p^r quod quidem am'ciame'tu'. p^rd'cus Reginald' Justic'. postea eis remisit. Ita q'd d'ns Rex n^c an'cessor' sui te'pe Regu'. n^c ecia' Com' te'pe Com' vnq^a seisiti fuer' de aliqua p^rsentac'oe faciend' ad Hundr' p^r hutesio leuato de p^rd'ca villat' nisi cora' Justic' Cestr' in Itin'e suo apud Macclesfeld semel p^r annu'; Et qa co'ptu' est p^r Jurata' ista' q'd p^rd'cs villat' de Yeyton' a tempe q^o no' extat nu'q^am venit ad aliqua' Hundr' p^r aliqua p^rsentac'oe de hutes' leuato faciend' [& nec] dn's Rex n^c an'cessores [sui] Com' te'pe Com' nunq^am seisiti fu'nt de aliqua p^rsentac'oe [de hutesio leuato] faciend' ad alique' Hundr' [nisi coram] Justic' Cestr' in Itin'e suo apud Macclesfeld semel p^r annu'. Ideo consideratu' est q'd p^rd'cs Thom' h'eat Jum'tu' de p^rsentac'oe huiusmodi ad Hundr' saluo Jure d'ni Reg^s si alias &c'.

21:148: 12 November 1308

¹⁷¹ Monday 6 February 1307

¹⁷² Tuesday 9 July 1308

¹⁷³ Tuesday 5 February 1309

BAILIFFS
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

p^r e'

Preceptu' fuit vic'; q'd no' omitt' et ppt' Lib'tatem de Macclesfeld q'n venire fac' et hic ad hu'c Com' .xij. &c' de visn' de Yeyton'. Et qui nec Joh'em fil' Ric'i de Sutton' n^c Luone' de Sulton' aliqua affinitate atting'ent ad recognoscendu' sup sacr'm suu' si villata de Yeyton' ad alique' Hundredu' de Macclesfeld venire debet ad aliq^am p^rsentac'onem p vthesio leuato faciendam. seu d'ns Rex seu an'cessores sui tempe Regu' seu Comites tempe Comitu' a tempe quo no' exstat memoria seisiti fueru't de aliq^a p^rsentac'one p p^rd'cam villata' ad p^rd'cm Hundr'd p vthesio Leuato faciend' sicut p^rd'cus. Iuo qui aduocat +&c' + p d'no Rege dicit; v'l no' sicut p^rd'cus Joh'es dicit &c' Et vic' nichil inde fecit s' mandau' q'd br'e adeo tarde venit &c'. Id'o sicut alias p^rcept' est vic' q'd no' omittat ppt' p^rd'cam lib'tatem q'n venire fac' .xij. &c' p quos &c'. Et qui n^c &c'. Quia tam &c'.

21:259: 17 December 1308

p^r e'

Preceptu' fuit vic' q'd no' omitt' et ppt' lib'tate' de Macclesfeld q'n ea' &c' & venire fac' ad px' Com' .xij. &c'. de visn' de Yeyton' p quos &c' ad faciend' q^anda inqⁱsic'onem int' Joh'em fil' Ric'i de Sutton' & Ran' de Sutton' quer' & Luone' de Shulton' & Will'm Horne de pl'ito detenc'ois cui^sdam Jumentu' Et vic' nichil inde fecit s' mandauit q'd s'cdm consuetudi'em Cestris lib'auit q^oddam panellu' in quo continebat' no'ia iurator illi^s inqⁱsic'ois duob^s ho'ib^s videl't Thom' fil' Gilb'ti de Withyngton' & Hug' +fil' Ric'i+ fil' Walt'i de Som'ford &iu'xit in pannello eis lib'ato contentes q'd essent ad hu'c Com' ad faciend' inde inqⁱsico'm, qui nichil inde sibi recognou'u't se p^rceptu' est vic' venire fac' ad px' Com' p^rd'cos Thom' & Hug' ad redd..... p^rd'cis tⁿsgressione & conte'tu, Et sicut alias p^rcept' est vic' q'd no' omitt' ppt' lib'tate' p^rd'cam px'm Com' &c'

21:306: 4 February 1309

m'ie ij.s'

Ric'us de Mattilegh' +xij.d'+ Ric'us Broun de Tingetwysel +xij.d'+ & Joh'es qa no' ven'u't in inqⁱsic'one int' Joh'em fil' Ric'i de Sutton' & Luone' de Sulton' in m'ia

21:350: 4 February 1309

M' ij.s' ij.s' p^r e'

Preceptum fuit vic' q'd no' omitt' et ppt' lib'tatem de Macclesfeld q'n venire fac' et +ad hu'c Com'+ Thom' fil' Gilb'ti de Wythington'. & Hugon' fil' Ric'i fil' Walt'i. de Somerford. ad respondend' d'no Regi de q'd cu' vic' Cestris' p q'md' [blank] ball'm suu'. s'cdm consuedinem ¹⁷⁴ Cestris'. eis lib'auit quoddam panellum in quo co'tinebat' no'ia Jurat' cuiusdam Inquisic'ois. int' Joh'em fil' Ric'i de Sutton'. & Ric'm de Sutton' quer' & Iuon' de Shulton' & Will'm Herue de pl'ito capc'ois & detenc'ois cuiusdam Jume'to. Iniu'gendo. q'd sum' eos q'd essent ad Com' Cestr' pxi'o sequ's ad faciend' inde inqⁱsic'oem &c'. qui nich' inde fec'unt. nec panellu' illud p^rfato vic' retornau'nt Immo illud detinueru't. in conte'ptum d'ni R^s manifestu'. &c'. Et ip'i no' ven'. Et vic' modo mand' q'd p^rd'cus Thom' attach' est p Will'm fil' Gilb'ti. & Hugon' fil' Ric'i fil' Walt'i. Et p^rd'cus Hugo attach' est p Thom' fil' Gilb'ti. & Petrum del Bothes. I'o ip'i in m'ia. Et p^rceptum est vic' q'd no' omittat ppt' p^rd'cam lib'tatem q'n distr' eos p om'es t^ras &c'. Et q'd de exit'. &c'. Et q'd habeat corpa eor ad px'm Com'. &c'

¹⁷⁴ sic

BAILIFFS
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

John Fitton wished to bring a case in the county court concerning a tenement in Fallibroome against Hugh de Leominster, Ivo de Sulton, John de Astbury, Thomas his son, John del Deane, Hugh de Tytherington, John Picard, William Clipping, William son of Richard le Barker, William de Fallibroome, William de Shrigley, Simon son of Ralph, Roger de Shrigley, Robert del Brownhill, Robert le Mercer, Nicholas de Kingsley, Henry Gosling, Adam Tipping, Reginald le Barker, Richard le Webster, Henry le Gaoler, Roger le Walker, Adam son of Simon le Parker, Richard Throstle, John de London the tailor and master Thomas de Withington, but they produced a writ of privy seal from the king saying that they were burgesses of his town of Macclesfield, and should Fitton wish to pursue the matter he should attend the next parliament, three weeks after Michaelmas, to bring it to the consideration of the king and council.

21:79: 1 October 1308

Sine die. p br'e de p'uito sigillo

Assisa noue diss' quam Joh'es Fiton'. arram'. versus Hugon' de Leminstre Iuon' de Shulton' Joh'em de Astebury Thom' fil' eius Joh'em del Dene. Hugon' de Tyderinton' Joh'em Pykard. Will'm Clipping. Will'm fil' Ric'i Le Barker. Will'm de Falyngbrome. Will'm de Shriggelegh'. Simon' fil' Rad'i. Rog'm de Shriggelegh'. Rob'm del Brounehull. Rob'm Le M'cer Nich'm de Kyngelegh'. Henr' Goseling. Ad' Tipping. Reginald' le Barker Ric'm le Webster'. Henr' Le Jaoler'. Rog'm Le Walker. Ad' fil' Simon' Le Parker. Ric'm Throstel Joh'em de Londouns Le Tayllur. & Mag'rm Thom' de Wythinton'. de ten' in Falingbrom' remanet sine die, eo q'd d'ns Rex mandauit br'e suu'. sub p'uito sigillo suo Justic'o hic. in hec v'ba. Edward' p la [grace] de Dieu Roy Denglet're. seigneur Dirlaund & Ducs Daquitaine A m'e ch' & foial mon Rob't de Holand Justice de Cest[re] Saluz. Nous vous enueoms vne Peticion cy. dedenz enclose qe no^s est baillet de p noz borgeys de La vile de Macklesfeld & vous mandoms qe veue. & examine mesme la peticion', naillez auant a nule assise p'ndre endroit du su^{ck}' tenement q' soit afferme en n're p'sone, en desheritaunce de no^s, tant q' no^s ensei'oms consaillez & qe vo^s en eyez autre mandement de nous, E facez dire a Johan Fiton'. qe sil cleyme nul dreit en les tenemenz contenuz en mesme la peticion. dont il dit estre disseisiz, quil viegne a monstren ent son dreit deuant no^s et n're conseil, & a n'rs p'chein plement, qe s'ra a treis semeines p'cheines apres cest Seint Michel. sil veie quil face a faire a son pfit. Don' souz n're p'ue seal. a. Certeseye: Le. xix. Jour de Septembr', Lan de n're Regne. Secund'.¹⁷⁵ Et d'cm fuit p'd'co Joh'i. q'd sequat' ad pliame'tu'. si sibi viderit expedire.

After Edward earl of Chester ascended the throne as Edward II, Ivo de Sulton's appointment was confirmed:

12 June 1308 Langley
Fine Roll 1 Edward II m.2 [24]

¹⁷⁵ Thursday 19 September 1308

BAILIFFS
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Recitation of letters patent of the king before he assumed the governance of the realm committing to Ivo de Sulton the manors of Makelesfeud, co. Chester, and Overton, co. Flint, during pleasure, so that he answered for the issues at the Exchequer of Chester; and of the king's wish that he answer hereafter at the Exchequer of Westminster.

but within a year the manors had been granted to queen Isabella:

1 March 1309 Westminster

Patent Roll 2 Edward II i m.15 [101]

Grant to queen Isabella of the manors of Maclesfeld with its hundred, co. Chester, Ovreton with the commote of Meillorsesneyk', Rosfeyr with the commote of Meney, and Pennaghan with the hamlet of Dolpenmeyn in Wales, to hold as fully as the late queen Eleanor had held the same.

Writ de intendendo directed to the tenants of the manors of Maclesfeld and Overton.

The like to the tenants of the manors of Rosfeyr and Pennaghan.

Mandate in pursuance to Ivo de Multon,¹⁷⁶ keeper of the manors of Maclesfeld and Overton.

The like to Roger de Mortuo Mari for the delivery of the manors of Rosfeyr and Pennaghan with the hamlet of Dolpenmeyn and commote of Meney.

Mandates to Ivo de Multon and Roger de Mortuo Mari to render to the queen the issues of the above manors since Michaelmas last

and almost immediately Ivo was being accused of having misappropriated monies raised from the king's tenants, and other misdemeanours:

4 May 1309 Westminster

Patent Roll 2 Edward II ii m.8d [172]

Commission of oyer and terminer to Robert de Holand, William Trussel, Fulk Lestraunge and Peter de Colingburne, touching the misappropriation by Ivo de Solton, king's baihff of Overton, Maklesfeld and Maillorseysnek, of divers sums of money received in lieu of services from the king's tenants; his remission of felonies and outlawries; and also the occupation by Thomas Wassi and others, without authority, of the king's lands, and the felling and carrying away by them of the trees growing in the woods.

¹⁷⁶ sic