

BAILIFFS
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Adam le Parker

William son of Laurence del Schoppe sued Adam le Parker for having on Saturday 6 September 1309 seized two cows and a heifer of his from outside the house of James de Wistaston in Wych Malbanc, and taken them to his (Adam's) house in Coppenhall.

Adam said that he had taken a cow and a calf as bailiff of Robert de Bulkeley then sheriff, because a certain Walter Aurifaber (Goldsmith) having committed a felony in Wych Malbanc had fled and been outlawed, and his goods and chattles forfeited. The cow and calf had been taken on behalf of the sheriff as pelfry. As for the other cow, Roger de Bulkeley had sold it to him.

Schoppe said that the two cows and heifer were his own property, in the keeping of Wistaston. They were not Aurifaber's nor in Aurifaber's keeping. Roger de Bulkeley had neither sold nor delivered any cow to Parker.

The jury found in Parker's favour.

22:570: 12 May 1310

Ad' le Parker attach' fuit ad respond' Will'o fil' Laurencii del Schoppe de pl'ito quare cepit au'ia ip'i Will'i & ea iniuste detinuit &c' Et vn' Id'm Will's quer' q'd p'd'cus Ad' die Sabb'ti pxi'a post festu' s'ci Egid' Abb'tis anno reg' nu'c t'cio¹⁷ in villa de Wyco Malbano in c'to loco iuxta domu' Jacobi de Wistanston' cepit duas vaccas & vnu bouic'lm ip'i's Will'i & eos fugauit ad domu' ip'i's Ad' in Copenhale & ibi eos impcavit & impcatos detinuit +& adhuc detinet+ cont^a vad' &c' Et vn' dicit q'd def'iorat^s est & da'pnu' h't ad val' vigint' solid' & inde producit sect' &c'.

Et p'd'cus Ad' ven' & defend' vim & iniur' q'n &c' Et quoad vna' vacca' cu' vno vitulo que' p'd'cus Will's no'iat bouic'lm cognouit capc' &c' tanq^m ball's & Minist' Rob'ti de Bulkelegh' tu'c vic' Cestris', quia dicit q'd quidam Walt's aurifaber pro felon' f'ca apud Wycu' Malbanu' fugit & vtlagat^s fuit p' quod bona & cat' sua forisf'ca fuer', Ita q'd ip'e p' p'cept' eiusd' vic' cui^s ball's &c' no'ie d'ni reg^s cepit bona & cat' p'd'ci Walt'i forisf'ca int' que cat' cepit p'd'cam vacc' cu' vitulo tanq^m p'tin' ad p'd'cm Rob'tm vic' pro feod' suo quod vocat' Pelfr' Ita cognou' capc' iusta' p' prec' p'd'ci Rob'ti tu'c vic' cui^s ball's &c'. +sine quo &c'+ Et quo ad aliam vacca', dicit q'd quid' Rog's de Bulkelegh' eid'm Ad' p'd'cam vacc' vendidit & lib'auit, Et ita h'uit ex vendic'oe & lib'ac'or p'd'ci Rog'i & no' ex capc' pp'a vn' petit Jud'm &c'.

Et p'd'cus Will's dic', q'd p'd'cus Ad' auxiliu' de p'd'co Rob'to vic' de iniur' sua pp'a h're no' debet, Quia dicit q'd p'd'ce due vacce cu' bouic'lo fuer' pp'a cat' ip'i's Will'i in Custod' cui^sd'm Jacobi de Wistanston' existent' & no' in custod' p'd'ci Walt'i Aurifabri nec ecia' fuer' cat' ip'i's Walt'i Et q'd p'd'cus Rog's nulla' vacca' eid'm Ad' vendidit nec lib'auit Immo Id'm Adam ex suo f'co pp'o p'd'cas duas vacc' cu' bouic'lo cepit & iniuste detinuit & adhuc detinet Et hoc parat^s est verific' p' p'riam Et p'd'cus Ad' simil'r I'o prec' est vic' q'd ve'ire fac' hic ad px'm Com' .xij. &c' p' quos &c' qui nec &c'.

¹⁷ Saturday 6 September 1309

BAILIFFS
in the Chester county pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

22:651: 12 May 1310

p^r. p^r

Adam le Parker attach' fuit ad respond' Will'o fil' Laurenc' del Schoppe de pl'ito capc'oīs & detenc'oīs au^rior Et vn' Id'm Will's quer' q'd p^rd'cus Ad die sabb'ti pxi'a post festu' S'ci Egid' Abb'tis anno reg' nu'c t^rcio¹⁸ in villa de Wyco Malbano iux^a domu' Jacobi de Wistanston' cepit duas vacc' & vnu' bouic'lm ip'ius Will'i, & eos fugau' usq' ad domu' sua' &c' & ibi eos detinuit +con^a vad' &c'.+ & adhuc detinet &c' vn' dic' q'd det^rior' est & da'pm h't ad val' viginti solid' Et inde pduc' sect' Et pet' q'd vad' sibi ind' delib'aco'm

Et Ad' ven' & defend' vim & iniur' q'n &c' Et quo ad vna' vacc' & vnu' vit'lm que' id'm Will's voc' bouic'lm cogn' capc'oem iust' &c' tanq^am ball's cuiusd'm Rob'ti de Bulkelegh' tu'c tempor' vic' Cestr' Dic' enim q'd quid' Walt's Aurifab' tu'c tempis vtlag' fuit p quad'm felon' &c' Et ip'e tanq^am ball's p^rd'ci Rob'ti &c' cepit de cat' eiusd'm Walt'i vtlagati p^rd'cam vacca' cu' vit'lo pro feod' p^rd'ci Rob'ti d'ni sui quod vocat^r Pelfre, Et ita cogn' p^rd'cam capc' iust' no'ie p^rd'ci Rob'ti vic' d'ni sui &c' Et quo ad aliam vacc', dic' q'd ip'e illam h'uit ex vendic'oe et lib'ac'oe cui^sd'm Rog'i de Bulkelegh', Et inde eu' voc' ad warr' si &c'

Et Will's dic' q'd p^rd'ca au^ria fuer' cat' sua ppria, die capc'oīs &c' & no' cat' p^rd'ci Walt'i aurifabr' Et q'd p^rd'cus Ad' p^rd'ca au^ria cepit de f'co suo ppⁱo, & no' vt ball's p^rd'ci Rob'ti vic', nec p vendic'oem & lib'aco'm p^rd'ci Rog'i, Et hoc parat^s est verificar' &c'. Et p^rd'cus Ad' simil'r, I'o pre. est vic' q'd ve'ire fac' ad px'm Com' .xij. &c' p quos &c' qui nec &c'.

Postea ad Com' die Mart^s pxi'a post festu' s'ci Petri ad vinc'la¹⁹ ven' ptes & simil'r .xij. qui dic' s'r sacr'm suu', q'd p^rd'cus Ad' cepit p^rd'cam vacc' cu' vit'lo tanq^am ball's p^rd'ci Rob'ti de Bulkelegh' tu'c vic' p p^rcept' ip'ius Rob'ti, Et q'd alia' vacca' h'uit ex lib'ac'oe Rog'i de Bulkelegh' &c' I'o h'eat auxiliu' &c' Et prec' est vic' q'd sum' eos q'd sint ad px' Com' &c'

22:739: 30 June 1310

M'ia vj.d'.

Rog^rs Russel quia non venit in inquisicion' inter Will'm fil' Laur' de Le Schoppe, & Ad' le Parker de pl'ito detenc'oīs au^rior in m'ia

22:892: 4 August 1310

m'ie. x.s'.

Ph's de Stapelegh' +xijd'+, Will' de Alsacher +xijd'+, Th'm del Brook +xijd'+, Will' Wodenot +xijd'+, Ric' fil' Rad' de Hanewall +xijd'+, Will' Matheu +xijd'+, Ran' fil' Rog^r +xijd'+, Rog^r Russel +xijd'+, Will' Skeppe +xijd'+ & Rob' Cachepol +xijd'+, quia no' ven' in inquis' int^r Will'm fil' Laurenc' del Schoppe & Adam le Parker de pl'o detenc' au^rior in m'ia.

¹⁸ Saturday 30 August 1309

¹⁹ Tuesday 4 August 1310