

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Officials of the Archdeacons

Robert de Frodsham

Master Robert de Frodsham served as the archdeacon's official, dealing with the administration of the archdeaconry. He resolved a dispute as to church revenues from Poynton in favour of St Werburgh's abbey, the possessors of Prestbury church, in 1276.

1276

St Werburgh Cartulary no 889 [ii 474]

Anno Domini m^occ^olxx^ovi^o Magister S[ymon] Couentrensis et Lychfeldensis episcopi officialis sentencialiter adiudicauit quod omnes decime, tam maiores quam minores tam personales quam prediales, de omnibus assartis et frussuris in terris dominicis ville de Pouinton' factis, habitatoribusque in eisdem, prouenientibus, preterquam de vno assarto quod [Henricus] de Worth [quondam excoli fecit in] le Hope, ecclesie parochiali de Prestebur' pertineant imperpetuum, Alano capellano in capella de [Pou]inton' ministranti super percepcione earum imperpetuum imponens silencium.

Sciendum est quod idem capellanus in dicta capella ministrans qui vocabatur Johannes Malyn quasdam decimas de noualibus et assartis de nouo ad culturam redactis in territorio manerii de Pouinton prouenientibus asportauit qui eas ecclesie de Prestebur' per iudicium magistri Roberti de Frodesham officialis domini Archidiaconi Cestrie restituere compellebatur, et ne de cetero aliquas decimas in noualibus dicti manerii uel eorum habitatoribus vendicaret uel aliquis ipsius successor exigeret, predictus officialis eis silencium perpetuum imposuit.

Master Robert de Frodsham was instituted vicar of Frodsham church in 1279: the Lichfield bishops' registers start no earlier than 1300, but the letter book of brother John de Peckham, archbishop of Canterbury, include authorization to the bishop of Lichfield to institute him.

7 November 1279, Mortlake

Peckham f.21v iij. [1,002]

Empowers the bishop of Lichfield to institute Rob. de Frodesham to the vicarage of Frodsham. Mortlake, 7 id. Nov. cons. i.

15 September 1284, Chester

Patent Roll 12 Edward I m.5 [132]

Simple protection, for one year, for master Robert de Frodesham.

6 July 1295, Conway

Close Roll 23 Edward I m. 6d [446]

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Master Robert de Frodesham, Philip de Ponte, Robert de Ponte, Nicholas Gamel, chaplain, John son of Walter, Thomas son of Walter, Henry his brother, Thomas de Baruwe, William son of Margery, Roger son of Elias, Nicholas son of Peter, Thomas son of Richard, Peter the smith, Roger Waleys, Richard de Hapesford, Richard de Neuton, Walter de Acton, Richard le Ruter, Richard Doune, William de Hellesby, Peter de Mauleye, Henry de Pykemer, David de Barton, William de Asshton, Hugh de Alvandeleye, Walter de Neuton, Walter de Frodesham, Adam de Burton, Henry son of Robert, William Gerard, John de Aula, Richard de Galewey, William de Crosford, Gaillard de Sancto Severo, and Ralph de Cohghill acknowledge that they owe to Henry de Lacy, earl of Lincoln, 121l. 3s. 11d., to be paid at certain specified terms at the castle of Haulton; to be levied, in default of payment, of their lands and chattels in co. Chester.

In July 1296 Robert son of Walter de Frodsham accused Robert vicar of Frodsham church, Thomas Ulkill chaplain, William son of John de Bradley, Walter son of Walter Capellanus (Chaplain) of Newton, Thomas son of Robert le Walsh, Henry Bonenfant, Hugh de Bradley, William son of Dyote, Hugh son of Gilbert le Knave and Philip le Karmarun of having disseised him of a plot of land 40 feet long by 30 feet wide in Kingsley (a township in Frodsham parish).

The vicar came and started the defence on a technicality: he said that the plot in question was not cultivated ground, whereas the writ suggested that it was (arable) land. Leaving that aside, he had no right in the plot except as a tenant of William Lancelyn; and Lancelyn was coparcener there with William Gerard, Richard Doun and Peter son of Ranulph de Thornton, without whom he should not sue. Leaving that aside, if the plaintiff claimed the land as his severalty, he (the vicar) had bought a tenement in that township of about three acres, with the right of taking marl in the plot in question. The jury accepted the vicar's first cavil, deciding that the plot was waste land.

8:285 3 July 1296

l. ∴ m'ia .xl.d'.

Assis^a venit recogn' si Rob'ts vicar' Eccl'ie de Frodesham Thom' Vlkill Cap'lls. Will' fil' Joh'is de Bradelegh'. Walt's fil' Walt'i Cap'lli de Neuton'. Thom' fil' Rob'i le Walshe, Henr' Bonenfaunt, Hug' de Bradel', Will' fil' Dyote. Hug' fil' Gilb'i le Knaue & Ph's le K'maru' iniuste &c' disseis' Rob'm fil' Walt'i de Frodesham. de lib'o ten' suo in Kyngeslegh' vnde querit' q'd disseis' eum de vna placea t're q' continet in long' q^adraginta ped' & in latitudine' t'ginta ped' p^stq^am &c'.

Et p^rd'ci Thom', Will', ~~Wa~~ Th', Henr', & Will' veniu't, & d'nt q'd nⁱ ibi tene't n^c ten'e clama't nⁱ & q'd n'llam iniuria' fec'u't n^c disseisi^am. pet' q'd inqⁱrat'. Et p^rd'ci Walt's, Hug', Hug' & Ph's, no' ven', I'o capiat' assis' p^r eor' defalta'.

Et p^rd'cus Rob'ts vicar' dic'. q'd assis^a no' debet inde pced'e, Dic' enim q'd p^rd'ca placea no' est t'ra in c'lтура' redacta, s' est vastu'. & in querela sua specificat q^alitate' ten' & q^od no' est n^c fuit t'ra arabil' in seisin^a sua, & hoc petit inqⁱri p^r assi^am. Et si co'pt' sit q'd p^rd'ca placea sit t'ra arabil'; tunc dic' q'd p^rd'cs Rob' fil' Walt'i n'llam stat'm lib'i ten' h'uit in p^rd'cis ten' nⁱ p^r Will' Launcelyn, qⁱ nⁱ ibi tenuit, nⁱ cu' Will' Gerard, ~~Will'~~ Ric'o+ Doun

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

& Pet' fil' Ran' de Thornton' p'cenar' suis Ita q'd p'd'cus Will' Launcelin seisina' sepata' no' h'uit sine p'd'cis p'cenar' suis, p' quod statu' lib'i ten' h'ie +ei face+ potuit Et si co'ptu' sit q'd sepalem seisi^am h'uit p' q'd &c', adhuc dic' q'd assis' no' debet inde fieri p'ced'e. quia dic' q'd p'quisiuit p'd'ea quedam ten' que contine't circit' t's ac^as in ead'm villa cu' q'dam aisiamento capiendi marlam in vasto ex^a illas t's ac^as in loco magis s' competenti. Et hoc de Will'mo Launcelin p' carta' sua' qua' p'ft & hoc testat'. qui quidam Will'. no' no'iat' in br'i, petit iud'm. Et q'd ingr'us est ten' ill' p'f'cm illd petit q'd inq'rat' p' assi^am.

Jur' d'nt sup' sacr'm suu' q'd p'd'ca placea t're de q'o vis' f'cus fuit, fuit vastu' & no' t'ra arrabil' I'o cons' est q'd p'd'cus Rob'ts n' capiat p' assi^am istam s' sit in m'ia p' falso clamore ppl' [blank] Et p'd'cus Rob' vicar' +& alii+ inde sine die

8:304: 3 July 1296

vacat qa alibi

Assisa venit recog', si Rob'ts vicar' eccl'ie de Frodesh^am, Thom' Vrkel capell's. Will' fil' Joh'is de Bradelegh', Walt's filius Walt'i cap'lli de Neuton', Thom' fil' Rob' le Walsche, Henr' Bonemfant, Hugo de Bradelegh', Will' fil' Diote, Hugo fil' Gilb'to le Knaue, & Ph's le Maront iniuste &c' diss', Rob'tm fil' Walt'i de Frodesh^am de lib'o ten' suo in Kingesl', vnde quer' q'd diss' eu' de q'dam placea t're, que continet q'draginta ped' in longit' & t'ginta ped' in latit'.

Et p'd'cus Rob' vicar', & alii, ven' p' balli'os suos, & om'es, p'ter p'fatu' Rob' dicu't q'd nich' h'nt, nec aliq'd clam' in p'd'cis ten' nec aliq'm iniur' fec'unt ++ petunt q'd inquirat'.

Et p'd'cs Rob'ts vicar', dicit, q'd assisa no' debet +inde+ fieri, & dicit q'd p'd'ca placea, q'm p'd'cs Rob's posuit in visu suo est quod placea vasti, & no' t'ra arabil'. & petit iud'm de bt'i & petic'one sua. & si conuincat' q'd placea illa sit t'ra arabil' dicit vt p'us, q'd assisa no' debet fieri, & dicit q'd Will's Lancelyn, p' cui^s f'cm & feoffam', p'd'cs Rob' fil' Walt'i clam' illud lib'm ten', nich' h'uit in eisd' ten', nisi in co'i, vna cum Will'o Gerard, Ric'o Doun, & Pet'o fil' Ran' de Thornton' qui est infra etatem, & in custod' Reg's participib's suis & qui no' no'iantur in br'i, & petit iud'm, &c'. Et si conuincat' q'd p'd'cs Will's tenuit p'd'cm ten' tanq^a solu' suu' pp'u', in sepali suo; dicit q'd p'd'cs Rob' fil' Walt'i, nu'q^a fuit in seis^a de p'd'ca placea, vt de lib'o ten' suo, ita q'd potuit inde disseis', & de hoc ponit se sup' assis'.

Et p'd'cs Rob' fil' Walt'i dicit, q'd p'd'ca placea est t'ra arabil' & q'd p'd'cus Will' Lancelyn tenuit ill'd ten' in suo sepali, & ill'd ten' eo dedit & carta sua confirmauit, p' cui^s feoffam', ip'e fuit inde in pacifica seis', vt de lib'o ten' suo, q'usq', p'd'ci Rob's vicar' & alii ip'm i'uste &c', inde diss', & de hoc, ponit se sup' assis'. I'o capiat' assisa.

The vicar called William Lancelyn to warrant him 2 acres of land in Newton by Frodsham. An undated charter, but from the time that Reginald de Grey was justiciar (no earlier than 1273), was produced, by which William had granted the vicar an assart in Newton in the forest, lying by Smaleshate, which said assart Richard the vicar's brother once held from Lancelyn.

8:338: 7 August 1296

Dat^s est dies Rob'to vicar' de Frodesh^am querenti & Will'o Launcelin vsq' ad p'x^m Com' p'ce p'ciu'.

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

9:22: 25 September 1296

M'ia .ij.s'.

Will'ms Launcelin' sum' fuit ad respondd' de pl'o q'd warantizet Rob'to vicar' de Frodesham duas ac's t're cu' ptin' in Neuton' iux^a Kyngesl' quas tenet & de eo tenere clamat & vnde &c'. Et p'd'cus Will'ms venit & warantizat &c'. Et quia p'us dedixit, I'o ip'e in m'ia ppl' [blank].

Sciant om's tam p'sentes q'm futur' q'd ego Will'ms Launcelin, dedi concessi & hac p'senti carta mea co'firmaui Mag'ro Rob'to de Frodesham vnu' assartum in Neuton' in foresta iacens iux^a Smaleshate, quod quide' assartu' Ric'us frat' p'd'ci Rob'i. q'nd' de me tenuit. H'nd' & tene'd' p'd'cm assartu' cu' suis ptin' de me & h'edib^s meis, p'd'co Rob'to & h'edib^s v'l assig^atis q'b^scu'q' seu cuicumq' & q'ncu'q' illd dare vendere v'l legare volu'it, viris religiosis exceptis, lib'e, quiete, & h'editarie cu' husbot & haybot ad edificand' in p'd'cp assar^{to} p voluntate d'ci Rob'i & marle ad illa marliand' ac o'ib^s co'is, lib'tatib^s, aysiamenis estou'iis, co'moditatib^s, & cet'is aliis necessar' in o'i loco & t'pe p'd'ce t're & ville de Neuton' ptine'tib^s. Reddendo inde annuati' mⁱ & h'edib^s meos de p'd'co Rob' & h'edib^s suis v'l assig^atis vt p'd'cm est vnu' obolu' argenti ad f'm Natiuitat' s'ci Joh' Bapt'e p o'ib^s s'ruic' sec'larib^s exacc'oib^s, co'suetudi'b^s & demand'. Et ego vero Will'ms Launcelin & h'edes mei p'd'cm assartu' cu' o'ib^s sup'd'cis p'd'co Rob'to & h'edib^s suis v'l assig^atis vt ante d'cm est cont^a om's & femi^{as} warantizabim^s, acq'etabim^s, & defendemus inppetuu'. In cuius rei testimon' & fidem. sigill' meu' p'senti carte apposui. Hiis testib^s d'no Regin'o de Grey tu'c Justic'o Cestr'. D'no Ric'o de Mascy. D'no Galfr'o de Chedl. Will' Gerard'. Ric'o le Rut'. Will'o de Hellesby. Rob'to d'no de Mannel'. Henr' de Neth'ton'. Ph'o de Ponte Thom' Vlkill Cap'llo & aliis.

Richard Doun sued William Lancelyn and Robert son of Walter de Frodsham for disseisin of 24 acres of woodland in Kingsley. The defendants said that in the place in question there was only 20 acres, of which Robert holds 13½ acres which Lancelyn had granted him. There was dispute as to whether the land was woodland or waste. The jury decided that Doun had been disseised by Lancelyn, but of only a fourth part of 6 acres of waste. 18 acres were not waste, but woodland covered with oak, alders, thorns and spinney. In June 1297 Doun withdrew his suit: master Robert de Frodsham was one of his pledges.

9:131: 12 March 1297

M'ia .alibi.

M'ia .alibi.

Assis^a venit recogn' si Will'ms Launcelin & Rob'tus fil' Walt'i de Frodesham iniuste &c' diss' Ric'm Doun de lib'o ten' suo in Kyngeslegh'. vnde querit' q'd disseis' eum de viginti & q'tuor ac's bosci cum ptin' p'stqu^am &c'. Et p'd'ci Will'ms & Rob'tus veniu't & dicu't q'd assis^a no' debet inde pcedere. dicu't enim q'd diu'sa sunt vasta, vt mora Mariscus, brussetum, & huiusmodo, que o'ia comp'hendi't in hoc u'bo vastum. & q'odlib' genus vasti debet peti s'b c'to, vnde petunt iudiciu' si ad br'e istud deb'nt respondere. Et postea relicta excepc'one p'd'ca, p'd'ci Will'ms & Rob'tus dicu't q'd p'd'ca ten' in visu suo po'ita, su't bosci & fuerunt de inpet^ac'ois br'is, & hoc petu't inquiri p assis^am.

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Dicu't eciam q'd in illa placea in visno po'ita no' continent' nisi viginti ac^e. vnde +p^rd'cus+ Rob'tus tenet t'sdecim ac^as & di', quas p^rd'cus Will'ms Launcelin contulit ei & incartauit. Et q'd n'llam fecit iniuria' n^c disseis^am petit q'd inqⁱrat'. Et p^rd'cus Will'ms tene's residuu' teneme'tor p^rd'cor dicit q'd p^rd'ca ten' fueru't boscus die inpet^ac'ois br'is. Et si conptum sit q'd p^rd'ca ten' &c'. fuerunt vastu' sicut querela sua exp'mit dic' q'd p^rd'cus Ric'us nunq^am fuit solis seis' de p^rd'cis ten', p^r quod possit solus diss', & hoc petit q'd inqⁱrat' p^r ass^am. I'o capiat' assis^a q' deffert' ppt' t'ps q^adragesimal'. &c'.

Jur' d'nt sup^r sacr'm suu' q'd p^rd'cus Ric'us disseis' est de q^arta pte sex ac^ar vasti p^rd'cm Will' Launcelin. Et q'd p^rd'cus Rob'us n'llam fecit iniuria'. quia feoffat^s fuit p^rd'cm Will' de ten' p^rd'cis. D'nt ecia' q'd p^rd'ce decem & octo ac^e, no' sunt t'ra vasta sicut p^rd'cus Ric'us exp'mit in querela sua, s' boscus coopt^s. quercu, dinneto & alneto, & spineto. I'o consid'atu' est q'd p^rd'cus Ric'us recpet seisi^am sua' de q^arta pte p^rd'car sex ac^ar p^r visum recogn' & dampna q' taxant' &c'. Et p^rd'cus Will' in m'ia ppl' [blank]

Et p^rd'cus Ric'us simil'r in m'ia p^r falso clamore, q^o ad decem & octo ac^ar bosci coopti ppl' [blank]

Dampna. — xij.d'. T. C.

9:163: 23 April 1297

M'ia.iiij.s'.

D Will'mo Launcelin p^r disseisin^a f'ca Ric'o Doun ppl' [blank]

M'ia.ij.s'.

D Ric'o Doun p^r falso clamore u'sus Will'm Launcelin. & Rob'm fil' Walt'i. ppl' [blank].

9:171: 23 April 1297

m'ia. Cond',

D Rob'to de Aston', recogn', quia no' venit, ad assisam +no. dis'.+ int' Ric'm Doun & Will' Lancelyn.

9:192: 4 June 1297

m'ia.xl.d'.

Ric's Doun qⁱ tulit br'e noue diss' v^s Will' Launcelyn & Rob'm fil' Walt'i de Frodesha'm de ten' in Kyngesl' venit & re. se. ppl' Will'i de Praers & Mag'ri Rob'i de Frodesha'm. Et postea conuenit int' p^rd'cos Will'm Launcelin +Rob'm fil' Walt'i+ & Ric'm Doun q'd p^rd'cus Will'ms +& Rob'tus+ concesseru't p^rfato Ric'o q^artam pte'. decem & octo ac^ar bosci in Kingesl', h'nd' & tenend' sⁱ & h'edib^s suis & assignatis inppetuu'. vid'lt de tenementis in br'i ip'ius Ric'i contentis, siue h'eat' plus siue minus.

2 March 1297, Clarendon

Close Roll 25 Edward I m.3 [34]

To the taxors and collectors of the twelfth in co. Dorset. Order to desist entirely from taxing and collecting the prior of La Grave's goods annexed to spiritualities by reason of the twelfth granted to him by the laity of the realm. of which goods a moiety and afterwards a tenth were granted and given to the king by reason of the twelfth aforesaid, as the king wills that such goods shall not be taxed upon this occasion; provided that the goods of the prior's villeins shall be taxed in the same manner as other goods of laymen.

[The like in favour of the following to the taxors and collectors in the counties specified below:]

...

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Protections for God.

...

Master Robert, vicar of the church of Frodesham, master John de Lauton, parson of the church of Lauton, and Richard, vicar of the church[es] of Runcore and Dynas in Kemmeys in West Wales. — By the testimony of Walter de Pederton.

...

16 April 1297, Plympton

Close Roll 25 Edward I m.1d [34]

To the sheriff of Devon. Order to restore to William, parson of the church of Belston, all his lay-fees, with the goods found in them, which were taken into the king's hands by virtue of the order aforesaid.

The like in favour of the following:

...

Master Robert, vicar of the church of Frodesham, John, parson of the church of Lauton, John, parson of the church of Eccleston, Hugh, parson of the church of Wylmeslowe, Roger, vicar of the church of Buddeworth, and Richard, vicar of the church of Runcore, [to] Reginald de Grey.

...

Robert vicar of Frodsham sued Hugh son of Thomas de Newton for having disseised him of a plot of land in Newton by Kingsley 12½ perches long, 3 feet wide, and in one place 2 feet and in places 1 foot. The jury found in the vicar's favour.

11:172: 21 April 1299

Dies dat^s est mag^ro Rob[']to de Frodish^am & Hugoni de Neuton['] vsq['] ad px[']m Com[']

11:185: 26 May 1299

m[']ia. xl.d['].

Assisa venit recogn['] si Hugo fil['] Thome de Neuton['], iniuste &c[']. disseis['], Rob[']m vicar['] eccl[']ie de Frodesham, de lib[']o ten['] suo, in Neuton['] iuxta Kingesleg['], vnde quer^r, q[']d diss['], eum de vna placea t^rre que continet in longitudi[']e duodecim p^ticat['] & dimid['], & in latit['] tres pedes, & in aliq^o loco duos pedes, & vnu['] pedem. p['] loca. &c[']. Et p^rd[']cus Hugo, venit & dicit q[']d assisa no['] debet inde pcedere quia dicit, q[']d ip[']e Rob[']ts est in seisina de eisdem ten['] & petit iud[']m &c[']. Dicit etiam, q[']d ip[']e nichil h[']t, nec aliquid h[']re clam['] in p^rd[']cis ten['] & q[']d nullam fecit iniur['] u[']l diss[']; petit q[']d inquir['] p['] ass^am. Et p^rd[']cus Rob[']tus, dicit, q[']d nu[']q^am, postq^am diss['] fuit p['] p^rd[']cm Hug['], fuit in seis^a, de eisd[']m ten[']. Et q[']d ip[']e erat in plena & pacifica seis^a de eisd['] ten['] vt de lib[']o ten['] suo, quousq['] id['] Hugo iniuste. &c[']. eum diss[']. Et hoc petit q[']d inquir['] p['] ass^am. Et p^rd[']cus Hugo si[']lr. Jur['] dicu[']t sup['] sacr[']m suu['], q[']d p^rd[']cus Rob['] est diss['], de eisd['] ten['], p['] p^rd[']cm Hug[']. Ideo cons['] est q[']d p^rd[']cus Rob[']s recupet seis^am &c[']. & p^rd[']cus Hugo in m[']ia, ppl['] Alani de Acton[']

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Another suit about land in Kingsley started in October 1300. Robert son of Walter de Frodsham accused William Lancelyn, master Robert de Frodsham, Richard his lad, John le Page, Henry Burrell, Richard Spendlove, Henry Bonenfant and John his brother of having disseised him of 3 acres of (arable) land and 10½ acres of woodland in Kingsley.

All the defendants except for Lancelyn and master Robert disclaimed any interest in the property. As for the 3 acres of land, they said that they held only a fourth part of it, by grant of Richard Doun. That William Gerard and others, his coparceners, the heirs of Kingsley, hold the remaining three quarters of the 3 acres and held them on the date of the impetration of the writ (27 September). As for the woodland, they say that Frodsham holds it by feofment from Lancelyn, who warrants it and says that he holds it jointly with Gerard and the other coparceners.

Robert said that Lancelyn had enfeoffed him of the 10½ acres by charter, which he produces in court. The jury said that Lancelyn had indeed granted him both the 3 acres of land and the 10½ acres of woodland, and that the defendants had disseised him.

13:18: 11 October 1300

Ljs' iijid' m^{ie}—Damp^a. iijj.s'. T. C. soluc'o

Ass^a venit recogn' si Will'ms Launcelin +xx.s'+ Mag'r Rob's de Froddesh^am +xx.s'-dj' m'. p d'nm+. Ric'us garc'o eiusd'm Rob'i +ijs' .xij.d'+, Joh'nes le Page +xijd'+, Henr' Burel +ijs' xij.d'+ Ric'us Spendeloue +xld' xijd'+. Henr' Bonenfaunt +ijs' .xij.d'+ & Joh'nes fr' eius +vjd'+, iniuste & sine iudic'o diss' Rob'm fil' Walt'i de Froddesh^am de lib'o ten' suo in Kingesleg', postq^am .J. Scotie^s f'cus fuit Comes Cestr'. Vnde quer' q'd disseis' eum de trib^s acris t're. & decem acr' bosci & di' cu' p^{tin}', &c'.

Et p'd'ci Ric'us garc'o p'd'ci Mag'ri Rob' & om'es alij. p^rter p'd'cos Will'm Launcelin & Mag'rm Rob'm veniu't & dicu't, q'd nichil h'nt nec hab'e clamant in p'd'cis ten', nec aliquam iniur; neq' diss' fec'unt, petu't q'd inquir' pp ass^am

Et p'd'ci Will'ms Launcelin & Mag'r Rob's veniu't, & quo ad p'd'cas tres ac's terre dicit q'd ip'e no' tenet nisi q^artam pte' +de dono Ric'i Doun, qui no' no'iat' in br'i & petit iud'm Et si co'ui'cat' &c'+ dicit q'd p'd'cs Rob's filius Walt'i nu'q^am fuit in seisina +de p'd'ca q^arta pte+ vt de lib'o ten', ita q'd potuit inde disseisiri & hoc petit q'd inquir' — Et q'd Will'ms Gerard & alij p^{ti}cipes sui heredes de Kyngesleg' tene't tres ptes p'd'car triu' acrar t're & tenueru't die inpet^ac'onis istius br'is, videlicet, xxvij^o die Septembr' & hoc petit q'd inquir', Et quo ad p'd'cas decem acr' bosci &c' dicit q'd ip'e int^auit easdem p donu' & feoffamentu' p'd'ci Will'mi Launcelin & de hoc vocat ip'm ad Warantu', & p'd'cus Will'ms p^rsens est in Cur' & ei warrantizat, & tanq^am tenens p'd'cas decem acr' t're bosci & dimid', dicit q'd ip'e tenet illas in p^{ti}cipac'one cu' Will'mo Gerard & aliis p^{ti}cipib^s suis heredib^s de Kingesleg' q' no' no'iant' in br'i & petit iud'm &c'. — Et p'd'cs Rob'us filius Walt'i dicit qd p'd'cus Will'ms Launcelin feoffafuit ip'm de p'd'cis decem acr' & di' bosci p cartam sua' qua' pfert & q' hoc testat', p cui^s feoffamentu' ip'e fuit in plena & pacifica seis^a vt de lib'o ten' suo, quousq' p'd'ci Will'ms Launcelin & alii in br'i, ip'm inde iniuste disseis', & petit q'd inquir' p ass^am — Et p'd'cus Will'ms Launcelin dicit q'd ip'e nu'q^am feoffafuit p'd'cm

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Rob'm de p^rd'cis decem acr' & di' bosci, nec vnq^am ip'm posuit in seis^a de eisd'm, & petit q'd inquir' p^r ass^am — Et p^rd'cus Rob's sil'r — Jur' dicu't sup s'crm suu' q'd p^rd'cus Will'ms feoffauit p^rd'cm Rob'm fil' Walt'i tam de p^rd'cis trib^s acr' terre q^am de dece' acris & di' bosci & inde fuit in plena & pacifica seis^a vt de lib'o ten' suo quousq' iid'm Will's, Mag'r Rob's & alij +vi & armis+ ip'm iniuste & sine iud'o diss' &c' — Ideo cons' e' q'd p^rd'cus Ric's recuperet inde seis^am sua' p^r vis' recog' [&] dampna sua q' taxant ad .iiij.s' — Et p^rd'ci Will'ms Mag'r Rob's & alij in m'ia.

13:23: 11 October 1300

Acton

~~m'ie~~ Error

~~D Ric'o de Ou^rhall & Will'mo de Aketon' seniore, qa no' ven' ad ass^am int' Rob'm fil' Walt'i de Froddesh^am, & Will'm Launcelin & alios in br'i~~

William Lancelyn then sued master Robert de Frodsham, William de Praers, Hugh de Calveley, Adam de Burton, William de Helsby, Walter de Acton, Roger de Clotton, Richard de Aston, Philip de Ponte (Bridge), William de Horton, Richard de Galway, Ralph de Hulfield, Robert de Manley and Richard le Roter for disseising him of four messuages and 24 acres of land in Kingsley.

Master Robert replied that they had entered the lands in question by delivery of the sheriff (citing the previous judgment against Lancelyn in October 1300) awarded him as compensation for Lancelyn having granted him land already granted to Robert son of Walter de Frodsham. Praers said he had acted as minister of the Crown acting on a royal writ, which he produced. Calveley and the others said they had no personal interest in the land, but had been acting to enforce the act of compensation.

The court found in master Robert's favour; but Lancelyn brought the whole case to court again, unsuccessfully. Finally, in April 1302 Lancelyn recognized the vicar's claim to the property, paying Lancelyn in deference one halfpenny a year.

13:130: 21 March 1301

Ass^a venit recogn' si Mag'r Rob'ts de Froddesh^am, Will'ms de Preers Hugo de Calueleg^h'. Adam de Burton', Will'ms de Hellesby Walt's de Acton', Rog'us de Clotton', Ric's de Aston', Ph's de ponte, Will'ms de Horton', Ric's de Galewaye, Ra'us de Hulfeld Rob's de Manlegh' & Ric'us le Ruter iniuste & sine iudic'o diss' Will'm Launcelin de lib'o ten' suo in Kingeslegh', postq^am &c' Et vnde quer' q'd diss' eum de quatuor mes' & xxiiij^{or}. acr' t're cu' p^rtin'. &c'

Et p^rd'ci Mag'r Rob'ts & alij ven', Et p^rd'cs Mag'r Rob's dicit q'd nullam iniur' nec diss' fecit, qa dicit q'd ip'e int^auit p^rd'ca ten' p^r iud'm Cur' Reg' & p^r lib'ac'oem vic' videlic' p^r tale iud'm q'd vbi quidam Rob's fil' Walt'i de Froddesh^am nup in Com' isto arram' q^andam ass^am noue diss' u'sus eu' & u'sus Will'm Launcelin & alios in br'i de lib'o ten' suo in Kingesleg' & posuit in visu suo decem acr' bosci & di' cu' p^rtin' in ead'm villa, de quib^s id'm

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Mag'r Rob's tanq^am tenens +tu'c+ respondit q'd ip'e int^auit p^rd'ca ten' p p^rd'cm Will'm Launcelyn & no' p iniur' nec p diss' +de hac voc' ip'm ad war'+ qui quidem Will's p^rsens fuit +in Cur'+ & ei warrantizavit Et postea p recogn' illius vis' +p Jud'm Cur'+ p^rd'cas decem acr' bosci & di' vna cu' aliis ten' que p^rd'cus Rob's fil' Walt'i posuit in visu suo amisit p quod cons' fuit q'd p^rd'cs Rob's fil' Walt'i recuget p^rd'cas decem acr' bosci & di' u^rsus p^rd'cm Mag'rm Rob'tm Et q'd p^rd'cus Mag'r Rob'ts habet de t^rra p^rd'ci Will'mi Launcelin in loco co'petenti ad valenc' p^rd'car decem acr' bosci & dimid' virtute cui^s Judicij id'm Mag'r Rob's tulit br'e Reg' vic', q'd p s'crm recogn' assi'e p^rd'ce extendi & app^rciari fac'et p^rd'cas decem acr' bosci & dimid' Et q'd id'm vic' extenc'oi & app^rciac'one p^rd'ca; h're fac'et p^rfato Mag'ro Rob'to de t^rra ip'ius Will'i +in loco co'petenti+ ad valenc' p^rd'car decem acr' bosci & dimid' virtute cui^s br'is idem vic' extendi & app^rciari fecit p^rd'cas decem acr' bosci & dimid' ad su'ma' viginti solidor Et postea p^rd'ca q^atuor Mes' & .xxiiij^{or}. acr' t^rre q' p s'crm pbor & leg' ho'im extendi fecit ad valenc' viginti solidor p ann' in reco'pensac'oe viginti solid' reddit^s p^rfato Mag'ro Rob'to lib'auit vnde dicit q'd int^auit p^rd'ca ten' p lib'ac'onem vic' & no' p dissei'am [& pet'] q'd inquir' p ass^am

Et p^rd'cus Will'ms de Preers dicit q'd ip'e tanq^am vic' & Minister d'ni Regis p br'e Regis quod pfert tanq^am warrant' suu', p^rd'ca q^atuor Mes' & viginti & q^atuor acr' t^rre, de quib^s p^rd'cs Will'ms Launcelin modo quer' se disseisiri p^rfato Mag'ro Rob'to lib'auit in re[compensac'oe] p^rd'car viginti solid' reddit^s vt p^rd'cm est, iux^a warrantu' suu' p^rd'cm, & q'd nullam iniur' nec dissei'am fecit, petit q'd [inquir' p ass^am]

Et +p^rd'cs Hugo de Caluel' &+ om'es alij dicu't q'd ip'i nullam iniur' nec dissei'am +fec' u't+ nec aliquid clamant in p^rd'cis ten' n' aliquid fec' [u'nt] & att^r.....es p p^rceptu' d'ni Regis & q'd nullam iniur' nec dissei'am fec'unt petu't q'd inquir' &c' p^rd'cs Mag'r Rob's occupauit & appropⁱauit sibi p^rd'ca q^atuor mes' & viginti & q^atuor acr' vic' iux^a extens' f'cam de valore p^rd'car decem acr' bosci & dimid' ita q'd ip'e int^auit p^rd'ca o'em ei f'cam p vic' +int^r exitus p^rd'cas+, vnde dicit q'd ip'e fuit in plena & pacifica seisina de p^rd'cis ten' Rob's & alij ip'm iniuste &c' disseis' &c' & hoc petit q'd inquir' p ass^am Et p^rd'ci Mag'r ad px'm Com' p^rt instans festu' q^ad^ag'ie

14:5: 2 May 1301

m'ia xld'

Will'ms Launcelin qui tulit br'e ass'. no. dis. u^rsus Mag'rm Rob'm de Froddesh^am & alios in br'i +no' est ps'+, Ideo Rob's de Bebinton' & Will'ms de Trofford plegii sui de ps' in m'ia

14:152: 5 September 1301

Ass^a. no. dissei'e int^r Will'm Launcelin quer' & Mag'rm Rob'm de Frodeshe^am & alios in br'i ponit in respectu p^rce p^rciu' vsq' &c'

15:4: 17 October 1301

Ass^a. noue disseis' int^r Will'm Launcelin quer'. & Mag'rm Rob'm de Froddesh^am, Will'm de Preers & alios in br'i, vsq' ad px'm Com' &c'

15:40: 5 December 1301

ad Jud'm m'ia alibi

Ass^a venit recogn' si Mag'r Rob'ts de Froddesh^am, Will'ms de Preers, Hugo de Caluelegh', Adam de Burton', Will's de Hellesby, Walt's de Rob'tus de Manlegh', Rog^rus de Clotton' Ric's de Ahston', Ph's de Ponte, Will's de Horton', Ric'us de Hulfeld, Rob's de Elton' & Ric'us le Ruter, iniuste & sine iudic'o diss' ~~et~~ +Will'm Launcelin+ de

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

lib'o ten' suo in Kyngesleg' postq^am &c' vnde quer' q'd diss' eu' de duob^s Mes' & decem acris terre cu' p^{tin}' &c'

Et p^rd'cus Will'ms de Preers & om'es alij p^rter Mag'r^m Rob'm de Froddesh^am ven' & dicu't q'd nichil h'nt nec h're clamant in p^rd'cis ten' nec aliqua' iniur' neq' diss^am fec^runt. Et p^rd'cs Will'ms de Preers dic' q'd die M^rrtis p^x^a p^st f'm s'ci Dionisii anno. r'. R^s. E. xxvii^o.⁹⁶ quid' Rob'ts fil' Walteri de Frodeshe^am arramiau'it q^and' ass^am noue diss' u^s Will'm Launcelyn Mag'r^m Rob'm de Frodeshe^am & alios in br'i illo no'iatos & posuit in visu & q'rela sua decem ac^s & dimid' bosci in Kyngesleg' p cui^s assise recognic'om ibid' sum' & capte comptu' fuit q'd p^rd'cus Rob'ts fil' Walteri diss' fuit de lib'o ten' suo p^rd'co s' quia compt' fuit q'd p^rd'cs Mag'r Rob'ts int^auit p^rd'ca ten' p donu' & feoffamentu' p^rd'ci Will'i Launcelyn & no' p iniuriam n^c p diss^am & de hoc vocauit ad warr' d'cm Will'm qui eod' die illud co'gnouit & eid' warentizauit — Considerat' fuit q'd p^rd'cs Rad's fil' Walteri recuparet seis^am suam de p^rd'cis dece' acris & dimid' bosci, Et q'd p^rd'cs Mag'r Rob's recuparet de t^rris p^rd'ci Will'i Launcelyn in loco co'petenti ad valenc' p^rd'car^r dece' ac^r_r bosci & dimid' virtute cui^s iudicij, d'cus Will's de Praers tanq^am Minister & vic' d'ni Reg^s p br'e de Judicio de extens' & p^rciat' d'car^r dece' ac^a_r & dimid' bosci faciend' p sacr'm H d'cor Hug' de Caluileg'. Ade de Burton' Will'i de Hellesby Walteri Rob'i Rogeri Ric'i Ph'i Will'i Ric'i Ranulphi Rob'i & Ric'i extendidit & app^rciauit d'cas decem ac^s & dimid' bosci ad viginti solid' p annu' qua' extens' sic f'cam d'cs Will's de Praers t'nc vic' retornauit in com' p^rd'co p quod dis' Mag'r Rob's psequ^s fuit br'e d'co Will'o tu'c vic' porrecto q'd h're fac'et p^rd'co Mag'ro Rob'to de t^rris p^rd'ci W. Launcelyn ad valenc' viginti solid' in loco co'petenti p quod quid' mandat' d'cs Will's de Praers tu'c vic' p sacr'm p^rd'cor Hugo'is Ade & alior^r sup^ano'iator^r ad hoc iurator^r extendidit & app^rciauit p^rd'ca duo Mes' & d[ict'] dece' ac^s t're simul cu' aliis ten' ad valenc' p^rd'cam. Et ten' illa in visu suo modo po'ita simul cu' aliis ten' s'cd mandat' br'is d'ni Reg^s eid' Mag'ro Rob'o lib'auit, vnde ip'e Will's & alii extensores & app^rciatores p^rd'ci dicu't q'd nulla' iniuriam n^c diss^am feceru't, Et petu't iud'm si assisa debeat inde pceder'.

Et p^rd'cs Mag'r Rob's tene's p^rd'ca ten' venit & dic' q'd ass^a no' deb' inde pcedere quia dic' q'd p iud'm illud alias in Cur' ista int^r ip'm & d'cm Will'm Launcelyn reddit' de recupare suo ad valenc' u^s eund' Will'm h'ndo p lib'ac'om d'cor ten' p p^rd'cm Will'm tu'c vic' sibi f'cam ingresses e' p^rd'ca ten' & no' p diss^am. Et p^rd'cus Will's Launcelyn dic' q'd q^alit^rcu'q' aliq^a ass^a arram' & capta fu'it in Cur' ista int^r ip'm & Rob'm fil' Walteri de p^rd'cis decem acris & dimid' bosci alias recupatis, et q'd d'cus Mag'r Rob's r'one warentie p ip'm eid' Rob'to f'ce h'eret idem Rob's de t^rris d'ci Will'i ad valenc'; Dic' idem W. Launcelyn, q'd d'cus Mag'r Rob's seis' est & h't de t'ra ip'ius Will'i p^rd'ca dup mes' & d'cas dece' ac^s t're vlt^a valore' d'car^r dece' ac^a_r & dimid' bosci pⁱus recupatar & hoc patus +est+ v^rificare p recognic'om ass'. Et p^rd'cus Mag'r Rob's dic' sic' pⁱus q'd ingressus est p iud'm & no' p diss^am, Et petit iud'm vt s^a. Et h'nt diem vsq' ad p^x^m Com' & Juratores illius ass' simil'r. Postea ad Com' p^x^m post festu' Epiphanie d'ni⁹⁷ veneru't ptes p^rd'ce ad audiend' recordu' & iudiciu' suu'. Et co'sideratu' fuit q'd d'cs Will's dedicat exp^rsse ing^essu' d'ci Magist^r Rob'ti & pseq^rt sugestione' breuis sui si velit q'd ass'a pcedat Et p^rd'cs Will's dicit q'd d'cs Will's de Praieris postq^a liberau'at d'co Magist^o Rob'tp de t'ra d'ci Will'i ad valenc' .xx.s' q'd colore officii sui de facto pp'o et ext^a warentu' suu' lib'auit ip'e d'co Magistro Rob'to d'ca duo Mes^a & dece' ac^s t're vlt^a valore' p^rd'cm & hoc petit

⁹⁶ Tuesday 11 October 1300

⁹⁷ Tuesday 6 February 1302

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

verificar' Et p^rd'cs Magist^r Rob's dicit q'd d'ca dup Mes^a & dece' ac^as t're simul & semel cu' aliis ten' sub vnico & eod' valore extente fuer' & ap^rc' & eid' Rob'to vno & eod' te'pe lib'at' & petit v^rificar' &c' Jur' dicu' s'r sac^am' suu' q'd p^rd'cs Magist^r Rob's nullam iniuria' fec' n^e dissina' p^r eo q'd t're & ten' illa que ponnt^r in querela ista. vno & eod' te'pe s'b vnico & eod' valore extente fu'nt p^r d'cm vic' & app^rc'e & eid' Mag'ro Rob'to coniu'ctim lib'at'. I'o cons' q'd p^rd'cs Will's nich' capiat p^r br'e suu' set sit i' m'ia p^r f'lo clam' Et p^rd'cs Rob'tus & alii inde sine die.

15:78: 6 February 1302

m'ia .xl.d'.

D Will'mo Launcelyn. p. f'lo clamore u^rsus Mag'rm Rob'tm de Froddesh^am, Will'm de Preers & alios in br'i de pl'o ass' noue diss' ppl'm [blank]

15:107: 6 February 1302

[m'ia] cd' p^r Justic'

D Gilb'to Dodefin recogn' ass'. no. dis' int^r Will'm Launcelyn & mag'rm Rob'm de Froddesh^am & al' qa no' [ven']

15:181: 3 April 1302

Cogn'

Will'ms Launcelyn venit in pleno Comitatu Cestr' & cognouit om'es t'ras & ten' cu' ptin' que Mag'r Rob'ts de Froddesh^am tenet p^r considerac'oem Com', p^r quoddam recupare ad valenc' +.u^s. d'cm Will'+ sup^r quib^sdam t'ris & tenem'tis, de quib^s id'm Will'ms aliq'n feoffauit d'cm Mag'rm Rob'tm, & que. ten'. Rob's filius Walt'i recupauit in Cur' ista p^r recogn' cui^sdam assi'e noue diss', ibid'm sum' & capte; esse ius ip'ius Rob'ti, & ea remisit & quiet' clamaui d'co Rob'o & heredib^s suis imp^pm, de se & heredib^s suis, Habend' & tenend' sibi & heredib^s suis p^r s'uiuiu' vni^s obol' redditus p^r annu' ad f'm Natiuit' s'ci Joh'is Bapt'e p^r om'ib^s s'uiuiis consuetud' & demandis

Master Robert de Frodsham brought four writs against Roger de Cheadle in a plea of covenant, relating to an agreement made by master Robert with Geoffrey de Cheadle, Roger's father, about a messuage and 4½ acres in Clifton juxta Halton. The matter was resolved by agreement.

15:71: 6 February 1302

p^r

Mag'r Rob'ts de Froddesh^am op. se. u^rsus Rog'm de Chedle de pl'ito conuenc'ois p^r q^atuor br'ia Et ip'e no' ven' Et ad vltimu' Com' fuit esson' Ideo p^r. e'. vic' q'd ponat eu' p^r vadiu' & saluos pleg' &c' q'd sit &c'

15:147: 20 February 1302

M'ia .viii s' p^r.

Magist^r Rob's de Froddish^am. op. se. u^rs. Rog'm de Chedle +ad q^atuor breuia+ de pl'o q'd teneat ei co'uenc'one' +fact'+ int^r ip'm Magist^m Rob'm & Galfr' de Cheddle pat^m p^rd'ci Rog^r cui^s her' ip'e est de vno Mes'. quauor⁹⁸ acr' t'r' & di'. cu' ptin' in Clyftone iux^a Athone & ip'e no' venit & fuit attach' p^r Joh'em de Mascy +.iiij.s'.+ & Hamone' de Heton'

⁹⁸ sic

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

+ .iiij.s'.+ *Idio*⁹⁹ *ip'i in m'ia ad q^atuor breuia. Et p^rc' est vic' q'd distⁱnget euu*¹⁰⁰. *p om'es t^ras &c'. & q'd de exitib^s &c' & q'd h'eat corp^s ei^s ad p'm Com' ad respond' &c'.*

15:187: 3 April 1302

m'ia xs'

De Rog'o de Chedle p^r licenc' concordandi cu' Mag'ro Rob'to de Frodesham de pl'ito conuenc'ois ad iiij^{or} br'ia ppleg' Rob'ti de Yale

In December 1305 William de Midgeley (the court narrator) successfully brought an action for a debt of 40s against William de Helsby, William de Praers, John de Legh, Patrick de Crewe and Roger de Mouldsworth (who attended court) and master Robert de Frodsham, William Gerard, William de Trafford, Henry Doun and Richard le Roter (who did not).

17:108: 7 December 1305

M'ie .ij.s'.vj.d'.

Will' de Miggel' op. se. u^s. Will' de Hellesby Will' de Praers Joh'm de Legh' & Patriciu' de Crue & Rog' de Moldeworth' de pl'ito q'd reddant ei quadrag' solidos quos ei debent & iniuste detinent Et p^rd'ci Will' & om's alii ven' & cognouerunt se deb'e debitum p^rd'cm p^rd'co Will' de Myggel' simul cu' quib^sd' Mag'ro Rob'o de Frodesham, Will' Gerard, Will' de Troxford, Henr' Doun & Ric'o le Rut' seniore qui no' ven' & petunt iud'm. si sine ip'is inde respond' debeant. Et qa p^rd'ci Will' & alii cognou'nt debitu' p^rd'cm. n^c aliquid ostendu't de soluc'oe eiusdem debiti. I'o cons' est q'd p^rd'cus Will' de Miggel' recu^pet v'sus eos debitu' p^rd'cm & dampna sua que taxant' ad .xij.d'. & p^rd'ci, Will' de Hellesby, Will' Joh's Patr' & Rog'us pro iniusta detenc'oe in m'ia.

Sir Roger son of Geoffrey de Cheadle, John de Legh, Robert de Massey of Sale, William de Baguley and Richard lord of Aston entered into a recognizance in favour of master Robert de Frodsham for a debt of £20.

17:598: 27 September 1305

Recogn' p^r Mag'ro Rob'to de Frod'

Rog'us fil' Galfr'i de Chedle Miles Joh'es de Legh' Rob'us de Mascy de Sale Will'us de Bagge' & Ric'us d'ns de Aston' recogn' se deb'e Mag'ro Rob'to de Frodesham cl'ico viginti libras sterlingor^r solu' ad f'm O'im s'cor^r px' futur^r¹⁰¹ quinq' libras & ad f'm ap'lor Pet' & Pauli px' sequens¹⁰² quinq' libras & ad f'm Natiuit' b'e Marie px' sequens¹⁰³ quinq' libr'. Et nisi fecerint volunt & concedunt q'd vic' Cestris' f'i fac' de terr' & catall' suis den' p^rd'cos

⁹⁹ sic

¹⁰⁰ sic

¹⁰¹ Tuesday 1 November 1306

¹⁰² Thursday 29 June 1307

¹⁰³ Friday 8 September 1307

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

A suit was brought by the lazars of the hospital of Chester, against the abbot of St Werburgh's and master Robert de Frodsham, concerning sheriffstooth, and was agreed.

17:667: 27 September 1306

[Prec' e]st vic' q'd de visn' de Bebynton' ven' fac' ibidem .xij. &c'. p quos &c'. si Will'usem solu'e debeat .xxj.d'. & ob'. in auxil' firme vic' tamq^am Schirreuestoth v'l Cestr' tamq^am redditu' Cam'e. Et q'd attachiare fac' om's illos qui absq' man[dato se]u p^rcepto Com' qui in villa Wici Malbani pclamare fecerunt pace' diu^r sor malef^rcor ven' fac' ibidem ad eunde' die' Abb'tem Cestr' Mag'rm Rob'm de Frodesh^am ad resp' [lepro]sis de s'co Egidio iuxta Cestr' & Ran'o de Bebynton' Will'o de Brocton' Ric'o der Petro de Cruce Rob'to Kempe & Ric'o Benet & nob' de pl'ito t^ans & sup di.....tent^r in quadam peticoe d'no Com' p p^rd'cis leprosis exhibita. Et q'd p probos [& legales h]o'ies scire fac' p^rd'cis leprosis & eor^r s^ruientib^s q'd sint ibidem p^rmissa psecutur' [si eisdem] viderint expedire & q'd h'eant secu' ibidem bullas cartas & alias evidencias suas

18:102: 20 December 1306

Lep'res & infirmi hospital' sancti Juliani Cestr', qui tuleru't br'e d'ni Com' Cestr', & q^andam petico'm in eodem br'i int'clus' +op se+ u^s Abb'tm Cestr' & Mag'rm Rob'tm de Frodesh^am, Et p^rd'ci Abb's & Rob'tus ven' & concord' sunt sup om'ib^s in p^rd'ca peticoe contentis put p^rd'ci Lepres & infirmi testant^r, Ideo p^rd'ci Abb's & Rob'tus ad p^rsens inde [sine die]

16 May 1307 Thomas Clive servant of Henry le Weaver, Richard Budworth and one Ikedoun were accused of stealing from the abbot. Thomas denied the whole affair; a jury was to decide at the next sitting. Richard Starky claimed Thomas for the Constable's avowry. 'Ikedoun' claimed that he was a cleric, whereupon master Robert de Frodsham, the archdeacon's official, claimed him for his jurisdiction.

At the next court, 17 June, it was claimed that the theft had taken place in Staffordshire, and so out of the jurisdiction of this court; Thomas was returned to Robert.

19:50 [16 May 1307]

lib'tas

Thom' de Clyue seruiens Henr' le Weuere & Ricardus Bodisworth' capti p dep^rdac'one abb'tis eiusd'm abb'tis furtiue asportatis ven' Et ques' qualit^r se velit +inde+ acqⁱetare, p^rd'cus Thom' defend' totu' &c' Et dicit q'd in nullo est inde culp' Et de bono & malo pon' se sup p^rriam I'o capiat^r inde Jur^a set ponit^r in respectu' vsq' ad p^rx'm Com' &c' q^a null' ven' I'o vic' h'eat corpa &c' Et sup hoc ven' Ric'us Starky custos aduocar' Com' Linc' Const' Cestr' & petit lib'tatem d'ni sui de p^rd'co Thom' &c' Et h'et sub pena qua decet &c' vsq' ad p^rx'm Com' &c'

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

Et p^rd'cus Ikedoun¹⁰⁴ dicit q'd cl'icus est & q'd no' debet hic inde responder' Et sup hoc ven' Mag'r Rob'tus de Frodish^am Offic' Arch' Cestr' Et pet' ip'm tanq^d cl'icum set vt sciat' qual' ei lib'ari debeat inquirat' inde rei veritas p p'riam ad px'm Com' &c' Ad que' Com' videl't die Mart^s pxi'a post festu' Nati'tatis s'ci Joh'is Bapt'e,¹⁰⁵ ven' p'd'ci Thom' de Clyue & Ric'us Et quia p'd'ca depredac'o f'ca fuit in Com' Staff I'o p'd'cus Thom' delib'at' p'd'co Custodi aduocar' Com' Lync' sub pena qua p'us &c' qui de eo lib'tate d'ni sui pet' &c' h'ndi corp^s eius hic ad voluntate' d'ni Com' Ita tam' q'd p'muniat' p vnu' mensem ante Com', &c' Et p'd'cus Ric'us est manucap^s veniendi in forma p'd'ca put patet int' manucap' &c'

The parishioners of Great Neston brought a bill of prohibition against master Robert de Frodsham, official of the archdeacon of Chester, and the abbot of Chester relating to the parson of Great Neston church. The advowson was in the hands of St Werburgh's abbey; Henry de Bunbury was instituted there on the ides of November (13 November 1307).

21:368: 18 March 1309

m'ia.j.m'.

Comunitas pochianor de Magna Neston' que tulit br'e de phibic'one u^rs^s Mag'rm Rob'm de Frodesch^am Officialem. Arch'd' Cestr'. & Abbatem Cestr' psona' eccl'ie de Magna Neston' no' est ps'. Ideo p'd'ca Com' & pleg' sui de ps'; in m'ia scil' Will's de Leghton' & Adam de Shagh'

1310

Dkr xxxvi ii 384: Recognizance Roll 3 & 4 Edward II m. 1 (13)

Reginald de Poole, David de Eggerton, William de Capenhurst, Hugh de Pennesby, William Launcelyn, junior, William Deneys, and Henry, vicar of Neston, to William de Fulbourne, parson of Hasilwell, recognizance for 30 marks.

Master Robert de Frodsham, indicted for a hunting trespass &c was taken by the sheriff to the castle in Chester; he was claimed by Simon del Hurst for the Constable's avowry.

22:981 [4 August 1310]

[lib'] Const' .iiij^o.

Mag'r Robert^s de Frodesch^am indictat^s de t^ans venac'ois & aliis t^ans & p vic' capt' & ad Castr' Cestr' duct^s Et s'r hoc ven' Simon del Hurst Custos aduocar' Henr' de Lascy Com' Lync' & Constab' Cestr' & pet' p'd'cm Rob'tm in aduocar' d'ci Com' d'ni sui existent' sibi lib'ari ad lib'tatem p'd'ci Com' d'ni sui, Et ei lib'at' ad h'nd' corp^s ei^s ad px'm Com' sub pena qua decet

¹⁰⁴ sic

¹⁰⁵ Tuesday 27 June 1307

ARCHDEACONS
in the Chester County Pleas,
CHES 29/1–22
© 2021 David Bethell

[In extracts from the county pleas for 4-5 Edward II (1311-1312), Harley 2072 no 31 has *A Writt issued for arresting the Archdeacon of Chester and his Clerks, viz. Robert de Rodeswelle the Archdeacon, Robert de Frodesham his Official, Will. Wysh, Rural Dean of Chester, Richard Vicar of Alde Lime, Dean of Wich-Walbank, & Henry de Leftewich, Dean of North-Wich, for unduly dragging the People into their Court Christian, and (in order to extort money from them) compelling them to answer, notwithstanding the Kings Prohibitions to the contrary, upon Pleas of many kinds, not relating to Wills or Matrimony, &c. [f.]81.b. et vid. 76. [Catalogus Librorum MSS. Bibliothecæ Harleianæ 432]*