

BOROUGHES
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Russell

Henry Oysell sued Henry son of Laurence Box for two thirds of a messuage in Wych Malbanc, and Robert de Leighton and Margery his wife for the other third. Oysell also sued William de Sound and Amaria his wife for a moiety of a messuage in Wych Malbanc of which Robert Russell (whose heir Henry was) [was seised?]

7:232: 14 April 1293

m'ia .xij.d'

D Henr' Oysell ~~p-licencia-concorda~~ cum qⁱ tulit br'e u^rs Henr' fil' Laurencij Box de pl'o r're. venit & petit licenciam quendi melius br'e. I'o ip'e in m'ia ppl' [blank]

7:318: 7 July 1293

p^r

D Henr' Oysell pe. u^s. Henr'm fil' Laur' Box duas ptes vni^s mes' cum p^rtin' in Wico Malb'. Et .u^s. Rob'm de Leghton' & Marg'iam vx' eius t'ciam pte' vni^s Mes' cum p^rtin' in ead'm villa. Et ip'i Henr', Rob's & Marg'ia ven' & petunt inde visum & h'nt. Et p^rc' est vic' q'd h'ere fac' &c'

7:350: 7 July 1293

vacat

Henr' Oysell petit v^rsus Will'm de Sonde medietatem vnius mes' cum p^rtin' in Wyco Malbano de qua Rob'ts Russell auus p^r[d'ci] Henr' cuius³⁶¹

*Henr' Oysell p attorn' suum op se v^rsus Will'm de Sonde de pl'o q'd [reddat] ei medietatem vnius mesuag' cum p^rtin' in Wyco Mal[bano]³⁶² & de qua Rob'ts Russell auus p^rd'ci Henr' cuius He[res]
*ad hunc diem I'o p^rceptum est vic' q'd capiat**

7:378: 18 August 1293

p^r

Henr' Oysell p attorn' suu' op. se v^rs Will' de Sonde. & Amariam vx' eius de pl'o q'd reddant ei medietate' vnius mes' cum p^r[tin'] in Wyco Malb' Et ip'i ven' & petunt inde visum & h'nt. Et p^rc' est vic' q'd h're fac' &c'

Laurence Box's son William subsequently transferred his father's messuage to Richard de Fowlshurst.

1294-5

Cheshire Fines 23 Edward I dkr xxviii v 25 [9]

William son of Laurence Box to Richard de Fugleshurst

A messuage in Nantwich

³⁶¹ text breaks off here

³⁶² foot of membrane tattered

BOROUGHES
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

In October 1295, Isabella daughter of William de Fowlshurst of Legh accused Roger Russell and Margery Russell his mother and William Box of having disseised her of a messuage in Wych Malbanc. Roger and Margery said that they entered the premises when William Box and Isabella were married: so how could Isabella be disseised by her husband? The case was resumed in April 1296 when Isabella sued as Isabella who was wife of William Box: she said that until her divorce she could not contradict her husband: this time the suit also extended to include William de Wistanston for having disseised her of a messuage and 9 acres in Wistanston. Wistanston denied that she had ever possessed the Wistanston property. The Russells called William Box to warranty. In November 1296 Isabella dropped the cases.

8:43: 25 October 1295

Assis^a ven' recogn' si Rog^rs Russell & Marg^ria Russell & Will[']ms Box iniuste &c' disseis' Isabell' fil' Will'i de Fugheleshurst de Lehe ten' sue in Wico Malbano vnde querit^r q'd diss' eam de vno mesuag' cum p^rtin' in ead'm villa p^stq^am &c'. Et Will[']ms Box no' ven' I'o capiat^r inde assis^a u^rs^s eu' p^r defalta' Et p^rd'ci Rog^rs & Marg^ria d'nt q'd ip'i ingr'i sunt p^rd'ca ten' p^r +p^rd'cm+ ~~quendam~~ Will'm Box t^rpe quo ip'e Will[']ms & Isabell' p^rd'ca fuerunt mat^rmonio co'iunct' adinuice' Et petunt iud'm si p^rd'cus Will[']ms dummodo fuit marit' p^rd'ce Isabell' posset ip'am disseis'

Et p^rd'ca Isabell' infra etatem dic' q'd ip'a diss' est +p^r+ p^rfatos Rog^rm Marg^riam & Will'm & hoc petit q'd inq^rret^r p^r ass^am Et p^rd'ci Rog^rs & Marg^ria sim'lr

8:141: 17 January 1296

m'ia [blank]

D Is' fil' Will'i de Fugheleshurst p^r falso clamore u^rs^s Rog^rm Russell & Marg^riam matrem eius in pl'o assi'e noue diss' ppl' Will' pat's eius

8:210: 10 April 1296

p^r p^r

Isabella que fuit vx^r Will'i Box petit u^s. Rog^rm Russell & Marg^riam matre' eius vnu' Mes' cu' p^rtin' in Wico Malb'o Et versus Will'm de Wistaneston' duas ptes +vni^s mes'+ & noue' acr' t're cu' p^rtin' in Wistaneston' que clamat esse ius & hereditate' suam. Et in que ijdem Rog^rs, Marg^ria & Will's no' h'nt ingr'm nisi p^r Will'm Box q^ond' viru' ip'ius Isabelle qui illa eis dimisit, cui ip'a ante diuorciu' int^r eos celebr^atum cont^adic'e no' potuit &c'. Et vnde dicit q'd seisita fuit de p^rd'cis ten' vt de feodo & iure tempe pacis & te'pe d'ni R^s nu'c, capiando inde explec' ad valenc' &c' Et q'd tale sit ius suu' off^rt &c'

Et p^rd'ca Marg^ria no' venit. Et sum' testat^r, I'o p^rd'ca ten' u^s. eam & p^rd'cm Rog^rm Rusell cap' in manu' d'ni R^s

Et ipa' sum' q'd sit hic ad p^rx' Com' ad respond' simul cu' p^rd'co Rog^ro. &c' Idem dies dat^r est p^rd'co Rog^ro &c'.

Et p^rd'cs Will's de Wistaneston' venit & defendit vim &c'. q^ando &c'. Et dic' q'd p^rd'ca Isabella nu'q^a fuit seis' de p^rd'cus ten' vt de feodo & de iure tempe d'ni R^s nu'c, nec aliquo alio tempe & +hoc+ petit q'd inquirat^r. Et p^rd'ca Isabella simil'r. I'o p^rceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac' .xij. &c'.

BOROUGHES
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

8:227: 22 May 1296

p^r.

Is' q' fuit vx^r Will' Box. op. se u^rsus Rog^rm Russell & Marg^riam mat^rm eius de pl'o q'd reddant ei vnu' mes' cu' p^rtin' in Wyco Malbano Et p^rd'ca Marg^ria dicit q'd n^l tenet in p^rd'cis ten', n^c tenuit die inpet^aco'is br'is, & hoc petit q'd inq^rrat'. Et p^rd'cus Rog^rs venit & p^raux' Cur' vocat inde ad warantu' + Will' Box. + Et p^rcept' est vic' q'd sum' eum q'd sit &c'. ad warantizand'. &c'.

8:323: 7 August 1296

p^r.

Ad' le Venur Essoniator Rog^ri Russell. op. se v^rsus Will' Box de pl'o q'd warantizet ei vnu' mes' cum p^rtin' in Wyco Malbano Et ip'e no' venit & h'uit diem p^r esson' suu' essendi hic ad hunc. I'o p^rcept' est vic' q'd capiat de t^rra ip'ius Will'i ad valenc'. & diem capc'ois scire faciat &c'. Et sumon' p^r bonos sum' p^rfatum Will' q'd sit &c'. ad warantizand'.

9:36: 25 September 1296

Dies dat^s est Isabell' q' fuit vx^r Will' Box quer' & Rog^ro Russell de pl'o t^rre p^rce p^rciu' vsq' &c'.

9:44: 6 November 1296

M'ia .xij.d'. de v^ro q'

De Is' que fuit vx' Will'i Box & pleg' suis de ps' scil't Will'o de Fugleshurst, & Will'o de Sonde quia no' est ps' vers^s Rog^rm Russell de pl'ito t^rre p^r br'e de ingr'u

Matilda who was wife of William Tredefen sued Roger Russell and Margery his mother for a third, as dower, of a messuage in Wych Malbanc that belonged to her husband. Russell said, and the jury agreed, that William Tredefen was not in possession of the house on the day that he married Matilda.

9:238: 27 August 1297

p^r.

Matill' q' fuit vx^r Will'i Tredefen. op. se u^rsus Rog^rm Russell & Marg^riam m'rem eius de pl'o q'd reddant ei t^rciam p^rtem vnius mesuag' cum p^rtin' in Wyco Malbano quam clamat esse r'onabile' dote' suam q' eam contingit de lib'o ten' quod fuit p^rd'ci Will'i quond' viri sui &c'. Et p^rd'ci Rog^rs & Marg^ria no' ven'. Et h'nt die' p^r esson' suu' essendi hic &c'. I'o p^rceptu' est vic' q'd capiat p^rd'cm ten' in manu' d'ni Reg^s. Et diem capc'ois scire faciat &c'. Et sum' &c'. p^rfatos Rog^rm & Marg^riam q'd sint &c' ad respondd' p^rfate Matill' de pl'o p^rd'co.

9:245: 27 August 1297

Rog^rs Russell venit ad Scc^am Cestr' die ven^ris in f'o s'ci Franccissi³⁶³ & petiit ad pleuina' t^rcia' p^rte' vnius mesuag' cu' p^rtin' in Wyco Malbano quam Matill' q' fuit vx^r Will'i Tredefen petit vt dote' v^rsus p^rd'cm Rog^rm & Marg^ria' m'rem eius. & que capta fuit in manu' d'ni Regis die lune p^rx' ante f'm s'ci Mich³⁶⁴ p^rpt^r defaltam quam ip'i Rog^rs & Marg^ria fec^runt ad vltimu' Com' v^rsus p^rd'cam Matill'.

³⁶³ Friday 4 October 1297

³⁶⁴ Monday 23 September 1297

BOROUGHES
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

10:130: 8 April 1298

Matill' q' fuit vx' Will'i Tredefen petit u'sus Rog'm Russell & Marg'iam m'rem eius t'ciam pte' vnius mes' cu' p'tin' in W.... quam clamat esse r'onabilem dote' sua' q' eam contingit de lib'o ten' quod fuit p'd'ci Will'i quond' viri sui &c'. Et p'd'ca Ma[rg' dic'] q'd nich' nich'³⁶⁵ tenet n' tenere clamat in p'd'cis ten'. Et p'd'cus Rog'us qui tene's est de ten' p'd'cis, venit & defend', vim & [iniur' q'n] & dic' q'd p'd'ca Matill', ad p'd'cam dote' petend', acc'oem no' h't. Dic' enim q'd p'd'cus Will'is, no' fuit seis' de p'd'cis ten' [in d'nico] suo vt de feodo p'stq'm ip'am desponsauit ad hostiu' Eccli'e, p' quod ip'am dotare potuit, petit q'd inq'rat'. Et Rog's³⁶⁶ s' [I'o p'c' est] vic' q'd venire fac'. &c'

Jur' dicunt sup' sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'cs Will's no' fuit seis' de p'd'cis ten' p't q'm desponsauit Matill' ad ostiu' Eccli'e p' quod [potuit dotare] &c' I'o considerat' est q'd nich' capiat p' br'e suu', set sit in m'ia p' falso clamore ppl'. d'ni R de Hemington' ad e'end' in c'a st..... scc'a m

10:165: 6 May 1298

[m'ie] .iij.s'.

D Ric'o de Edlaston' +.ij.s'.+, & Will'o Joel Juniore +.xij.d'.+, quia no' ven'unt, ad inquis'. int' Matill'. que fuit vx' Will'i Tredefen, & Rog'm Russel, de pl'ito det'

Roger Russell sued Henry de Ravenscroft for a debt of 9s 6d due to have been paid him at Wych Malbanc three years before, for cloth sold him. Ravenscroft acknowledged the debt, but said that he had paid the money to Roger's mother, Margery.

10:339: 9 September 1298

p'.

Henr' de Rauenescroft, sum' fuit ad respondd' Rog'o Russel, de pl'o debiti, vnde quer', q'd vbi p'd'cus Rog'us vendidit p'd'co Henr'. pannu', p. noue' sol' & sex den', quos ei soluisse debuit ap'd Wicu' Malb', ad f'm Pur' b'e Mar' trib^s annis elapsis³⁶⁷, nec du' eos ei soluit, sed adhuc ei solu'e cont'a dicit, ad dampnu'. &c'. & ducit. &c'. & pfert q'nd' tall'. &c'.

Et p'd'cus Henr', venit & defendit, &c'. Et b'n cognouit, q'd aliq'n tenebat' d'co Rog'o. in p'd'ca p'cunia p' tall' p'd'cam sed dicit q'd ip'e soluit d'cam p'cu'iam Marg'ie m'ri d'ci Rog'i apud Wicu' Malb', & hoc patus est verificare, & alius si'lr. Ideo fiat inde Jur' ad px'm Com'.

Margery who was wife of Ralph son of Robert son of Ranulph sued John son of Ralph son of Clement for a third part of a messuage in Wych Malbanc; and Ranulph Russell for a third part of two messuages and half a saltpit in the same town, by way of dower from the property of her late husband Ralph. The defendants said that Ralph had been a criminal, and gaoled at Newgate before Ralph de Sandwyco (Sandwich). But Margery said that Ralph was accused of felonies before the justices, before whom he could not answer, because he was a

³⁶⁵ sic

³⁶⁶ sic

³⁶⁷ Wednesday 2 February 1295

BOROUGHES
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

clerk. He had been delivered to the bishop's prison, where he died, and so had never been convicted.

11:360: 11 August 1299

Marg^fia que fuit vx' Rad'i fil' Rob'i fil' Ranulphi, petit u'sus Joh'em fil' Rad'i fil' Clementis, t'ciam ptem vni^s mes' cu' p^tin' in Wico Malbano, Et u'sus Ranulph' Russel, t'ciam pte' duor mes', & medietatis vni^s saline cu' p^tin' in eadem villa, vt r'onabilem dote' sua' q' eam co'ting^t de lib'o ten' suo quod fuit p^rd'ci Rad'i q^ondam viri sui &c'

Et p^rd'ci Joh'nes & Ranulph' dicunt q'd +p^rd'ca Marg^fia+ acc'onem h're no' debet ad dotem petend', dicu't eni', q'd p^rd'cus Rad'us fecit quedam criminalia con^a pacem d'ni Regis, sup quib^s co'uict^s fuit p quod sustulit iud'm — & petu't iud'm &c' — Et p^rd'ci Joh'es & Ran's requisiti, vbi, & quando, & coram quib^s Justic'iis, dicu't q'd coram Rad'o de Sandwyco & sociis suis ad gaholam de Neugate +delib'and'+ anno Regni Regis Edwardi vicesimo septimo³⁶⁸ assign'. & hoc vocant rot'los p^rd'ci Rad' ad War' &c', Et p^rd'ca Marg^fia dicit q'd p^rd'cs Rad'us coram Justic'iis p^rd'cis calumpniat^s fuit de feloniis, coram quib^s, respond'e no' potuit, qa cl'icus fuit, p^rd'ci t'n Justic'ij ex offic'o suo ad inquis' inde capiend' pcesserunt, p qua' conuict^s fuit &c', p ordinar' loci delib'at^s ad p'sona' Ep'i, vbi obiit &c'. & hoc petit q'd inquir' &c'. Et de hoc, voc' p^rd'cos rot'los &c'.

17 October 1299

Cheshire Fines 28 Edward I dkr xxviii v 59 [13]

Richard de Fouleshurst to Richard son of Peter de Edlaston

The manor of Edlaston, with 12d rent in Nantwich

Margery widow of Ralph son of Robert son of Ranulph son of Clement of Wych Malbanc made abother attempt at obtaining dower, from John son of Ralph son of Clement and Ranulph Russell, in November 1299.

12:4: 3 November 1299

m'ia

D Marg^fia que fuit vx' Rad'i fil' Rob'i fil' Ranulphi fil' Clementis de Wyco Malbano +dj'.m'.+, p lic' quer' melius br'e. u^s Joh'em fil' Rad'i fil' Clementis & Ran' Russel, de pl'o dotis, ppl' Will'i de Preers & Ric'i de Fouleshurst

Margery the widow of Ralph son of Robert son of Ranulph of Wych Malbanc sued Ralph Bonvalet for a messuage in Wych Malbanc which she claimed by right of her marriage, of the gift of Thomas son of Matthew de Wych Malbanc, and to which Bonvalet had entry only by Ralph her husband, whom she could not contradict in his lifetime. After a perfunctory defencem Bonvalet surrendered the messuage to Margery.

³⁶⁸ 20 November 1298 – 19 November 1299

BOROUGHES
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22

© 2021 David Bethell

12:211: 31 May 1300

p^r.

Marg^ria q' fuit vx^r Rad'i fil' Rob'ti fil' Ran' de Wyco Malbano petit u^rsus Rad'm Bonuallet vnu' mes' cu' p^rtin' in Wyco Malbano quod clamat esse ius & maritag' suu' de dono Thom' fil' Math'i de Wyco Malbano, & in quod id'm Rad's Bonuallet no' h't ingr'm nisi p p^rd'cm Rad'm fil' Rob'ti quondam viru' ip'ius Marg^rie cui ip'a in vita sua cont^adic'e no' potuit &c'. Et p^rd'cus Rad'us Bonuallet ven', & petit inde visum &c'. Et p^rc' est vic' q'd h're fac' &c'.

12:334: 23 August 1300

p^r. M'ia alibi

Marg^ria que fuit vx Rad'i fil' Rob'ti petit, u^s. Rad'm Bonualet vnu' Mes' cum p^rtinenc' in Wico Malbano vt ius & Maritagi' suu' de dono Thome fil' Math'i de Wico Malbano, & vnde ip'a fuit seis' vt de iure & Maritag' tempe pacis tempe .R. E'. nu'c capiend' inde explet' vt in allocac'oe Mes' & alior' exituu' ad valenc' dj'm'. &c'. & in quod id'm Rad's non h't ingr'm, nisi p p^rd'cm Rad' fil' Rob'ti q^ondam viru' ip'ius Marg^rie cui ip'a vita sua cont^adic'e non potuit &c', Et q'd tale sit ius suu'; offert &c'.

Et p^rd'cus Rad's venit & defend' vim &c', q^ando &c', & dicit q'd p^rd'cus Rad'us fil' Rob'ti fil' Ran' fuit simplicit' feoffatus de p^rd'cis ten' absq' menc'one de p^rd'ca Marg^ria faciend' in feoffam'to, p quod ei hui'smodi accio ei compete possit, & hoc petit q'd inquir', — Et p^rd'ca Marg^ria dicit q'd ip'a coniu'ctim feoffata fuit sim'l cu' p^rd'co Rad'o q^ondam viro suo in lib'o Maritag' de p^rd'cis ten', & ~~h'oe~~ q'd tale sit ius & Maritagi' suu', petit q'd inquir', Et p^rd'cs Rad's similit', Ideo p^rceptu' est vic' q'd venire fac' .xij. &c'. qui nec &c', ad recognoscend' &c', Postea ad Com' die Martis p^x^a p^st f'm S'ci Martini anno p^rd'co³⁶⁹ p^rd'cus Rad's venit & in pleno Com' reddidit ten' uers^s eum petita Ideo cons' est q'd p^rd'ca Marg^ria recuperet inde seis' sua' Et p^rd'cus Rad's in m'ia —

13:32: 5 November 1300

m'ia ijs' xij d'

D Rog'o Russel qa non venit ad Inquis' int' Marg^riam que fuit vx^r Rad'i fil' Rob'ti & Rad'm Bonuallet

m'ia ij s'.

D Rob'to le Cartewricte p eod'm.

m'ia ij s'.

D Ric'o Aluane Juniore p eod'm.

m'ia xij d'.

D Rob'to Bate p eod'm.

m'ia ij s'.

D Adam le Tannour p eod'm.

m'ia xij d'.

D Will'mo de Edlaston' p eod'm

m'ia xij d'.

D Rad'o del Sheppe p eod'm

13:45: 5 November 1300

M'ia iiij s'.

³⁶⁹ Tuesday 15 November 1300

BOROUGHES
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

*D Rad'o Bonuallet p iniusta detenc'one vni^s Mes' cum ptinenc' in Wico Malbano. u^s.
Marg^riam que fuit vx' Rad'i fil' Rob'ti fil' Ran' de Wico Malbano. ppl'm Thome del Brok. &
Hug' de Wibbingbur'*