

BAILIFFS
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Richard le Bruen

In December 1298 Stephen le Vacher of Frodham sued Elias son of Nicholas Rose, John and Richard brothers of the same Elias, William Rathbone and Gualchmai son of Hugh Flan for some trespass against the peace. The defendants did not come. The sheriff testified that Robert the reeve and Richard le Hallswain had been pledges for the defendants' attendance, so they were amerced half a mark (6s 8d) and 40d. The action itself was settled by agreement in the following February, but the reeve and Hallswain challenged the amercements, saying that Richard le Bruen, the sheriff's beadle, had abused his office by making them pledges without their knowing. The court removed the amercements and charged Bruen with them.

11:14: 2 December 1298

m'ie. x.s'

Steph's le Vacher, op. se. u^s. Elyam fil' Nich'i Rose, Joh'em & Ric'm fr'es eiusd' Elye, Will'm Rathebon, & Gualg[mai] fil' Hug' Flan, de pl'ito t^{ns}. cont^a pacem. Et ip'i no' ven', Et vic' testatur, q'd Ric'us +Rob'tus+ p'po'itus +di'm'.+, & Ric'us le Hallesu[ain] +xl.d'+ fuu'nt pleg' eor, essendi hic ad hu'c diem, Ideo ip'i in m'ia quia no' h'uerunt que' plegiau'u't. Et p^r. est vic', q'd d'st' p'd'cos, Elyam, Joh'em & alios, p om's t'ras & cat', &c'. Ita q'd n^e ip'i &c'. Et q'd de exit' &c'. Ita q'd h'eat corpa eor [hic] ad px'm Com'. ad respondd' p'fato Steph'o de pl'ito p'd'co. &c'.

11:57: 13 January 1299

c^as. m'ia. p^r. q'd.

Steph's le Vacher. op. se. u^s. Elyam fil' Nich'i Rose, Joh'm & Ric'm fr'es eiusd'm Elye Will'm Rathebon, & Gualgan', fil' Hug' Flan, de pl'to t^{ns}. cont^a pacem. Et ip'i no' ven'. Et p^r. fuit vic', q'd distr' eos, p om's t'ras &c'. Et q'd de exit' &c' Ita q'd h'ret corpa eor hic ad hu'c diem. Qui nichil inde fecit. Ideo ip'e in m'ia. Et p^r. est ei sic' p'us, q'd distr' eos, p om's t'ras &c'. Et q'd de exit' &c'. Et q'd h'eat corpa eor, ad px'm Com', ad respondd'. &c'.

11:85: 17 February 1299

m'ia. xl.d'

D Steph'o le Vach' de Frodesha'm p lic' concord'i cu' Elya fil' Nich'i & aliis in br'i de pl'to t^{ns}. ppl' Will'i de Brumburgh'

11:139: 17 February 1299

m'ia .x.s'. in vide.

Rob's p'po'itus & Ric'us le Hallesuayn, am'ciato fu'unt ad vltmu' Com' in .x.s'. p pleg' Elye fil' Nich'i Rose & alior attach' p q'd' t^{ns} f'ca Steph'o le Vach', cont^a pacem. qa no' h'uerunt quos pleg'. Et quia postea ad istum Com' comptu' fuit p q'ndam inquis' inde captam, q'd Ric's le Bruyn bedell' vic'. no' sufficient' fecit off'm suu', in attach' p'd'cm Elyam & alios, & posuit p'd'cos, Rob'm & Ric'm in plegiag' auct^e sua pp'a, ill' ignorantib^s; cons' est q'd ip'i Rob's & Ric's recedant q'eti de am'ciam'to p'd'co. Et p'd'cus Ric'us Bruyn rem'. inde on'at^s.